



# Consultation on Les Quennevais School site options

## **RESPONSES in detail**

November 2015

# CONTENTS

Questions asked at meetings

Log from street stalls

Comments from online survey

Comments from leaflets, emails and letters

Notes were made of questions asked at workshops, public meetings and school meetings to ensure a full record was kept of concerns that have been raised. The questions are paraphrased or summarised where necessary and are not repeated verbatim.

The questions have not been counted as formal consultation responses because in most cases the individuals who asked them were doing so as research before completing the online survey or an A5 response card.

Comments made as part of the submissions have been included but without names or identifying details. Only two comments have been omitted either because they were inappropriate or defamatory and two because they referred to private legal issues.

## **SPORTS GROUPS MEETING - Notes**

Les Quennevais School Drama studio - 7pm on Wednesday 30 September 2015

Audience: 36 representatives of sports groups.

### **Question 1** - Peter Bastion, Jersey Petanque Club.

Pétanque club been there for 20 years. One of concerns is parking. At the moment the configuration comes close to our terrain but under Option 1 it would be much further away and would not give the access we need. Area on the Railway Walk. Our site has a school playground on one side and a pitch on the other and the area is limited. We overflow onto those. We support the need for a new school.

### **Question 2** - Steve Le Monnier, Jersey Softball representative and former parent.

New school long overdue.

Normally when you have options there is usually one stinker and this was Option 1 until I heard about putting the hospital on People's Park. This is not an open site, it's a step too far. Cycle track will be decimated. We will have a lot of people with sporting aspirations fighting over a small area of land. People who come over are amazed at the facilities. Les q is a jewel in the crown. Lots of people use it.

Confused about Options 2 and 3 as Option 2 looks like a good proposal but Option 3 gives you more land.

Would the design for Option 3 be different?

### **Question 3** - Barry Cameron, Jersey Cycling Association.

Option 1 is an unnecessary compromise between investing in education and sport. Just had a really successful Island Games and Commonwealth. Should be investing in both. This compromise would not achieve either. This site is compromised and there isn't enough expansion room - will have to go further into sports areas. What will happen when our children are older?

### **Question 4** - Phil Austin, Jersey Football Association.

No one disagrees that we need a new school. It's where it's going to go.

The States have a strategy for increasing participation in sport and are trying to do that at the back of the Island Games. Sport is an easy touch. We used St Martin pitch and the pitch next to St George's school - both clubs are nearly gone. Have to think really carefully. Where will tennis etc be relocated to? Until you can tell us that it's very much an open debate.

Not just the loss of a pitch it's the loss of clubs that have been in the community for a long time. St Brelade must be very concerned.

### **Question 5** - Steve Lowethorpe, Cycling Association.

Even a reduction in that track would be very significant in the kind of events we could put on. British Cycling affiliated events could not be held if we lose 400-500m. How much is it really going to cost? It's an important part of people's decision making.

### **Question 6** - Chris Minty, Jersey Cricket.

No one doubts the school is needed. I don't think the loss of facilities has been properly quantified or explained. It will not just be pitch. Each sport should set out what they've lost. It's been glossed over. We will certainly be responding in writing on that basis. It's not just one pitch. It's an international croquet pitch. Cricket has not really been mentioned. We are due to move but would make it difficult to play because of danger to cyclists.

### **Question 7** - Gavin Fraser, Jersey Football Association.

Point well made. Option 1 just out of the question even if you take the access to that road it's out of the question. The question that should come across is the loss of community facilities. Other two are fairly similar - the big difference is the loss of the community club and people really get behind that. The only real option is opt 2 good access and do not disrupt a community club.

### **Question 8** - Jersey Triathlon Association official.

Diff for us to do events because she have three sports. Les q is the only places especially for juniors that we can out on an event that has a swim cycle and run. It's difficult to get the booking anyway because it's so well used. No other cycle track so it's the only venue we can use.

### **Question 9** - Richard Le Lievre, Jersey Hockey.

Trying to develop a hockey centre behind the pitch. This option completely kyboshes it. Want to share with softball and do something for the community. Land at east end for warm up removed. Stops us bringing competitors over. If there was a sporting clubhouse up there it would enhance every sporting club up there. We have plans to do something special up there but a school kyboshes it.

### **Question 10** - Les Mielles Tennis Club representative.

Based up at Les Quennevais for 40 years. 12 months ago had all the courts refurbished at great cost. 288 members mostly juniors, mostly from St Brelade and most who will go to Les Quennevais school. Our club room provides catering facilities for other clubs. Nucleus for the parish. School will go right on top of it. Don't want to lose football pitch also. If Option 1 is chosen will everything be moved and put in place before the site is levelled? A gap of no facilities for nine to 12 months would completely destroy the clubs for the children. Negotiation with States to take over the whole site on a nine year lease. Also done a lot of work on clubhouse too.

**Question 11** - Dave Maine, St Brelade Sports & Social Club.

We have been based in the community for over 50 years on that site for 15 years. It's part of the community and been building a strong youth section. Imperative that there is a new school. There are a lot of practical difficulties with Option 1 site and from the sporting point of view. We are very concerned because we feel we have built something up there and trying to relocate it to elsewhere is the parish will have an effect. That pitch provides a natural barrier between the back end of Belle Vue and the cemetery. Clubhouse opened in 1998-2000.

**Question 12** - Neville Davidson, Jersey Football Association.

Where would it be relocated? St Martin were promised a pitch across the road and never got it. That could go on for years.

**Question 13** - Jersey Croquet Club official.

Only a small club but the only one in the Island and if we got closed down we would never get back again. We have European championships. It would take a number of years to get the pitches back to the same condition as they are now. There's no other croquet club. Just hoping that we won't be elbowed out.

**Question 14** - Jersey Softball official.

Option 1 - we hope it won't happen. Are you going to offer alternative venues in the interim? Point of fact - a lot of people being squished into a smaller area. A lot of people competing for the same limited resource.

**Question 15** - Barry Cameron, Jersey Cycling Association.

Nobody has actually got the cumulative numbers of sports people using that field. Just cycling alone is 40 races and 40 competitors each time - 800 alone. Juniors every Wednesday. Thousands of others. This has evolved over many years into a prime sporting facility. There is nowhere else for these clubs to go that's comparable because of the way it's evolved.

**Question 16** - Duty Manager, Les Quennevais Sports Centre.

Understand the need for the school - more housing and more children. You'll be wanting to use our playing fields. So why would you want to nick any of it when it could be used for keeping people fit. It always seems to be the sport that suffers. Will you take it out of the curriculum?

**Question 17** - Manager, Les Quennevais Sports Centre.

Safeguarding issues in a shared premises with members of the public coming in when a school is in progress. Haute Vallee is used but it's limited and the only people who use it in school time are Les Amis. The public access Les Quennevais sports facilities all the time. Also loss of income from events and hiring out of facilities because the school would take them up. Figures on parking at the front: main car park has 138 standard spaces, 11 disabled and 9 parent and child. Already get complaints. Most spaces will be filled by school staff. Usage peaks different to the UK. First peak at 10am for Exercise Referral and bowls club. Coincide with school start times. People in properties are senior citizens enjoying a quiet time of life so huge change for them.

**Question 18** Carlo Riva, Bosdet Trust (Les Ormes).

Pretty relaxed about Options 2 and 3. Any plans for cycle track along Rue Carree with connectivity though Les Ormes and a cycle route through to St Ouen's bay? We would be very supportive of any road widening.

**Question 19** Steve Le Monnier

My daughter transferring to Les Quennevais in 2019. Will this school be built?

**Question 20** Barry Cameron, Jersey Cycling Association.

Are all the sites suitable for building on? No hidden holes etc?

**Question 21** - Les Mielles Tennis.

If you go to Planning will you get approval for 2 and 3? Are they viable options?

**Question 22** - Jersey Football Association.

What's the process and who makes the decision?

**Question 23 - Steve Le Monnier**

Do you have to choose an option? Can you say none and just submit comments?  
Rear car park has 65 upper and lower 40 cars.

**Summary by Director of Education:**

Everyone here agrees we need a new school but not option 1.

Five key themes have emerged:

1. Reduction in facilities.
2. Restricting and disrupting the facilities that have taken years to develop will undermine the community and not just serious sporting people.
3. Traffic access through busy area and this will be an issue.
4. Parking concerns.
5. There are ambitious plans from various associations to develop on the current site.

## **PUBLIC MEETING – Notes** (Not verbatim record)

Les Quennevais School Drama studio - 8 October 2015  
Approx 75-80 people

### **Question 1**

Le Rocquier and Grainville. Both used and maximised existing site. Want massive reassurance that max use of current site has really been looked at and discarded. Single storey of existing building. The public should know if it really has been exhausted.

### **Question 2**

Expansion - how many students would it be able to take? 1,000?

### **Question 3**

(Owner of property looking out onto 2 and 3.)

No issue with need for a new school but concerned about the cost and grandiose schemes concern taxpayers in current climate. Concerned about road parallel to Route de Quennevais. Field recently sold and explicit that condition could never be built on.

Already evident that it's a very busy road with traffic too fast so wouldn't oppose a school if it slowed the traffic. Latest states buildings close to the road have completely destroyed the image of that road. Totally detrimental to the area and bringing noise to all the properties. If it is to be viable it needs to be away from the existing football pitch and further towards the sand dunes than the main road.

### **Question 4** - Resident near Options 2 & 3. Field owner.

Genuine interested have always been to keep some green lungs in the parish. Realistic point of view that by using Option 2 or 3 and putting a school in the middle of green land is possibly the best option for that land. I am absolutely convinced that if a school doesn't go on that site we will see an application for housing - social consequences possibly a lot worse than putting a school in.

### **Question 5**

Aware of the issues and frank about loss of sports facilities. Question - which of these sites allows you to build a building that gives our children the best educational opportunities that they can receive?

### **Question 6**

Thank you for allowing us to have this consultation. There isn't any doubt we need a new Les Quennevais school. We needed one 15 years ago. Site 1 - I could not agree to sports field being taken. Current school could be used for parking and it can be connected to Blanche Banques. It's a waste area at the moment. It is a site of special interest - but there's not much interest - but area is there. Site 3 I couldn't support. The sports club have done so much work and the clubhouse they almost built themselves. That leaves us with Site 2 which is the preferred option. I must admit that if this site were suggested six months ago I would have objected. When you came into the site there was a lovely green area with cows grazing as you came into the parish. Since then we have a big apartment block on a small park area. I wonder how many people knew it was going to be so big. I do not feel that a school built there would detract, in fact I think a school on the south of the site would enhance and would leave a green area before Rue Carree. I hope the design would be something to look at. It would soften the design of the large buildings going up there now. One thing that worries me is the traffic. It's a real problem for all those who live in the area. I hope we can get some reassurance from the Constable that the parish will look at the impact of more traffic in this area. I wish you luck.

### **Question 7**

(St. Peter parishioner member of sporting club with keen interest in option 1.)

We thought there was no way they would use Option 1 but the presentation was scary. More balanced this time. Want to see the school redeveloped - son been here daughter coming. Delighted to see Option 1 not getting much credence. Thought Option 3 was best. Now think Option 2 is best. Using Option 1 is too high a price to pay. More clubs fighting for less space and many cannot coexist. There will be more pressure on that site. Football club should not give up their land. Don't think people realize Option 1 is not in the green zone. Will there be a problem with planning?

### **Question 8**

Option 2 looks an excellent idea. Very sure few people would object to a new school. Was chair of the one school refurbishment in 1996 and it was a nightmare. You can never put the school and teachers though it again. Concerned about traffic and light pollution. Traffic survey last year in February/March so need another one. Diagram has no allowance for a right hand turning. Exit would presumably be left only. Could do something with that area such as a roundabout at junction. Impact so much less on residents down that road.

### **Question 8 supplementary**

You need to have the residents in that area on board because they could make or break. Also consider light pollution.

### **Question 9**

(Don Farm resident)

Do not want Option 1. Fond of Les Quennevais School - my children came here. If you go for Option 1 you move it from one estate to another. Traffic coming out onto that road at peak times is horrendous at peak times. Do not want to lose the sports facilities that are so fantastic. It would be lost and that would be such a sad thing to do.

### **Question 10**

(Daughter at school and one coming, also a bus driver for route 15.)

Having been sat in the traffic the impact will lessen further up to this end of Les Quennevais. 99 percent of traffic comes from the airport. Also look at pedestrian underpass for children to get across the main road. Some use Railway Walk.

### **Question 11**

Getting the feeling that Option 2 is least bad. Came in not knowing which is best. Can Jersey Property Holdings confirm that the foot print is realistic?

### **Question 12**

(Cycling representative)

Shortening of the track does give us considerable concern in terms of running races. It would make it very difficult for us. Other sports quite compact. If want to replicate the track elsewhere I struggle to see where that could be. Against Option 1

### **Question 13**

(Route des Quennevais resident for whole life)

Would be devastated if Option 1 went ahead to lose those facilities would not be a progressive move. I don't see the point of taking away St Brelade pitch therefore whole heartedly in favour of Option 2.

### **Question 14**

(Resident of Les Quennevais for over 50 years)

Option 2 looks like the best. See booklet Page 6 - present size and minimum need for a new school. How exactly do the sites meet these needs? Are we being too short-sighted having just a minimum size? Should we have three storeys and not just the minimum area?

### **Question 15**

(Ex-student, parent of future student and member of staff.)

Fully support Option 2. Would like to see it pushed back off the road. Concerned about parking and traffic. Need to be realistic - it's a school, there's traffic. That can't be a realistic reason for not putting a school there.

### **Question 16**

Any point is strengthening the roof because in 50 years' time when none of us are here may need to build another storey.

### **Question 17**

(House backs on to railway walk and pitch.)

Prefer Option 2 with the break and gives the school space. The green space of Option 1 is what people buy their houses for.

### **Question 18**

Definitely Option 2 because this doesn't have an impact on any other facilities. Has any thought been given to cost of relocating facilities that would be lost? Would that be offset by cost of purchasing land at Option 2

### **Question 19**

Assurance from the panel that given amount of money taxpayers would have to fund through the taxes are you considering best possible renewable energy use.



## **PUBLIC MEETING - Notes**

St Brelade's Parish Hall, St Aubin – 8pm on 03 November 2015  
Approx 50 people

### **Question 1**

Proposed build area 50 percent greater than existing school but increase in pupils is only 25 per cent. Is it being built for a much greater increase in future?

#### **Question 1 supplementary**

That has happened over a number of years. The proposal for increase of building is bigger than the increase in student nos.

### **Question 2**

You are expecting to increase the size of classrooms so how many students in them and how many square feet per student?

### **Question 3**

Former pupil, children go there and used to work there. Keen to see it rebuilt because it's not fit for purpose. Main concern is that if you've looked for so long for a site, the corner it's been offered seems incredible when the west of Jersey is so big. Why does it have to be built in a busy area near an airport? I live nearby and can't sit in my garden. Then you have the pollution of the airport - have had tests done. And I've heard that alongside the pitch there will be new warehouses and hangars. Feel very sad - the former Constable had a great site and housing was built on it. Les Quennevais School deserves more. What is going to happen to the intrusion of the graveyard there now? It hasn't been considered by anyone. Why when it's taken so long to find somewhere is this the best you can offer?

#### **Question 3 supplementary**

Workmen have told me they will be building there [south of existing airport buildings]. At the beginning there was an assurance there would be nothing there and looks what's being built.

#### **Question 3 supplementary**

Would be a shame if you could not expand in future.

### **Question 4**

I was told by the cricket association that they used to have a pitch near the airport and stopped using it because of pollution and moved to les q.

### **Question 5**

Is there an anticipation that the school will move towards a sixth form to alleviate congestion in St Helier?

### **Question 6**

Interesting comments and the Head made a good speech. I remember the school being built and rumours abounded about all the wonderful facilities and modern equipment being provided. Safety around sites wasn't a big consideration at the time and people could be seen looking in the windows. Most of the school in the area was virgin land and the school was built to accommodate the growing numbers. Les Quennevais School is a success story but the building has had its day. Staff have done a wonderful job in very difficult circumstances. It's been very difficult to find a site in the built up areas. I would not support the use of Option 1 the site has a lot of gorse and dumped materials. Site 3 I would not support because of the work that's been put in to building up St Brelade social club. That leaves Option 2. If this has come up six months ago I and many others would have opposed it. There was a lovely path and tree lined park. Now as you come from St Peter there are large blocks, some three stories that have replaced it. Now I do not feel the building of a new school would damage the area. A beautiful new schools would enhance it. I am glad the school is along the south of the site to maintain green area along Rue Carree. Cemetery - I was involved in finding that site and there is another field so that we have expansion room for the future. Downside is a traffic problem. There is going to be a big increase on Route de Quennevais and all roads that feed in. It is already bad enough. This will be a problem for all those in Les Quennevais and Le Coin. I'm sure this will be addressed. I do now support the new school and am sure it will be big enough to accommodate the growing population. Wish them all the very best and look forward to seeing it.

### **Question 7**

Any great difference in costs of the different options?



**Question 8**

Concerned by the air quality. I understand you are going to test the quality once you have planning permission? If the air quality is bad on two sites you only have one left. Why didn't you take that into account at an earlier stage?

**Question 9**

Will you need double glazing and air conditioning because of the airport?

**Question 10**

Chair of Governors for many years. Thanks for comments. Most important thing is for our young people to have the best facilities possible for them to have the best experience possible. Very pleased we will have a new school. Thanks to the department.

**Question 11**

Three locations will all necessitate quite a sacrifice of land. I responded by email. Initially was the western end of the playing fields looked at with a split site school considered?

**Question 11 supplementary**

Child protection. What was inappropriate about walking across the railway walk?

**Question 12**

Support you [JPH] in that. I went to a school like that and it was a pain, a nuisance. It would be an absolute nightmare for the school and parents.

**Question 13**

What about sticking a school at the end of a runway?

**Question 14**

Support new school but 40 million is an awful lot of money. Les Quennevais has waited such a long time and I hope they don't have second best just for the sake of having a new school. Sad if it's not the best of taxpayers' money.

**Question 15**

I was expecting more and different kinds of sites. There are basically only two to choose from. One is virtually the same site. You said you looked at 17 sites.

**Question 16**

What happens if the pollution is bad?

**Question 17**

School buses. I said many years ago that I would not let my children on the buses unsupervised because there's so much bullying. My son was severely bullied on the bus so to encourage children to use them you have to have people on board, possibly volunteers, to stop bullying. I used to have a driver and conductor.

**Question 18**

Delighted with our new school in St Martin and went through the same issues you are going through. Used to be a community policeman at Les Quennevais. The team here have just built St Martin and we have teething problems. We're out in the country. I have a lot of confidence in the team tonight. They do listen. Ref having football pitch. I really want to congratulate the team.

**Question 19**

I can't understand why it has to be there. Why can't you take the traffic out of the parish to St Ouen for example? All those children who go to Hautlieu and Highlands all move.

**Question 20**

How confident are you that your predictions of 850 students will hold up given the population pressures we are facing?

**Question 21**

Do you have an optimal size for a school?

**Question 22**

Based on your proposal that it's better to have it closer to where they live than it should be closer to where they are at the moment?

Comment: If you use Option 1 can you close the school on a Tuesday and Thursday as that's my gym days.

**Question 23**

Has the SSI land been looked at? There are ways of getting over that

**Question 24**

I went to Les Quennevais, my children went there, and there is a certain affinity with the school. They deserve the best. You're so enthusiastic, the children are so enthusiastic. We really do need to build a new school. When I was elected I did promise that I would oppose building on Les Carree fields because they are the last green area along that road. I have got a preferred site. Should look at pollution and traffic but I just want the best for our children and wish you all the very best with your endeavours.

## Parents' Meetings

### Les Quennevais School

7pm on Monday 21 September 2015  
Audience no: 46 (including 5 students)

#### Questions

1. What is your preference and why?
2. If you chose Option 3 what would you do to lessen the noise for the nearby houses?
3. We definitely need a new school, that's obvious. We need to build it for 1000 and need expansion room. Route de Quennevais is a death trap. You would have 42% of students trying to cross the road there.
4. I'm surprised Option 1 takes the whole school across to the sports field. Did you consider using the existing site and part of the field?
5. The money is ring-fenced. What are the timescales? What will the impact be on the students?
6. Will short-term investment be needed here to keep it going?
7. I'm in Year 8 and if it's the two-year plan would I move at the start of my exams?
8. Is any of the land in Options 2 and 3 States owned?
9. I am anti Option 1. It's a tight space. Why would we want to lose open space? We could use Option 2 on the Option 3 site, which would give us more land?
10. This has been a long time coming and I'm dubious about the timescale. It should have been on the Belle Vue field. What are the stumbling blocks?
11. No one is going to be surprised. It just needs to be done.
12. What's going to happen to this site?
13. If there's enough negativity will the new school be stopped?
14. It's good that there's such good support from government but what about the local politicians?
15. What about the traffic issues at Route de Quennevais? And the other roads?
16. The traffic is a red herring. It's the same people who come here now so they already need to cross the road.
17. I've lived near the school for 17 years. There's no problem at all with the children it's the traffic and most of that is parents. How a child hasn't been killed I don't know. It will be the same problems in Don Farm.
18. This is a plea not a question. I've worked in a school that moved and it does cause disruption. I'd like information maybe not now, about who you're going to deal with that.
19. How much clout does the vote have? We should be going for the biggest site – Option 3.
20. What about the fumes from the airport? My windows are often covered in black grime. What about the petrol station nearby? What if a plane comes down?
21. Is the cost of buying the land in the ring-fenced money?
22. What are the extra costs of the different sites?
23. Where will the St Brelade Social Club be moved to?

### Mont Nicolle Primary School

7pm on Tuesday 22 September 2015  
(As part of scheduled parents' evening)  
Audience no: Approx. 200 parents

#### Questions

1. Why only two storeys?
2. Is there provision for a sixth form?
3. Should we be building it for 1,500?
4. Can all three options be built in the 40m?
5. Opt 2 seems like the most sensible. Am I right in saying that you will have some playing fields and still use the site?
6. If you do it in the football pitches at the back can you go down a level?
7. Are there any plans for the existing site?
8. What would happen to the library?
9. With the construction of the freight at airport has any consideration been given to noise from the airport?
10. Are you fairly confident it will be ready in 2019?

## **La Moye Primary School**

3.00pm on Wednesday 30 September 2015

Audience no: Approx. 10 parents

### Questions

1. Will it include a sixth form or will my children have to go to Highlands or Hautlieu?
2. Would that site butt up to the cemetery? Not mentioned in any literature.
3. Would it be three storeys?
4. Just noting that the new build is only for 850 but there is a waiting list of 1,000 to get in and there's more housing going up in the area.
5. If you're spending a lot of money, get it right at first and don't have to go back again.
6. I've been here 25-30 years and the change has been quite amazing in St Brelade?
7. How long will it take to build?
8. What do you propose to do with the old site once the school is moved? To me the most simple option is to take the field and return it back to sports once it's built.
9. So there are no plans at the moment?
10. What about another highlands, another college?
11. Could you take some children from town and bring them up here?
12. What about students going to university. Would you not think of doing that here?
13. You said you're going for a small site of the minimum red size. How will it compare to the other schools? Will it have the same facilities or are they getting a better deal? (Le Rocquier is actually bigger. Built for 900)
14. How many pupils does Les Q have at the moment?
15. You're only expecting a rise of just over 100. We live in a densely populated parish and there's a lot of incoming and a lot of immigration.
16. Children out in the west are missing out and as a parent that's quite difficult to hear.
17. The plot size. Option 2 is smaller. How would that impact the amount of facilities you could provide?
18. Are you sticking around then Sarah?

## **St Mary's Primary School**

3pm on Wednesday 07 October 2015

Audience no: 4 parents (presentation not given – individual questions answered).

## **Bel Royal Primary School**

3pm on Monday 13 October 2015

Audience no: 12 parents and 15 children.

### Questions

1. Will any lost sports facilities be reinstated elsewhere?
2. How will the development impact on cycling links?
3. What will happen to the old site?
4. Will the move into the new building when it's ready be phased?
5. Is one site better than the others in respect of the ability to extend later?
6. What experience do the team have of designing schools?
7. Which site will deliver the best school?
8. How has information about what kind of school is needed been gathered?
9. What determines classroom sizes and other specifications?
10. When will the build start?
11. What access will there be from Railway Walk?
12. What is the main difference between Options 2 and 3?
- 13.** Is one option easier than the others, in terms of delivering the building?

## Les Landes School

21 & 22 October – Display

### Contacts log

	<b>Comment / question</b>
1.	Option 2 – voted
2.	Option 1 – may change
3.	What's happening to the existing site?
4.	Don't want to lose sports facilities
5.	Leaflets given out
6.	Must keep the cycle track
7.	Leaflets given out x2
8.	Booklet taken
9.	Do not want to reduce public sport space
10.	St B Sport Club should be kept
11.	Don't want to lose football
12.	Keep sports for children
13.	2 children will go there – options explained
14.	Wants the school built
15.	Leaflet given out
16.	Leaflet given out
17.	Mum – took leaflet – doesn't like option 1
18.	Couple – one a planner wanted details re sports facilities
19.	Couple – completed form – prefer option 2
20.	Completed form
21.	Mother taken 2 and leaflet
22.	Mum – completed form – option 2 – no comments
23.	Couple – taken survey home – 2 children at Les Landes
24.	Parents with 2 Les Landes students – prefer option 2
25.	Mum – moved to Island, completing form
26.	Couple – completed form – prefer option 2
27.	Parents of year 6 student going private – 2 surveys
28.	Mum – unsure and took leaflet
29.	Couple – 2 different opinions
30.	Former LQS student – already voted
31.	Dad with toddler – voted
32.	Mother – form taken

**Les Q Sites Consultation  
CONTACTS LOG**

Vintage Fair, St Aubin stand – Saturday 26 September 2015

	<b>Comment / question</b>
1.	Protect green zone
2.	Tennis club member
3.	More room to expand
4.	From St Martin – agree should be built asap
5.	Make sure parking is not in estate or on road
6.	As much space as possible to allow growth
7.	Supportive of new site as traffic past his home now is unacceptable
8.	“Building on site 2 is the only option”
9.	Leave sports fields alone – option 2
10.	Tennis club committee member will discuss options with colleagues
11.	Resident of St Brelade, family in parish will attend on 3 November
12.	Resident of St Brelade – avoid ribbon development of option 2
13.	Twins age 7 – want them to have a suitable school to go to
14.	Live in Gorey – move out built up estate. Keep all pitches.
15.	No children. Live in St Brelade and walks dog in area. No preference shown
16.	Option 2 allows best use of space for design
17.	Option 2 & 3 – no – save green space
18.	Definitely need a new school, you will get flack whichever option
19.	Les Q sports field would affect too many people – no goer
20.	Not agricultural land so option 1 only viable
21.	Booklet
22.	Booklet
23.	Filled in
24.	Filled in
25.	Filled in
26.	School long overdue
27.	Filled in
28.	Will logon to website
29.	Fill in online – explained sites in detail
30.	Booklet taken
31.	Booklet taken
32.	Preferred option 2 – future student
33.	No preference yet – booklet taken
34.	Improvements long overdue – too much States delay
35.	Don't lose public sports field
36.	School must be replaced
37.	Option 2 but don't want to vote as retired
38.	Options explained, did not like option 1
39.	Option explained – wished to know dates – start/finish – leaflet taken
40.	No decision – what about a split site?
41.	Leaflet completed – option 3
42.	Option 3 gives more open space for the future
43.	Option 1 because of airport fumes and grease (grandparent)
44.	Visitor from Scotland (not voting)
45.	Prefer option 2 &3
46.	La Moye parents

**Les Q Sites Consultation**  
**CONTACTS LOG**

Les Quennevais Precinct stand – Saturday 03 October 2015

	<b>Comment / question</b>
1.	Three options explained – leaflet and booklet given – tennis concern
2.	Option 1 – not near cemetery
3.	Option 2 – best site
4.	Option 2 – don't lose sports fields
5.	Not interested at all
6.	Option 2
7.	It's going over there option 2/3" what's the point – suggested Peoples Park
8.	Ex parent site 2 or 3 – do asap – about the future
9.	2 – keep football pitch
10.	Not option 1
11.	Option 2 – likes the gap between residential and school
12.	Option 2 – leave hockey and other pitches
13.	Option 1 – no one uses the sporting facilities anyway!
14.	Insistent already a preferred option – but option 2
15.	Option 1
16.	Option 2 – keep sports
17.	Option 2 – increase sports facilities
18.	Not interested – as long as it doesn't detract from... and then trailed off
19.	Future parent – increase sports facilities
20.	Option 2 – keeps sports
21.	Option 2 – least disruptive
22.	Option 2 not to effect social club
23.	Option 2 – Neil Raynes
24.	Option 1 – better access – other options too near engine test area
25.	Option 2
26.	Option 2 Mr Raines
27.	Option 2 – going to meeting on 8th (is involved with tennis club)
28.	Option 2 – need a new school. All options possible. Option 2 the best.
29.	Wanted to see options on aerial views – has red voting form at home
30.	Didn't like any option would prefer to rebuild in existing site
31.	No opinion – but has family living in the parish and around – option 2/3
32.	Option 3 – safety of children is paramount
33.	Option 2 – looks the best
34.	Option 3 – leaving the sports centre alone
35.	Option 1 – good to have access to sports facilities (sister at La Moye)
36.	Option 2 – makes sense close to Les Ormes facilities
37.	Option 2 – 'I hope its not option 1' leave sports centre alone
38.	None – why not build on existing site instead of taking our land – waste of time
39.	Option 2 – keeps football pitch and separates from the housing
40.	Option 2 – review access point and filter system
41.	Option 2 – Review access for drop offs avoid congestion of main road.
42.	Completed online survey – option 2
43.	Build on the existing site – reduce the number on road – send to other schools
44.	Prefer option 2/3
45.	Filled in form
46.	Option 2 – Grandson at Bel Royal
47.	Family – prefer option 2 – already voted online – live at Les Quennevais
48.	Option 2
49.	Mother and son (Les Quennevais student)
50.	Residents of Clos Des Sables and former pupils
51.	Residents of Clos Des Sables and former pupils
52.	Husband and wife – local residents – want split site sports field and with Dandara
53.	Current site – unhappy about developers/migration
54.	Booklet taken and listened to discussion
55.	Local resident completed card – school at De La Salle
56.	Couple completed card – wife Les Quennevais student in past
57.	Father and family – member of St Brelade social club and resident Route du Quennevais



58.	Family – local residents
59.	Leaflet taken
60.	Student of Mont Nicolle
61.	Leaflet taken
62.	Quennevais Park resident – option 2
63.	Former pupil – didn't know about it
64.	Mother and daughter live on site boundary – took forms
65.	Parents of pre-schoolers – booklet taken
66.	Parent of pre-schoolers – booklet taken
67.	Parent of 2 pupils – form filled in
68.	Parent of 2 pupils – form filled in
69.	Current year 11 Les Quennevais pupil
70.	Elderly resident – going to look online
71.	Teacher of other school and local resident – option 2
72.	Mother of toddler and local resident – booklet taken
73.	Golfer – children at Les Quennevais – took form and booklet

**Les Q Sites Consultation  
CONTACTS LOG**

St Helier stand – Saturday 10 October 2015

	<b>Comment / question</b>
1.	Will think it over, possibly option 2
2.	Mother of future pupil, 18 month old – booklet and leaflet taken
3.	Father of future pupil
4.	No to Les Quennevais playing field
5.	Option 1 – St Peter family – young children
6.	Option 2 – St Peter resident
7.	Option 2 – voted
8.	Will look online to vote
9.	Option 2 – local – Would not want to lose pitch
10.	Option 2 – local
11.	Mr Hamon of Hamons – no vote, shame to lose green field
12.	Option 1 – vote – wants it near existing school and railway walk
13.	Option 2
14.	St Saviour resident – option 2 best
15.	Future mother of students – option 2
16.	Grandmother of students – option 2
17.	Took booklet away to vote – future parent of students
18.	Option 2 – keep Quennevais for community
19.	All voted – explained options and added comments
20.	All voted – explained options and added comments
21.	All voted – explained options and added comments
22.	All voted – explained options – no comment
23.	St Brelade resident – opposed to new school
24.	St Brelades resident – booklet taken
25.	Option 2
26.	Former Les Quennevais teacher – no portacabins – option 2
27.	Option 3 – biggest site
28.	Option 2 – form filled
29.	Option 2
30.	Guernsey resident comparison with their project
31.	Option 3 – St Brelade resident – better for traffic
32.	Option 1 – Use Les Creux site for misplaced sports/games
33.	Teacher (another school) – option 2
34.	Option 1 – better than losing green site
35.	2013 Wheelchair access must – ex-student
36.	Option 1
37.	Already voted
38.	Option 1 – lives in St Peter, will be closer to swimming pool
39.	Option 2 – by far the best
40.	Option 2 – only one option – leave sports facilities alone!
41.	Option 2 – elderly lady – not in parish but ditto above
42.	Couldn't decide – lives in St Brelades
43.	Y2/3 – 3 will open space either side
44.	Option 1 – no real reason
45.	Option 1 – same location
46.	Option 1 – same location
47.	Option 1 – same location
48.	Option 3 – split between option 2 and 3 – more room
49.	No option decided – took brochure
50.	No option decided – took brochure
51.	Option 1 – same site but bigger
52.	Option 1 or 2 – will vote online
53.	Will vote online and will be attending on 03/11/15
54.	Option 2 – better site
55.	Option 2
56.	Option 3

57.	No decision made
58.	No decision made, doesn't live in Parish but has relatives that do
59.	Option 2 – don't lose the football pitch
60.	Option 2
61.	Option 2
62.	Option 2
63.	Took away – older person very concerned about traffic – option 3
64.	Option 2
65.	Option 1 – Less cost involved
66.	Option 1
67.	Option 2 – less populated site
68.	Option 1 then 3 – grave concerns about traffic flow
69.	Option 1 (or 3) – ex Les Quennevais student
70.	Option 3 – better, bigger site
71.	Option 2
72.	Ex pupil – leaning to option 1 but concerned about traffic
73.	Leaflet taken
74.	Not decided, going to meeting on 03/11/15
75.	Father of ex-student – no decision made
76.	Ex-student – no decision made
77.	Option 2
78.	Option 2
79.	Option 2 – bigger plot and keeps field
80.	Option 1 – close to old site
81.	Year 8 pupil
82.	Option 2 or 3
83.	Option 2?
84.	Leaflet taken
85.	Unsure
86.	Voted at St Mary meeting
87.	Ex-pupil – rebuild on existing
88.	Take away to vote online
89.	Will vote online
90.	St Mary student – option 2
91.	Grandmother – no vote
92.	Hautlieu student – option 2
93.	Unclear
94.	Mother of two St Peter students – no decision
95.	Booklet given out – no decision
96.	Not bothered – doesn't go out West
97.	More bothered about the hospital – ridiculous!
98.	Democracy sucks here – we know you've already decided!
99.	Booklet given – no opinion
100.	Parent of boy living in West – booklet and form taken
101.	Local resident and football coach – option 2
102.	Father – option 3 because of extra space
103.	Les Quennevais student – voted already
104.	Les Quennevais student – not voted
105.	Local blogger – did not wish to participate
106.	Former teacher – booklet taken – will do her option online
107.	Former teacher at Hautlieu – option 2 (male/retired)
108.	Resident at Clos des Sables – option 3 is first preference then option 2
109.	Voted online but wanted to see the pictures (option 1)
110.	Booklet taken – option 2 preferred, leaflets taken to dental surgery
111.	Voted online already – don't want it too close to where they live
112.	On the sports field – close to the school – space for it – no need to go further away from existing school
113.	Bottom line in: GET A NEW SCHOOL!
114.	Parking and transport needs to be addressed
115.	Concerned about loss of sports facilities with option 1 and loss of social club/pitch – option 2 favoured
116.	If you had looked after what you have, you wouldn't need to do this!
117.	Option 1
118.	Option 1 favoured – concerned about impact on green zone of options 2 & 3

## Comments received

### Online responses – anonymised and unedited

385 responses

1. It would be a great loss if the new school was to be built on existing sports facilities. 11/9/2015 11:03 AM
2. This seems the most practical and desirable site for the new school, with space and light, and the accessibility for buses etc. 11/9/2015 12:02 PM
3. I am opposed to the proposed development taking place on either of the la rue de quennevais options due to the current development of the current belle vue phases, a new 3 story block of flats and the new cargo area at the airport- all of which are still in development and will have an impact on the traffic along this stretch of road once completed. Options 2 and 3 also present an overbearing challenge to the Rue du Cemetiere road. This road is extremely small and narrow- it's not capable of handling the volume of traffic - even if widened. As a homeowner directly across for the proposed site, we will undoubtedly have cars dropping children off on the road outside the property and also parking in the private estate we live on. What will you do about that when it happens or are you only bothered about getting it built? The position of the the school in option 2 and 3 should also be reviewed. It should be placed at the back of the site with the sports fields placed at the front - running in parallel to la rue de quennevais. For a change- How about showing some consideration the homeowners who have invested a substantial amount to purchase properties in the area and apparently aren't considers at all in the section 4 questionare bellow!! 11/8/2015 8:32 PM
4. I live in a property opposite the fields in question with a property on Route des Quennevais: Further to the recent review of the proposed new school build on the fields to the right of Route des Quennevais (if travelling towards Quennevais Precinct), I would ask for the following to be seriously considered in order to allow a little more peace and quiet to the homeowners already situated on the main road and who will be directly affected by the new build. Consider erecting the school to the furthest point away from the main road on Route des Quennevais. This would lesson the impact of such an imposing building to those who have houses on the right hand side of the road. If the school is built further away from the road, it would have a small impact to one property which appears to be at the far side of the fields (away from Route de Quennevais) as opposed to multiple properties being overlooked if it were to be built with the current plans. Consider placing all outside playing fields/tracks/green areas of the school towards the front of Route de Quennevais: this would give the current homeowners a more greener view from their properties (on the right hand side of that road). It would also substantially reduce the amount of noise for buses, cars and children congregating at the start and end of the day. Place the entrance and exit of the school via Rue des Carree as opposed to Rue du Cemetiere. We do not currently know of the impact the latest development of the Belle Vue site will have on the very small entrance as no-one has currently moved into those properties. There is also a bus stop directly opposite Rue des Cemetiere which currently services the no 15 route, a bus currently comes along every 15 minutes. The entrance and exit to the school from that area will exasperate the already busy road at this juncture. Rue des Carree is currently too small for the traffic that currently passes through it and wing mirrors are constantly being hit with others cars. It is in desperate need of widening and it would be the perfect time to increase its size for the new access to the school. We already have an extremely large property development at Belle Vue, with the latest and the biggest block being built directly opposite our property. We were advised at the consultation meeting that the architect any building being built along Route des Quennevais would need to be at least 50 feet away from the roadside - this is definitely not the case with the last build of apartments underway. We understand the need for a new school but please consider the Current homeowners and the need for their peace and privacy. It is already a have built up area and the more we can see and preserve green areas, the better. 11/8/2015 8:22 PM
5. I am a homeowner opposite the fields in question with a property on Route des Quennevais: Further to the recent review of the proposed new school build on the fields to the right of Route des Quennevais (if travelling towards Quennevais Precinct), I would ask for the following to be seriously considered in order to allow a little more peace and quiet to the homeowners already situated on the main road and who will be directly affected by the new build. Consider erecting the school to the furthest point away from the main road on Route des Quennevais. This would lesson the impact of such an imposing building to those who have houses on the right hand side of the road. If the school is built further away from the road, it would have a small impact to one property which appears to be at the far side of the fields (away from Route de Quennevais) as opposed to multiple properties being overlooked if it were to be built with the current plans. Consider placing all outside playing fields/tracks/green areas of the school towards the front of Route de Quennevais: this would give the current homeowners a more greener view from their properties (on the right hand side of that road). It would also substantially reduce the amount of noise for buses, cars and children congregating at the start and end of the day. Place the entrance and exit of the school via Rue des Carree as opposed to Rue du Cemetiere. We do not currently know of the impact the latest development of the Belle Vue site will have on the very small entrance as no-one has currently moved into those properties. There is also a bus stop directly opposite Rue des Cemetiere which currently services the no 15 route, a bus currently comes along every 15 minutes. The entrance and exit to the school from that area will exasperate the already busy road at this juncture. Rue des Carree is currently too small for the traffic that currently passes through it and wing mirrors are constantly being hit with others cars. It is in desperate need of widening and it would be the perfect time to increase its size for the new access to the school. We already have an extremely large property development at Belle Vue, with the latest and the biggest block being built directly opposite our property. We were advised at the consultation meeting that the architect any building being built along Route des Quennevais would need to be at least 50 feet away from the roadside - this is definitely not the case with the last build of apartments underway. We understand the need for a new school but please consider the Current homeowners and the need for their peace and privacy. It is already a have built up area and the more we can see and preserve green areas, the better. 11/8/2015 8:08 PM
6. Anything would be better than option 1, but option 2 is my preferred option 11/8/2015 6:30 PM
7. option 3 seems the best area for the school, parking and school buses. you would need an exit road. 11/8/2015 2:01 PM

8. This option would provide greatest scope for any future expansion needs. Our property is adjoining Field 77 which is directly impacted by the proposed placement of the school. At the moment both Option 2 and Option 3 provide an "Orchard" and "Wildlife" areas which would provide some degree of "screening" of our property from the playground. Hopefully there would be extensive landscaping mounds/burns created to help minimise the "noise impact" on our property. We understand that there needs to be a new school and appreciate that Options 2 and 3 are the obvious choices. 11/8/2015 1:59 PM
9. Les Quennevais school needs a space to design a school for the 21st Century which can meet the needs of a modern education and allow access for all in the most effective way. This is the priority of any choice. Site 1 and 3 place the school in restricted spaces, close to residential developments. The school design will be dictated by the space rather than educational priorities. Site 2 allows the school to be designed as flexibly as possible. As an inclusive school which caters for physically handicapped students the longer design allowed in Site 2 allows students in wheelchairs to access every area easily and quickly, easing movement between classes and social spaces and making them feel a full part of the school and its community. Site 2 allows partnerships to be developed with nearby sports facilities e.g. St Brelade Football Club and Les Ormes, whilst providing new facilities for the school and community to use. Including a new 4G pitch would enable sports clubs to use the site all the year round, whilst maintain the St Brelade pitch, unlike site 3. Within the school the design should consider further enhancing sports and other facilities for the community. Sports hall can be designed to include flexible space e.g. squash courts with walls which move out of the way to create a trampoline space and netball courts with spectator areas. ESC should liaise with the sports communities and development officers to ensure all potential improvements in facilities can be incorporated into the design. Designing in public external access to sport facilities and changing areas outside of school hours would allow the school to generate income and further contribute to the island sports strategy. Site 2 and 3 allow much better access and egress than site 1 with a more manageable approach to traffic management. They would also be enhanced the extension of the 15 bus route through to St Peter and St Ouen/ St Mary to allow more students to travel home easily when staying after school when buses have left. At the moment parents have to drive to collect a y student undertaking such activities e.g. a sport fixture or rehearsal after school. The site is also on the cycle path but closer to the route to other parishes thus encouraging further use. Site 2 keeps a buffer between it (football club) and the residential area whilst remaining closely linked to and at the heart the surrounding community. This will reduce conflict over facilities and noise disturbance. By creating sports fields on the majority of the land an open aspect will be maintained for years to come between Les Quennevais area and the airport zone. 11/7/2015 5:47 PM
10. It seems detrimental to the community to take part of the Quennevais sports field especially when there is no suggestion of converting the existng school into a sporting facility and there is nothing comparable to this to act as a replacement. 11/6/2015 9:41 PM
11. Providing there is safe cycle access from the railway walk so that children do not have to go along the main road. There were temporary traffic lights on Route Des Les Quennevais recently which caused long tailbacks. The traffic flow into the school at drop off and pick up times should be designed in such a way to keep traffic flowing along that busy road. 11/6/2015 11:30 AM
12. I attended the public meeting on 03/11/15 which i found very interesting, however i am still concerned about the height of the building, the footprint of the building does not look big enough to accommodate the area required without being several stories high ?.....as to the question of pollution, some years ago I had lived on the north end of Quennevais road near Falles garage, this was from birth for twenty six years and can comment that there is no pollution from the airport in the way of jet fuel smells, the noise is now very well suppressed by the the new cargo buildings any pollution apart from noise is very quickly dispersed by the almost constant westerly winds !!.....the school exit road onto Route Des Quennevais is of concern this is planned to be 55mts from the rue carree junction, maybe this is within traffic guidelines but in reality this is most definitely in the wrong place, also Quennevais road would need to widened at this point to allow exiting traffic to join at an gradual angle not a right angle allowing more space for school buses, service vehicles etc which will need more room to manoeuvre ..... 11/4/2015 10:47 PM
13. Terrible shame to lose the amazing facilities at Les q - facilities used by people of all ages 11/4/2015 6:40 PM
14. I feel that as option 2 is large enough to accommodate the school, there is no need to build on St Brelade Sports and Social club. It would put the school too close to the Cemetery and also take away a fantastic facility at St Brelade if Option 3 was used. 11/4/2015 3:07 PM
15. Please don't continue with option 1 - I feel we'd lose far too many valuable sports facilities. I think it would be unfair on St Brelade football club if Option 3 was chosen, so, for me, I feel Option 2 would be best. 11/4/2015 11:08 AM
16. This is the least worst option! Your justification suggests that there are good connecting roads but with the overdevelopment of housing the already busy Quennevais Road will be completely inundated!! There has been a total failure of policing the parking regulations around the current site despite numerous complaint from residents. Every politician questioned over the last ten years has stated that road improvements will take place but nothing has happened. When the current Andium project is completed there will be around another 300 cars using this road at peak times. 11/3/2015 3:23 PM
17. Provide the best school building opportunity and reduces impact to the residential area to the south 11/3/2015 3:11 PM
18. In my opinion I believe that option 2 has the most significant impact in order to accommodate for the current and future needs of the school. I also believe that it increases future prospects for the St. Brelades social club and it's associated football club, which is a key stakeholder within the community. Option 2 will maximise the potential sporting facilities available as it not only creates additional facilities but it also has no knock-on effects to correct the current sporting facilities. From a personal perspective, it would be great to see a floodlight training facility considered as part of the proposed development plans, either at the new or old school site; possibly similar to what has been introduced at

Springfield as the availability of suitable training facilities has been consistent problem for the club. I think that not only would it be a valuable facility but would also show decent financial prospects for those looking to lease the facility i.e St. Brelades F.C and other community stakeholders such as local and other island wide youth clubs etc. 11/3/2015 1:37 PM

19. Seems the best option with the least impact on well used sporting facilities in the west of the island .It seems counterintuitive to drastically reduce these facilities for young and old alike when society is trying to encourage our population to remain as active as possible 11/3/2015 11:09 AM
20. Either option two or three. I strongly think the existing sports facilities at Les Quennevais should be retained as they are. 11/2/2015 4:18 PM
21. Protection of existing facilities 10/31/2015 3:14 PM
22. Living in St Brelade ,I would not like to see sports pitches lost on both sites as these areas are heavily built up with housing etc .and not behind a grave yard I hope common Sense takes hold 10/30/2015 4:47 PM
23. My son plays for St Brelade FC U15 Team and there are 22 players in that team along with other age groups from the minis section to U16 and then the senior teams. I know St Brelade have worked hard in re-establishing their junior section and now have at least 300 young people and invested time and money into their youth section. To take away their football pitch and club house will be a great shame and most certainly will be the end of football for the club. Many have situations that coming to training and being part of the team is beneficial to them it also keeps them off their Play a stations so I would hope that the great benefit of St Brelade keeping their pitch is taken into account. Option 2 is better as the football pitches at Les Quennevais and St Brelade FC are needed as football does not seem to get the investment that rugby gets. 10/30/2015 4:27 PM
24. This would seem to be the best option with the least disruption whilst also preserving the excellent and much needed sports facilities at Les Quennevais and at St Brelade FC. 10/26/2015 8:17 PM
25. the site should not fall into the pitfalls of Hautlieu which turned out to be energetically very expensive and smell from the kitchen went into the kitchen etc. 2. the planners should be aware that we are using yet more of our green space which is going faster than ever before! 3. That the school should be at least two (if not three) floors high so that space is not wasted. 4. That rooms and facilities should be multifunctional and can be shared with the local community. 5. That this time (and for goodness do it , include solar panels and wind power units) these are often incorporated in plans to sell the idea but never actually materialise. Please make sure that this actually happens. I visited your consultation at Les Quennevais School and would like emphasise that there is a very important consideration to be taken into account. Using the option 3 the school periphery sits next door to Les Ormes which is a charity for the Parishioners. Les Ormes Tennis courts could act as a very good training ground for students at the school and could raise the standard of tennis in the island if integrated into the school plan. 10/26/2015 1:56 PM
26. Les Quennevais sports centre is tremendous asset to the residents of the west of the island and to Jersey as a whole. It needs to be protected above all else. 10/25/2015 2:57 PM
27. We cannot afford to lose the option to use the facilities of Les Quennevais Sports Centre. It would create a problem for many sports clubs. 10/25/2015 2:50 PM
28. It would be a great loss to loose any of the existing Les Quennevais sports fields to any development as I consider them a very vital and important asset. 10/24/2015 3:23 PM
29. Totally agree that a new school is required to meet he needs of the western parishes. Option 1 The loss of island wide sporting facilities is not really an option. Also any increase traffic in the area will be of concern to the nearby residents and current users of the sporting facilities. Option2 The best option no need to relocate the football club could start immediately once planning approval was granted. Option 3 Need to find a site to relocate football club before any development can commence also the additional associated costs of this relocation will need to be found. Both option 2 and 3 will add to the traffic problems at the start and finish of the school day on Route de Quennevais but I feel a new school is more important. 10/24/2015 12:18 PM
30. Given the three sites and rise in attendance of students, Option 3 would be best with time to expand also. The traffic and re-generation of the area will be much needed. 10/21/2015 2:45 PM
  - No expense incurred in buying privately owned farm land. (Cost of this to taxpayer not given.) \* Retain farmland and stay away from already agreed green zone. \* Cycle track can still be used by students to access school. \* Position of library ( a well populated area for likely clients) maintained. \* Several pitches and tracks available (as they are now) for the students. Other 2 options appear to be deficient in this area. \* Existing site of school and access route could be maintained for car and bus drop offs without impact on Don Farm. \* La Route des Quennevais is already a busy, at times congested, road. The impact on traffic and danger to groups of walking students should be a major concern to us all. 10/20/2015 6:18 PM
31. No brainer 10/19/2015 9:38 AM
32. I wouldn't want to loose the sport fields 10/19/2015 9:28 AM
33. Much larger site for growth 10/19/2015 9:26 AM
34. The least affect on existing sports facilities and bodies 10/18/2015 12:36 PM

35. I am extremely keen to see the sports fields retained for cricket and other activities - once you lose the green space + pitches they are impossible to replace. 10/16/2015 12:40 PM
36. As a member of the Jersey Triathlon Club and a coach of the Junior Triathlon Club, Les Quennevais is the only place in Jersey where we can hold triathlons for juniors. We can use the swimming pool, then exit via the back door on to the cycle track where the juniors can cycle safely around the cycle track then use the grass pitches for a run. We have a membership of over 40 juniors at the moment and recently held a junior club open day which was extremely well attended and we have had 36 new requests for membership. In May 2015 we held a junior triathlon at Les Quennevais to raise money for Leukemia and 298 children took part. The junior section is from age 7 upwards to 18 so you can understand that it is not safe for them to race on the road. As a member of the public I have enjoyed running around the grass on the football pitches and watched many football matches that my 2 boys and lots of other children have taken part in. You regularly see people of all ages playing petanque, hockey, tennis, football, softball, and cycling on the sports areas and it is extremely important that these places exist so that sports can grow and be a part of peoples lives. Even if they are non competitive parents can take their children up there to learn to ride their bikes, kick a ball or just around. It is great to access the top of the sanddunes or the railway walk from there too. 10/15/2015 4:20 PM
37. The States should not be taking some of the limited and well used sporting facilities. This will have a detrimental affect on sports clubs using Les Quennevais. The additional traffic on Don Farm would be horrendous and very noisy. An additional road system would need to be put in place. This will have a major detrimental affect on the residents some of who are quite elderly. Option 2 is the best solution and will enable the States to build the school as required. Yes it is in the Green Zone, but the land is of poor quality and under utilised for agricultural purposes. There comes a time when it is sensible to build on green land that is going to waste, for the overall benefit of the island 10/15/2015 3:22 PM
38. Although I have ticked option 2, I have a number of concerns about all options. I think the idea of putting some of the facilities on three floors has been too quickly dismissed. I understand that some areas, such as sports require high floor to ceiling, but class rooms can be done on more that two floors as a number of recent award winning secondary schools show. None of the three designs give a clear indication of how they will work on the given sites. The budget of £40M is what was spent on Burntwood Schools by Allford Hall Monaghan Morris which is shortlisted for the Stirling prize. For that sort of money, we should be getting award winning architecture which cleverly works with the brief and context. I would be interested to know if any of the Architects for the project were present at the talk given by Paul Monaghan about the Burntwood school for Jersey Architecture Biennale. Another previous Stirling Prize winner is the Evelyn Grace Academy by Zaha Hadid Architects, also more than 2 storeys high. Should the States not expect the same standard of high quality, award winning, design? Have you considered option 1 with basement level parking, a lower ground floor level to bring the height down and two further floors above for a number of the facilities? This would reduce the foot print of the school significantly and save more of the sports facilities. Once the school is built, the old site could then become an area for more sport and/or the potential to expand the schools if required. As it is at only 2 storeys, it is probably too large for site one, but with a bit more thought and good design, that site has potential. Maximising the efficient use of land should be the priority! The current programme discussed was going in for planning in the first quarter of next year. If the consultation period doesn't finish until mid November when a site will be agreed, how can the design be fully developed to get it in for planning? The design team should be working closely with the staff and students and three months isn't very long for a project this size. 10/14/2015 6:50 PM
39. Sports fields should not be used at any cost!!! 10/13/2015 10:03 PM
40. None. Spending this huge sum of money is a complete waste of money. The old school should be refurbished with an extension tunnel under the railway walk and new buildings next to the school. There is too much emphasis on buildings not quality of teaching. Lots of schools in the UK have excellent reputations in buildings similar to the existing school. 10/13/2015 8:41 PM
41. The u.k. Started getting rid of sports fields over 40 years ago.....left few areas for clubs/children to play sport.....result....an increase in bad behaviour etc.....it took 20 years to sort out the mess. If you use either 1 or 3 vital sports fields, at present used considerably, would be lost forever 10/13/2015 4:03 PM
42. Not option 1 as this would affect the sports facilities 10/13/2015 2:02 PM
43. You only need to go to the current playing fields to see how many people from all different sports make use of the facilities. It would be tragic to loose this facility. 10/13/2015 2:01 PM
44. No brainer 10/13/2015 12:53 PM
45. It would be nice to see the old site given back to nature, expanding the area for our red squirrels and providing a wood land/ picnic area for the community to enjoy. 10/13/2015 9:54 AM
46. Looks great and building should be started asap. 10/12/2015 4:19 PM
47. Do not think we should loose part of the communal sports field, which is used by everyone in Option 1. also do not feel that St Brelades F.C. should loose their current site, unless they are happy to do so....compensation...alternative site.....club house ?. Option 3. What is proposed for the old school site ?. 10/12/2015 1:47 AM
48. I have no preferred site from the 3 above options. I am completely dumbfounded after waiting for many years for an appropriate site for the new Les Quennevais School to be built WHY on earth the above 3 options (when there is an entire Parish available) are the only options available. It just seems like all decisions being made of late, that it is a 'oh it will do attitude'. How can placing a school within such an all ready build up area even be considered? My concerns are as follows: Number 1 - not in any of these 3 choices has the respect for the Cemetry been shown. number 2 - the Air and Noise pollution from the Airport has never been raised. Believe me I live right in this area , which over the last few years



has become so industrialised it is incredible ! At times depending on the way the wind blows it is impossible to sit in the garden due to Noise and Fumes from the Airport 10/11/2015 12:56 PM

49. Definitely NOT option 1 - do not ruin the playing fields!! 10/10/2015 11:35 AM
50. It is the furthest away from private property, and school buses will have no need to enter the busy Red houses area therefore easing traffic conditions. There have been problems in past years with pupils causing problems with residents as they passed through housing estates. It is further away from the shops at Red houses, therefore less tempting to purchase alcohol. Less inconvenience to residents because of widespread illegal parking by parents fetching their children at the present site. Option 1 would be a disaster. Writer served as an Honorary police officer in St. Brelade from 1998 to 2009 10/9/2015 6:54 PM
51. Very impressive public meeting on 8th October! I am now in no doubt that the current Les Quennevais School is well past its sell by date, thanks to the honest account of conditions by the Head Teacher and concise explanation by the principal architect. I feel that Option 2 is clearly the best option, and a worthwhile use for the an area that is bound to otherwise become housing. I realise that the brief is probably set and there may not be a real need for it, but is there an opportunity to include a 6th form centre to allow for A-levels teachingas, an alternative to Hautlieu? I am not minded as to the configuration of where in the fields the school would be positioned, as long as it is safe and gives optimum green areas surrounding it. As the main road can be quite busy, I feel a temporary 20mph zone would be a necessity near the entrance/exit, and zebra crossings. While option 3 is broadly similar to option 2, it would be preferable that the football grounds/ social club are retained rather than removed, as they are well utilised by the public currently. Option 1 is in my opinion too much of a sacrifice of existing public facilities, and would affect the whole island not just residents. I grew up in Quennevais Park and have fond memories of the area, as I'm sure many many current and ex residents have. I can't imagine losing access to half of the playing fields, especially the southern half as it links up perfectly to the Railway track. Forget the arguments about People's Park and the New Hospital, this really is a green area that is highly utilised by the public, and I can't see much support for its use as a school. After visiting the new St Martins primary school, and the modern thinking behind its design, I have great faith that the new Les Quennevais School will be a huge success! Good luck, you have my support! Kind regards 10/9/2015 3:31 PM
52. Best option as it will allow proper development of a new school with additional facilities for the community. 10/9/2015 2:36 PM
53. Definitely the best option for a new school. 10/9/2015 2:14 PM
54. Option 2 is by far the best one, a new school which would be built without disruption to the children using the old school now. You could put traffic lights on La Rue Quennevais from Rue Carree, not only helping traffic but the school children I feel the traffic down at Red Houses would be calmer, so hopefully La Rue Quennevais would not be so busy at Quennevais. At the meeting tonight, it was suggested that the roof was supported and if in the years to come another floor could be added. To think about Option 1 would move the traffice from one Estate to another, and Don Farm is such a busy road, communicate and the churches and all the sportsmen and women. Would you ever beable to get such wonder sporting facilies again? The new housing on Quennevais Road is horrendous, an eye sore. 10/8/2015 10:40 PM
55. Need a gap between all the new development on La Route Des Quennevais as driving from the airport the area is looking over built. Please let St Brelade keep their football pitch near the Les Quennevais cemetery 10/8/2015 7:41 PM
56. Only suitable site, other 2 options will mean a loss of valuable agricultural land, which is likely to cause delays at planning stages. 10/8/2015 4:27 PM
57. We live behind the LQ playing fields. Whilst I can understand the reasoning (and cost saving associated with) choosing this location, I feel that it would take away such a special part of our island where our kids can safely ride their bicycles, no traffic, no congestion, no safety issues etc. Whilst I understand that 2/3 of the cycle track etc will remain, in my opinion I would like to see this area of the island untouched. Parking at LQ playing fields will also be an issue as well as traffic (which is currently already heavy). From a selfish point of view, my (purely personal) worry is also how it may affect the value of our house in future? I prefer option 2 (or failing that, option 3). I like the idea of a dedicated site, and especially the (one way) traffic/drop off roads and designated parking area for the school. The prospect of future expansion associated with options 2 & 3 is also a massive plus point in my opinion. Good luck - this is not an easy decision but I know the right one will be made. Any of the proposed options will already be an immense improvement on the current situation/site. 10/8/2015 11:11 AM
58. best option option 3 good lay out and plenty of room and green space for the school more flexible for future planning leaving quennevais field on sand dunes (part of this area option 1) in tact which is such a popular and well loved site leaving quennevais field on sand dunes available for the high density population around it to enjoy and use leaving quennevais field on the sand dunes available for the island wide community to benefit from when larger sporting activities are held....in fact this has become a major centre for most of the island-wide sporting community personally I have an interest in this as all members of my family currently use this site for sporting activities and I grew up in the 70s and 80s using this site when I was a child when I represented my school and the island in athletics, living at quennevais park at the time... great memories! leaving quennevais field on sand dunes free for young families to enjoy who love using the cycle track for their youngsters and accessing the site safely via local cycle tracks and the railway walk which gives safe access for children option 2 looks less roomy and does not appear to provide as large green areas as option 3 10/7/2015 7:08 PM
59. Best option because provides option for future expansion if necessary. Also avoids disruption to all existing sports facilities and green space at sports centre, which is a high-use community and island-wide facility. 10/7/2015 6:43 PM
60. It's fantastic to see this project finally getting going, it is desperately needed. It would be wonderful to also take opportunity to make a sixth form for the western parishes as part of the new school site. I know it was something past students have

really wanted and it would offer brilliant career progression opportunities for the staff of the school who would like to teach post-16 courses. Whilst the chance to tailor make the space for learning is there let's make an amazing school even more brilliant for our children. With only the choice of Highlands or Hautlieu at present, if you don't feel you fit in there you don't have much choice without great cost being involved to go privately. I would not be opposed to option 3 on the condition that the football pitch was relocated, I would not want the club to close and take away from that part of the community. I think that the loss of pitches at the sports field and the increased traffic through the housing estate would be detrimental to the local community. For me this rules out option 1. 10/7/2015 6:18 PM

61. I think this is the best site to build the new school as I believe this would cause the least disruption to the area. To consider the two other sites is not the most suitable to my mind for the following reasons. 1. The other sites would place the school adjacent to a cemetery. 2. It would be beside the main artery for traffic in and out of town and buses to and from the airport. 3. This area is built up enough with a two petrol stations, two car showrooms, car repairers, and a new cargo centre for the airport. 4. New housing developments for the over 55's and family housing under developments, that have already increased traffic considerably. 5. And there would be even more congestion with school buses, school staff using cars and parents bringing children to school and the same problem when the school day is finished. , 10/7/2015 5:09 PM
62. The school should definitely not be built on the Les Quennevais sports field. This is a widely used site for everyone's enjoyment and it would be ruined if the school was built there. It would be unfair to reduce this sports facility. 10/7/2015 9:02 AM
63. So long as the extra space available on the site is budgeted for and the proposed orchard/nature/wildlife areas actually come to fruition. Would there be space to include a sixth form facility on the same site as the west of the island has been in need of one for many years. This would also help to raise attainment at Les Quennevais. What are the plans for the existing school site? Could this be returned to agricultural use to offset the agricultural land lost to the new building? 10/6/2015 8:41 PM
64. Option 2 and 3 both involve the loss of agricultural land and although it is reported that this is only 2 fields, it will in fact make the farm that these are attached to completely unviable for farming in the future. Would building a school in this area ever effect any possible extension or works at the airport ie future legislation regarding public amenities near to airfields. Option 1 - The sports fields are very much under used and cycle track hardly ever. The remaining facilities could be put to better use to compensate for the lost space. The school would have onsite use of the sports facilities including the use of the pool. This could also be an opportunity to upgrade existing facilities giving both health and recreational value to the general population. This site would also be situated away from the main road. 10/6/2015 8:31 PM
65. I believe that the States have left the rebuilding of the school too long and are failing the children of that school and generally the island through this mismanagement. The States have encouraged the building a many homes in the parish before building adequate services for the increased population. The best site would have been where the Belle Vue development is, however we can't go back. The school needs to be built as soon as possible, no site is now perfect, so the public need to be aware of that, there are going to have to be compromises, but it needs to be built. I hope that the States work together and that red tape does not hold the process up. Department need to work together. At one of the meetings a independent planning enquiry was mentioned. Its too late for that, planning need to work with education to get it built, there is no where else and every delay impacts the future of another pupil. Whilst I do have a vested interest being an ex pupil, and having children now at the school, none will be there by the time it is rebuilt. 10/6/2015 8:07 AM
66. I do not want cycling track at Les quennevais compromised as there is no other place for cycling track racers. Option 2 and 3 are more choice just because they are not option 1. I am not suggesting they are the best choices. 10/5/2015 7:22 PM
1. the site should not fall into the pitfalls of Hautlieu which turned out to be energetically very expensive and smell from the kitchen went into the kitchen etc. 2. the planners should be aware that we are using yet more of our green space which is going faster than ever before! 3. That the school should be at least two (if not three) floors high so that space is not wasted. 4. That rooms and facilities should be multifunctional and can be shared with the local community. 5. That this time (and for goodness do it , include solar panels and wind power units) these are often incorporated in plans to sell the idea but never actually materialise. Please make sure that this actually happens. 10/5/2015 7:03 PM
67. I prefer option 2 because I do not want the existing sports facilities at quennevais compromised in any way. I think option 2 is better stand alone with the space around it and not backed right on to residential property as with option 3. It will also keep the school traffic slightly out of the already built up area. 10/5/2015 4:37 PM
68. This option will not interrupt present schooling, will have a reasonable space buffer between current and future housing and seems the least disruptive of the three options. 10/5/2015 3:51 PM
69. Options 3 is misleading. The phrase 'St Brelade Football pitch site' in reality means bulldozing the St Brelade Sports and Social Club buildings which is a permanent structure and includes amenities such as changing rooms, snooker room etc. as well as the pitch all of which is owned by the club and leased to them by the States and not the parish. The club serves the community in general and not just the sport community. The wording used in this survey as well as the consultation paper itself is so ambiguous that should the public favour option 3, a legal challenge will surely follow given that the consequences of that option was at best not explained sufficiently and at worst, deliberately misleading. As far as I am aware, there are no suitable sites in Quennevais or St Brelades generally where the club and facilities could be relocated. I understand that some 80% of the sports & social membership live within a short walking distance of the club current location. Additionally, I would be fairly comfortable that planning would not approve a scheme that would destroy a community facility when there is a perfectly feasible alternative being option 2 available. Leaving the club and pitch in place has several advantages for the school if built on "Fields south of Rue Carrée" not least a buffer between the new school & the cemetery. 10/5/2015 3:14 PM

70. As a regular user of the sporting fields at les Quennevais I believe that option 1 (significant reduction in available playing field space) will have a detrimental if not catastrophic impact to island sports. 10/5/2015 2:41 PM
71. In building a new school, you need enough space to build a state of the art school and have enough space for expansion. The provision of additional sports facilities available to the community is also important. It may be further from existing housing, but that is less important for a Secondary School than for primary. The loss of open areas could be compensated if the existing school is demolished and the site returned to parkland or playing fields, however I expect it will be re-used as a building for community purposes. As much land as possible around the old school should be opened up to parkland or dunes with conifers. 10/5/2015 11:36 AM
72. I feel that option 2 is the best one as it will not be in a built up area and also the flow of traffic should be a lot easier than what it is currently as long as the access is well planned. 10/5/2015 10:34 AM
73. Looking at all of the options, I believe this is the best and most positive for the school and the local community. 10/4/2015 10:23 PM
74. This site is well off the main road therefore traffic congestion would be easier and entering / exiting the school would be much safer for pupils. The other two proposed sites are far too close to the main road. Also you have the added benefit of ready made sports facilities on site. This site would also be the 'greener option' as this area is already developed with the sports facilities buildings. 10/4/2015 9:16 PM
75. Option 1 is an appalling idea and I am stunned that it's even been put forward. You would lose so many excellent sports facilities that benefit so many people. Option 3 also means losing a football pitch. Sports facilities are vitally important to the whole community and with increasing obesity amongst all ages playing fields should always be safe guarded. 10/4/2015 5:39 PM
76. This seems the only workable solution. Will La Rue Carree be widened? This would be an ideal opportunity. The report talks about community benefit. I would love to see a large (larger than the current) climbing wall that is open to the public. 10/4/2015 5:19 PM
77. Option 1 would lose the green space in an already built up residential area. Option 2 appears to be squeezing the new school into a smaller site than option 3. 10/4/2015 4:51 PM
78. I think Option 1 is the best option. It is closer to the existing sports facilities than the other two options, and is also closer to the Railway Walk should students be using this as a means to access the school. I was disappointed that Options 2 and 3 were so similar - both encroach on land where there is a presumption against development. 10/4/2015 4:40 PM
79. Just get on with it. 10/4/2015 3:45 PM
80. Of the three ,only suitable site for a school to replace LQ. Need for open spaces on others 10/4/2015 3:44 PM
81. My daughter will be attending this school when she finishes Bel Royal she is currently in year 3, having talked to many parents I feel option 2 is better as the facilities currently where option 1 is located is massively used. 10/4/2015 9:30 AM
82. I am 60 years old and a regular user of Les Quennevais sports fields. My wife and I brought our two children up in the parish and they are now in their early 20s. As well as the Sports Centre our family have regularly used the cricket pitches, the football pitches, the tennis courts, the hockey pitch and the cycle track either as part of organised sport or off our own bat for fun sport. I have frequently been up at LQ for one particular sport and have marvelled on occasions how vibrant the place is - particularly in the Summer when I have witnessed Summer League Hockey for adults and Juniors, Evening League Cricket, Softball, Cycling events, Petanque, Croquet, Bowls, Tennis - all happening on the same evening. I have visited specialised Sports venues such as La Manga in Spain, Clifton in Bristol, La Baule in France, Milfield School, Taunton Vale , and believe that LQ is a worthy rival to such places. (Praise should go to the Ground Staff who do excellent work up there) The Island should be thinking about promoting LQ as part of a Sports Tourism strategy rather than cutting down on its facilities. It will also be detrimental to Les Quennevais School who will deprive themselves of sporting facilities if they build themselves on the sports field. Of the three sites my preferred option is No 2 because I also do not want St Brelade's FC to be deprived of their pitch and Clubhouse (again, one of my sons used to play for them as a junior). I appreciate that these are the three options currently on offer and I am sure that a great deal of work has been taken to reach this point - but can I please suggest an alternative site. This proposal will raise eyebrows and might be an even harder sell but what about the stretch of Sand Dunes south of the Rugby/Football/Softball area but not as far as La Moye Golf Club? I appreciate that this is part of a protected "national park" area but it is the least attractive area of the Dunes and sufficiently close to the existing school to allow access via the roads around the current school and by building a subway under the railway walk. It would also allow the Les Quennevais school students easier access to the sports facilities than either Options 2 or 3 10/3/2015 6:37 PM
83. I feel that moving the school closer to the main road (La Route des Quennevais) will not only be dangerous for pupils attending the school but as a worker for a business on the road I feel that the school in this location will dramatically impact on traffic in the area. La Route des Quennevais is a fast road (supposed to be 30mph) but cars continue to drive too fast round the corner from/to the airport causing the road to be dangerous already, not only for car drivers but for pedestrians (there have been a number of crashes in recent years) so moving the school closer to the main road will impact even further on this area of the parish. 10/2/2015 9:22 PM
84. St Brelade football pitch site would be great. 10/2/2015 7:39 PM
85. I am strongly opposed to Option 1 because of the loss of a substantial part of the Frank Machon Cycle Track. At a time when cycling is more popular than ever and the number of racing clubs has recently increased from 2 to 5, plus the Youth

Academy, it would be a retrograde step. The Frank Machon circuit is the only facility on the island for cycle sport and the only place where we can race safe from other road users. Over recent years the increase in traffic on our roads has meant that it is no longer safe to use some of our longstanding road courses so we are relying more and more on Frank Machon circuit. The double whammy of increased entries in races on a shorter circuit poses greater race organisational and rider safety issues. In short, it is wholly unacceptable to drastically shorten the Frank Machon circuit to leave the part of the part of the track that is damaged by tree roots and inadequately maintained, in order to build a school when other sites are available. Were Option 1 to be chosen I am unaware of any plans by the States of Jersey to provide an alternative, better, facility for cycle racing elsewhere on the island.

86. 10/2/2015 5:01 PM
87. If the decision is made to build at les quennevais then the local sporting community will be deeply disrupted and the public use of that site will greatly diminish. It would be a shame to lose such terrific sporting fields and facilities for the community. and without the intention of replacing these specific facilities I believe it will have a detrimental impact on not only the participation in healthy activities, like sports and recreational cycling or walking for people and animals, but it will send a message to young people in the island that particular spaces in Jersey that promote healthy living and community organisations are not valued when it comes to decision making being made by the States. Send a positive message instead to young people and the community that spaces like les quennevais are valued and play a significant role in island life for people of all walks of life. 10/2/2015 2:13 PM
88. I think this is the best site to build a state of the art secondary school. It would not require 'squeezing in' a building on a small footprint and will be buffered by fields so it is not on top of/directly adjacent to housing estates etc. 10/2/2015 1:08 PM
89. As a user of the sports facilities at st brelades I don't think they should be removed! 10/2/2015 12:05 PM
90. I am a grandmother of two boys at Les Landes School who will eventually be going to Les Quennevais School so I have an interest in where the new school will be built. 10/2/2015 11:56 AM
91. Just not option 1 please - it would be devastating to a number of sports. 10/2/2015 8:27 AM
92. I THINK IT IS ADMIRABLE THAT PARENTS ARE ASKED. I DO BELIEVE HOWEVER THAT PARENTS OPINION SHOULD NOT NECESSARILY 'COUNT'. I HAVE BEEN THROUGH A SIMILAR PROCESS IN THE UK REGARDING THE PREFERRED LOCATION OF A SECONDARY SCHOOL. THE KEY OPINIONS ARE THOSE OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TO WORK IN THE NEW SCHOOL; NOT PARENTS, MINISTERS OR ANY OTHERS. I SAY THIS NOT AS A TEACHER (I'M AN ACCOUNTANT!) NOR HAVING ANY AFFILIATION TO ANY TEACHER AT THE NEW SCHOOL. I THINK THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT ARE IN A LOSE-LOSE SITUATION AND SHOULD HAVE THE COURAGE TO PLACE THE FINAL DECISION IN THE HANDS OF THOSE WHO HAVE TO WORK IN THE NEW ENVIRONMENT ON A DAILY BASIS. 10/1/2015 9:48 PM
93. Having grown up with the fantastic sporting facilities that the Les Quennevais offer and the swimming pool as well as free public space for the public of all ages I do not support the development of the sports field or sporting facilities. As an adult I still use the facilities as well as a lot of sports people i know for their facilities. and Jersey has a reputation outside the Island for these lovely facilities. I think sport is an important pastime that should be encouraged both with the school children but also as adults and it would be a shame to loose these due to development. I understand the st brelade social club and football pitch are also widely used so should also remain. The school should be built nearby to allow the school to embrace the fantastic sportiing facilities nearby that would only enhance the school reputation as a school of excellence with great facilities for sports. (my children go to St Peters primary that as parents we chose because of the fantastic sporting encouragement and opportunity there is there and the active encouragement of sport and its facilities at the school compared to other primary schools) for our children. 10/1/2015 9:05 PM
94. option 2 is the only one that makes sense, we dont want to lose any sports facilities including st brelades football club which with the social club has become part of our community. 10/1/2015 7:11 PM
95. Les Quennevais Sports Fields need to be protected at all costs - they are a great resource for children from across the whole island. 10/1/2015 3:26 PM
96. I believe this is the best site for the new school. Not taking away sporting facilities from Les Quennevais Sports Centre as option 1 would do so. Option 3 would see the Football pitch go. Plenty of trees and green around new school for the environment Will there be enough parking available for staff and visitors ? As this is not always the case at current site. 10/1/2015 1:56 PM
97. There is so little for older teenagers to do on the island, it would be a mistake to diminish the playing fields of Quennevais. My boys played most days at Quennevais playing field during the summer holidays, as did their friends. If obesity in children is a problem the last thing we should be doing is lessening their chances of exercise. Please leave our wonderful sports field alone! 10/1/2015 12:56 PM
98. Option 1 will displace too many sports and have them fighting over smaller amounts of areas. It is hard enough to get the time that is required. Option 1 would also lose money as many sports associations would probably lose memberships within their associations due to less time being able to be used and therefore would have to fold and then the areas not being used at all. Option 3 would be the loss of the football pitch and clubhouse and the other activities that go on there, e.g car boot sales. Option 2 allows a new school to be built on empty fields with a cost of buying those fields. It also allows the annual income of the Les Q. playing fields still to be collected and not be hugely reduced on an annual basis. The states have said that they want more people to get out and be more active. Option 1 and Option 3 completely contradict that statement 10/1/2015 10:41 AM

99. Option 2 allows for expansion which must play a very important part in the decision. Option 1 just does not make sense as tennis, hockey and other areas have just been renovated. We cannot afford to lose parking near to the amenities which would remain. In particular, the pétanque club caters for the older members of our community who need to park near to the club house. As it is at present there are occasions when all the car parking spaces are full with cars parked up onto the grass verges. Great that the facilities are used to such an extent therefore why destroy what is a vital area. The fact that the proposed new school would surround the hockey and pétanque areas would be disruptive to both the school and the relevant clubs who hold regular competitions 10/1/2015 7:57 AM
100. I believe redevelopment of Les Quennevais School is long overdue and I fully support its redevelopment. But I strongly \*disagree\* that Option 1 is a viable location for the School. In an island the size of Jersey green space to play sports are few and far between and the loss of this community facility will have a devastating effect on a number of sporting associations that call Les Quennevais home. Talk of relocating venues to the top part of the playing fields simply will not work as you will now have the same number of clubs and associations all competing for resources that are effectively half the size that they currently are. I feel a number of associations will effectively fold at a time when we should be encouraging people to be more active. The impact of losing Les Quennevais playing fields would be to high a price. Jersey needs this playing field for sports and the community and I would fully endorse the purchasing of an empty field to build the new school These comments are endorsed by all four members of our household Currently live in St Peter, but 18 years previously in St Brelade 10/1/2015 12:25 AM
101. do not ruin the limited sport facilities available to the islanders! 9/30/2015 7:36 PM
102. It would be dreadful if we were to lose any of our precious sports facilities. 9/30/2015 7:05 PM
103. Option one is a no go - so many sports would be impacted and parking is congested enough when there are multiple sports or swimarathon on. Is this a serious option or just one that you know no one will agree to? Option 3 isn't fair on St Brelades football. That leaves number 2 9/30/2015 5:30 PM
104. To use option 1 would be terrible, my daughter uses Les Quennevais sports field 3 times a week for hockey, she did her cycling proficiency there etc, it would be a terrible shame that such a wonderful facility would go. 9/30/2015 4:16 PM
105. The school should be built as close to the major population centre as possible so that as many pupils as possible can travel to school by walking. Building the school on the Sports Field is a far more efficient use of land as the Leisure centre car parks are used at times when the school is not in use. With more care taken with the layout and design the impact on the sports facilities could be reduced. It seems to me that the published option layout 1 has been purposely prepared to show as negative an impact as possible on the sport facilities. Both Option 2 and 3 will create problems for traffic interactions during school peak times at the Pont du Val/Rue Carree/Route des Quenevais junction, which as a left right staggered cross roads is inefficient at the best of times. 9/30/2015 4:11 PM
106. It seems crazy to use a lovely green site that so many people from all walks of life use and enjoy. From a health perspective we are permanently being encouraged to take more exercise and surely options 1 and 2 completely go against this policy. 9/30/2015 12:53 PM
107. Why destroy an excellent and varied playing field complex merely to cover it with buildings? The fields south of Rue Carree provide an ideal alternative site with access direct from the main road instead of through a housing estate (as at present) or through the complex (as proposed in option 1). Irrespective of which option is chosen NO work should be allowed to commence until the future of the existing site has been agreed (and the necessary funds made available) - if this is not done then there is a high possibility (if not certainty) that the old buildings will be left to rot as has been the case with the Fort Regent swimming pool. 9/30/2015 12:33 PM
108. I feel most strongly that Options 1 and 3 would be greatly detrimental to local sport; such an important part of Island life, particularly the future of the next generation. 9/30/2015 11:05 AM
109. There is ample space on the island to build on without taking away the sports fields which are used by all ages and very important to the island 9/30/2015 10:47 AM
110. No loss of existing sports fields.Room for future expansion.Best site for traffic management.Easy access to Quennevais playing fields. 9/30/2015 9:21 AM
111. Least worst option in a parish with large population - removes need and expense of relocating many sports at Le Quennevais and football pitch Le Quennevais is heavily used by the parish and other parishes and need to build on success of the Island Games in continuing to develop grass roots sport in all its forms. Also great community spirit at Le Quennevais and wide diversity of sports - old/young/amateur/professional 9/30/2015 8:58 AM
112. They should be rebuilt on the same site - as Le Rocquer was 9/30/2015 8:42 AM
113. Option 2 seems to be the most viable option. At least the new school would be closer to the main road than the existing school. It has always seemed an odd place for a school to be - right in the middle of a Housing Estate. I attended the school in the late 70's (it wasn't even big enough then, as we had to use portacabin classrooms!). I would not agree with the loss of Les Quennevais sports field. This excellent facility is not only used by St Brelade residents and Les Quennevais School pupils, but also for sports island wide. As residents of St Brelade, we use it regularly. My son also belongs to the Hockey Club at Les Quennevais, which is an outstanding club, giving constant encouragement to young people. 9/30/2015 8:39 AM
114. My three children attend both hockey and football on the sites suggested in option 1 and 3. I think it is sad that in order to provide a new amenity you must destroy what amenities we already have. Those amenities being well used by many



parishioners like myself and their children. I am a resident of On this basis option there is the only option which does not destroy an existing well used amenity. 9/29/2015 11:32 PM

115. This is the best option as the location is ideal from a traffic perspective. 9/29/2015 10:54 PM
116. It would be tragic to damage the suburb and unique sporting facilities at quennevais These are used by so many for such a wide range of uses from dog walking to island games It is easy to take these facilities for granted and it would an appalling loss to all it the site was damaged and reduced by placing a school there In addition the impact on residents of building and traffic movements would be awful The no 2 site ticks all the correct boxes Ease of transport links for students outside st Brelade, very close to large residential area. Addition facilities for the parish , preserving the social club which is well placed for new residents , ease of build and low impact on area during construction 9/29/2015 10:28 PM
117. This option does not impact on the sports facilities which need to be protected for the health and well being of the community. 9/29/2015 9:26 PM
118. The site on the south east corner of the sports field would be detrimental to the hockey pitch as the Jersey Hockey association will be building a club house on the existing stand next year. It is proposed that this will be utilised by the cricket players in the summer but the school will be built on the existing cricket pitch. Furthermore, Le Quennevais sports fields are a vast expanse of open space utilised by many different local residents, from families, to dog walkers, to hockey players, footballers, cricketers, cyclists, etc, and the school on this site would have a negative effect on the enjoyment that these people have as would take away part of the space they enjoy. 9/29/2015 9:24 PM
119. Think it is important to protect current facilities at the sports centre including the cycle track which is well used by a cross section of people in the island 9/29/2015 9:21 PM
120. The use of green field sites for new buildings should be kept to a minimum in Jersey. 9/29/2015 9:17 PM
121. Why move the school from a perfectly safe location. It seems more cost effective to have it near the sports amenities. 9/29/2015 8:48 PM
122. Leave the Le Quennevais Sports fields as they are, why is it always sport that gets taken out when ideally we need to keep the public more active. 9/29/2015 8:45 PM
123. It would be a huge loss of the States decide to build on space at Les Quennevais Sports Centre which is hugely valued. As a resident of Don Farm, I would also be concerned about the volume of traffic. 9/29/2015 8:42 PM
124. If only there was an Option 4. To renovate and expand the school. As a former student there are levels that can be made. A new corridor could be made above the corridor leading from the main entrance to the library on the 2nd floor (not the first floor as that would require the main hall to be lowered a great deal), with 3 classrooms made above the hall. The hall height would have to be made lower and possibly some better foundations set into the walls. Another two classrooms could also be made above the music and library. The cabins outside could also be turned into proper buildings, at least a ground and first floor. From memory this cabin included about 3 average classroom sizes plus two offices and a learning room. You could put in some stairs instead and get another three classrooms upstairs. There was a cabin outside the DT/Art department which could be turned into a proper building which could have another floor. There is also a green space near the science block which could be expanded on with at least one more classroom but that may need a tree removal or two. To me this is much more logical than scrapping the entire beautiful building and either Op1. taking up the sports land which is used by various communities and also benefits the school or Op2+3. building so close to the airport that it's clearly illogical and unsafe, not to mention it is destroying the green space and is further away from a vast amount of sports facilities which the school would not have with the new land. Not only giving a terrible traffic structure which will backup everything on the main road with only one in and out. In one option also taking away another sport facility and placing the school next to a graveyard. But obviously, this is way too much effort. No matter what happens, the school is still going to have the problem of 'we're over capacity' because there is no cap on the capacity. Really the most simplest solution is Option 5. To convince the states to find a new school site and start up a completely separate new school, whilst keeping Les Quennevais. This would help solve capacity issues because then people would have an option of another public school rather than cramming them all into current schools that can't take it. 9/29/2015 6:57 PM
125. Why option 1 should be discounted: 1. Reduction in sporting opportunities for clubs over a prolonged period of time 2. Inadequate parking provision impacting on sports centre users as a result of school build 3. Huge disruption to those living nearby during the build and afterwards 4. Nursery is displaced; this is a very popular nursery 5. Restricted access to the playing fields site - road widening 6. Shared premises with the sports centre makes playing field areas inaccessible for public as the school has safeguarding requirements to uphold. Management of this will be a challenge 7. Opportunities for major sporting events limited 8. No development for sports centre Why option 3 should be discounted 1. St Brelade's football pitch is a fantastic facility. Club would have to relocate 2. If you can build the school on option 2 then why do you need the pitch aswell? Why not use the adjacent ESC airport playing fields for school use? 9/29/2015 6:18 PM
126. We should not lose our great playing fields 9/29/2015 4:51 PM
127. It is absolutely critical that we safeguard this unique site (LQ sports fields) for their current use and continue to invest in the sporting facilities provided. 9/29/2015 4:44 PM
128. Much better site layout, but take the whole site size as in option 3. 9/29/2015 4:08 PM
129. No loss of Island sports facilities 9/29/2015 3:48 PM

130. option 1 would be a dreadful decision as this is the only place in Jersey where a family can take their children and let them cycle safely without interference from vehicles. additionally it is a beautiful area, providing access to Sand Dunes and the cycle track. 9/29/2015 3:39 PM
131. This is by far the best option with the least additional cost whilst preserving Les Quennevais Sport Centre grounds which are great as they are. The grounds of LQ should really be protected from development as they are the only decent sport facility in the west of the island. The school will also be in a better place with better insulation for nearby residents and the amounts of green land "lost" is not a great price to pay for a school that properly provides for the needs of our children in an effective way and fit-for-purpose facilities. The school has been waiting for far too long so let's get it done! 9/29/2015 3:33 PM
132. This will have the least impact on folk that enjoy the facilities at the other sites and I believe is the only option 9/29/2015 3:32 PM
133. I really don't think that Sports Facilities should be lost for the use of building. St Martins Football Club lost their ground due to the new St Martins School building. The States want everyone to get fitter then they want to take away facilities. I often go to Les Quennevais and the Cycle Track is really busy on weekends with young families taking the children out for a safe cycle ride, or walking their dogs. Whatever is built make sure that it is large enough for the coming decades of pupil intakes. 9/29/2015 3:18 PM
134. Don't build on agricultural green fields. They are the last in that area. Once they're gone, they cannot be replaced. Sports fields can be replaced. 9/29/2015 12:12 PM
135. Option two makes the most logical sense, although I normally would not vote to lose green areas, in this instance I think it is the right choice. I don't see option one as a option at all, losing any of the sports area at Les Quennevais would be taking a step backwards, the facilities and area are valuable to both clubs and the public. I think option three would solve the problem short term but limits expansion or improvements in the future which means you'll be back to square one again, option two allows for future growth and offers minimal disruption to current facilities. 9/29/2015 10:05 AM
136. I don't think fields that are already used for sport should be built on. 9/29/2015 9:43 AM
137. Ladies who drive big 4x4's nearly always seem to struggle while dropping their children off at school but succeed at blocking the road and causing disruption to other motorists! I have selected option 1 because it will mean the site is contained within the same area and hopefully causing less disruption. the other proposed options are very close to the main road and would cause traffic congestion. Having also been a student at this school I think it is of great benefit having so many sports facilities directly on your door step rather than creating new things. 9/29/2015 9:21 AM
138. ...and the existing site turned into green space to partially compensate for the loss at the sports fields. 9/29/2015 8:34 AM
139. This is a much better site as it is close to the sports centre and the majority of the existing playing fields will be still available for the school use. The fields to the South of Rue Carree are existing open space and farmed, to build on the fields would surely lose farming land and jeopardise the existence and business of the farmer. In addition to build on these fields and suggest the school could use the Airport Playing would be a retrograde step, the playing fields are not in my view large enough to cater for a large schools annual sporting requirements. It is far better to locate the school adjacent to the much larger existing Les Quennevais playing fields. 9/29/2015 8:28 AM
140. It seems as if they no sooner announce a deficit, than these huge costly projects come to light, so where is the money going to come from. Where to consider as a possible site, Not many people will be familiar with these options, but whatever happens they still have to incorporate enough space for outside activities, and space not only for parking, but enough for parents taking to and fetching pupils from school. 9/29/2015 8:10 AM
141. This is the best option as less green space is lost and there would be better road access of the main road to the school. Don't want to see the sports pitches reduce. 9/28/2015 11:54 PM
142. Away from major roads. Opportunity for great sporting facilities too which generates good health and wellbeing 9/28/2015 9:42 PM
143. My least favourite option is Option 1 as it would impact the sports facilities at Les Quennevais sports centre. 9/28/2015 9:21 PM
144. This area is by far the better choice. The island has a beautiful area near to where option 1 is proposed and I would think the wildlife would suffer because of it. Site 2 or 3 would give more options to families and better access as well as protecting the natural habitat of other areas nearby. 9/28/2015 7:58 PM
1. Is it really suitable to have a school so near an airport 2. How much of an increase in traffic will there be and where will the access road for the school buses be 3. Where will the St Brelade football club be moved to. 4. Can the land between Quennevais sports field and La Moye golf course be used. 5. Can the school not be extended by moving the library. 9/28/2015 7:10 PM
145. The sports facilities at Les Quennevais are used by a great number of people both for formal sporting events and casually by people from all over the island. These facilities should remain in place and the school built on the site of the fields 9/28/2015 7:01 PM
146. Would be a great shame to see loss of current sports facilities, would be used by the whole island and the traffic flow would be terrible not great, option 3 is the best as relocating St Brelade football club is easy and the airport playing fields can be



used for a proper sports facility for the school. Go for option 3 and don't lose what means so much to the general public and Neighbours 9/28/2015 5:45 PM

147. BEST OPTION FOR ALL. DOES NOT LOSE ANY EXISTING SPORTS FACILITIES AND ACTUALLY IMPROVES THE MUCH NEEDED FACILITIES AVAILABLE WEST OF THE ISLAND. 9/28/2015 4:58 PM
148. The loss of facilities at Les Quennevais would be a substantial loss to island sport. It would also lead to avoidable, increased costs and access difficulties during the build time. I would also have concerns regarding parking availability and the impact upon local residents. The preferred second site should be option 3. Whilst this may represent a significant loss for St Brelade's FC, the use of Les Quennevais would have a more substantial detrimental effect on a greater number of sporting associations. 9/28/2015 4:54 PM
149. Les Quennevais sports fields are heavily used by the public and should not be reduced when there is another site available for the school. The biggest site possible should also be used for the school so that a proper plan can be made for purpose built buildings, rather than squashing the school onto a site that is too small. 9/28/2015 2:23 PM
150. I believe either Option 2 or Option 3 would be ideal situations for the new school even at the expense of losing the very valuable green fields. Option 1 would take away a very valuable and much used open green space, which isn't just used for sporting events. It is in regular use by the residents of St. Brelade's and family's from other western parishes. With regards to Option 1 and the creation of another exit road coming through Clos de Carrel. I have to be honest and say that I live on Don Farm and have a vested interest in not having the extra traffic passing my house. This is already heavily used by people attending Les Quennevais Sports Centre and Communicare Centre. I would also like to point out that this is the only open green space left for public use in St. Brelades. 9/28/2015 2:04 PM
151. It would be unwise to place the school at the Sportcentre as this would destroy this great facility which houses so many sporting clubs. 9/28/2015 1:48 PM
152. Site 1. Access is through a residential area which has proved to be problematic with the current school. Current facilities will be lost and the new school would be shoehorned into a space that is too small before it starts. Site 3. Backs onto residential properties and will have poor neighbour problems. It is to be built to 'fit into' a given area causing design restraints and other facilities will need to be moved. Site 2. Has none of the above problems, is designed as a bespoke school as the space is large enough to accommodate the needs. 9/28/2015 1:25 PM
153. As someone who lives opposite the current School I have major reservations regarding option 1: The volume of traffic including school buses going through an even smaller residential estate than it is now sighted on increases the risk of accidents etc. Also reducing the on street parking for residents. Safe guarding issues for the school as it is in such a busy area with both residential and sports facilities all around it. Although the land is States owned the nursery will have to be resited at quite a cost as well as the sports facilities making way for the proposed school. The school will have to be adapted to fit in to the site available thus reducing what can be built and also future expansion should the need arise. Living next to the school as I currently do there are no issues with the students or noise. The main issue is with the traffic parked on yellow lines etc around the school at drop off and pick up along with buses trying to exit the estate onto Route Orange when residential parking is all along one side of the road. This will be just as bad if not much worse on the Don Farm estate! Option 2 and 3 are therefore much more sensible. They are both off the busy main road which was raised quite strongly by a couple at a recent school meeting. They were concerned about students crossing the main road etc. Both La Moye and Mont Nicole are off busy roads but both have a traffic slowing system in place during drop off and pick up times. This could easily be done on Route de Quennevais and also a pedestrian crossing which would be of benefit to all parishioners. Le Rocquier School is on a busy main road but the car park is large enough for people to drive in and drop off safely before leaving at the exit. I envisage that this would be the sensible option for the new school too should either site 2 or 3 be chosen. The reason I have opted for site 2 rather than 3 is the added expense of relocating St Brelade social club and also the close proximity to the extended Bel View development. I understand also that the school would again have to be adapted to fit onto the pitch. Option 2 Would therefore be my choice as other than the loss of the fields and the cost of purchasing them. there would be less impact on the community e.g relocating sports facilities, residential areas etc. This site gives the best opportunity to build a bespoke school that will finally be 'Fit for Purpose' which is well over due. 9/28/2015 12:51 PM
154. Students can still use the railway walk to access school. 9/28/2015 12:46 PM
155. Having attended Les Q and lived in the area for most of my life I fully support the need for an improved facility, to my mind option 3 is the only suitable option as each of the others represent an attempt to compromise on some point or other, these compromises may appease the niche concerns of a vocal minority in the short term but will ultimately come back to haunt future generations when the resultant inadequacies come to fruition, if we are going to spend 40m on a new school we should build it in the best possible location and to the best possible standard and ensure it is not causing traffic, parking and general disruption issues in 40 years time. I also strongly disagree with the idea that the re-use of the land is not a factor in this consultation, if the first option were to be chosen then the re-use of the current site becomes extremely relevant. In the case of options 2 and 3 it is less pressing. 9/28/2015 12:15 PM
156. I have two children in reception and in Y4 now at Mont Nicolle. I heard and saw the presentation at the school last Wednesday by Rod Bryans. The decision for a new school is extremely important to us as our first child will in 3 years, be heading to the new school and new site. I am really happy the school will be equipped with a gymnasium, a library, media rooms etc all set up for the childrens needs. Ensuring ample parking and playing fields is essential too. One question that came up which I was unsure of the answer is are they setting up for a 6th form whereas presently they have to go to Haulieau or Highlands College. Its a long way off for us but still a question that I would hope they are accommodating for in the new school. Thank you 9/28/2015 11:23 AM

157. In times or more and more people being classed as obese, why is any option seeking to increase that figure. 9/28/2015 11:19 AM
158. This island needs to retain all of it's sporting facilities. With obesity on the rise and young people spending huge amounts of time inside we cannot afford to loose valuable green space. 9/28/2015 9:50 AM
159. Green fields should not be built on. Looking at the percentages of children by parish - Why do St Mary's and St Helier children attend LQ school. This totals 11% and would reduce the numbers. This survey is too short to allow for further information to be gathered. I may not live in St Brelade's or have a direct link to the school, but I use the LQ sports facilities both professionally and personally, therefore this has a direct impact on a weekly basis. By the construct of the questions it leads me to believe that if you have no direct link to the school or parish then my views will be disregarded. 9/28/2015 9:45 AM
160. The only real viable option is to build on fields that do not impact on existing amenities used by countless islanders for sport and recreation. Taking away any amount of existing public use space contradicts the governments stated aims to increase and enhance sport and leisure facilities in an effort to increase the health and well beings of all islanders. Please read Sports Strategy - Fit for the Future <http://www.gov.je/pages/search.aspx?query=fit%20for%20the%20future%20sports%20strategy&query=fit%20for%20the%20future%20sports%20strategy> 9/28/2015 9:06 AM
161. Without doubt there is a need to replace the current Les Quennevais School and the fields south of Rue Carree seems reasonable place to relocate too. The Les Quennevais Sports Field is a non starter due to, 1. The site currently provides facilities for at least 10 sports and is used daily throughout the year. 2. The site provides high quality and much needed sports facilities which cannot afford to be lost or condensed. 3. Apart from sports, many people use the sports fields to walk around etc and they make a perfect environment for young children to ride or learn to ride bicycles in relative safety. 9/28/2015 8:14 AM
162. Option 3 is a much more suited site due to access and minimum disruption to existing businesses and facilities. The St Brelade football pitch could easily be resited to the north of rue Carree or share the proposed new schools pitch. The quennevais playing fields site would be the most unsuitable as this is a residential area and potential traffic problems will undoubtedly only get worse in the long term. By choosing the larger site with good access this will future proof the school for expansion in the long term. 9/27/2015 9:55 PM
163. I am reasonably ambivalent between 2 and 3 but strongly believe the negatives of Option 1 are too great. I think Option 3 probably gives the best long term solution. 9/27/2015 9:38 PM
164. Site 1. Access is through a residential area which has proved to be problematic with the current school. Current facilities will be lost and the new school would be shoehorned into a space that is too small before it starts. Site 3. Backs onto residential properties and will have poor neighbour problems. It is to be built to 'fit into' a given area causing design restraints and other facilities will need to be moved. Site 2. Has none of the above problems, is designed as a bespoke school as the space is large enough to accommodate the needs. 9/27/2015 9:21 PM
165. Option 2 reduces on impact of Les Quennevais Sports Centre which is an 'island community' centre. The sports centre would become significantly 'cut off' during construction and the lost sports fields would be a permanent loss to the island community. Destruction of any play/sports space would be an unforgivable error that will impact negatively on generations of Jersey residents. As a regular user of the centre I cannot support option 1 for the short and long term damage it would do to this community centre. Option 2 does seem to create a 'greener' more aesthetically pleasing site, but it must be carefully planned and needs to be a building that does not ruin the entire area with large ugly buildings. Please select your architects carefully who have a track record in design in this area. Please consult with the Les Quennevais students regarding the layout and plan of their school. Please look at the successes and failures of design at Le Rocquier, the new JCG site, the Grainville site and Haute Vallee before diving into a plan. Option 2 also takes construction away from Red houses area and with careful planning should be built without causing traffic chaos in the locality. Option 1 access routes for construction traffic will impact on local traffic. 9/27/2015 8:43 PM
166. I have recently moved here from the UK having worked as a teacher there at a pfi school. The new buildings make the environment one where pride's a given. Whilst the students at les Quennevais are rightly proud of their school, and having been there a month now I can see this clearly, they are not proud of the building and environment. Externally they do not complain as it is not their way, internally the new areas are the ones where the students feel pride and a sense of wonder. 9/27/2015 7:46 PM
167. Easily the best site for a school - 9/27/2015 6:18 PM
168. No existing sports facilities should be lost they are all well used & are an important amenity for the whole island. Landscaping should be as natural as possible to provide much needed habitat for wildlife. 9/27/2015 3:46 PM
169. The sports fields and pitches shouldn't be touched 9/27/2015 2:42 PM
170. In my opinion by far the best option. Allowing for future expansion should the need arise, with less new road infrastructure needed. The extra cost of buying the land initially I believe, will prove to be good value in the long term, when expansion will very likely be required. This site would offer more flexibility in the long term. 9/27/2015 5:41 AM
171. The common sense idea would be to keep the school close to the current one where there is easy access to sporting facilities. Moving it further away would leave the school more isolated from sporting facilities and would also end up being disturbed by noise from aircraft. That is unless you plan on moving the airport! I also think it would be cheaper to keep the

school closer to its current site as there is already plenty of safe options for getting to and leaving from school eg the railway walk. It will also be away from the main roads 9/26/2015 10:19 PM

172. La Route Des Quennevais simply cannot take the additional traffic the Rue Carre options would bring. The area had been majorly overdeveloped with what seems little consideration for the impact on the are. 9/26/2015 9:10 PM

173. To many other sports effected 9/26/2015 7:41 PM

- 1) I believe some of the fields south of Rue Carree are subject to restrictive covenants as one was recently sold. Others are under agriculture. Is this going to be yet another breach of covenant just like Jersey Milk or convenient change of use...???? 2) If St Brelade's football pitch is used, this would create a two site school and therefore danger to people crossing a busy but small road.
- 3)The area is already extremely busy and additional school traffic, would be nigh on impossible.
- 4)Airport Noise will distract pupils 5) Reluctantly Option 1 is the best of these three choices BUT where exactly would the school be built, where would the cycle track go ( safe area for people to learn to cycle) 9/26/2015 5:00 PM

174. Not quire sure about the Football pitch as you have a road in between better to have it all on one site if poss but either option 2/3 is a better option than option1 it would make it far to crowded on the sports field. 9/26/2015 4:01 PM

175. sport is an important part of island life, allowing Jersey people to live a healthy life style. Le Quennevais sports fields provide important facilities and should be protected. 9/26/2015 2:16 PM

176. The sports facilities can be replaced where the old school currently stand 9/26/2015 2:11 PM

177. To build it anywhere near Route Des Quennevais would be dire with the already busy traffic on that road and there is so little green space in St Brelade already, especially in that area. Build the new school on the playing fields and turn the school site into playing fields or use the Rue Carree sites for playing fields. This keeps it green at least. 9/26/2015 10:21 AM

178. Use the site most suitable for this project (scheme 2) otherwise a huge amount of money will be spent and it will never be quite right. This is an investment in children's futures, surely it's better to use green fields for this than a few luxury houses which seems to go on elsewhere in the island. It would have a detrimental impact on the existing sports facilities for scheme 1 and we should be encouraging more people to take up sports. 9/26/2015 8:24 AM

179. Do not use up any more green fields. 9/26/2015 8:22 AM

180. This option is the best as other options are around too many houses this one is the best site by far 9/25/2015 10:41 PM

181. Larger site. Not necessarily the correct placing of the school. Easier to expand road plus space for Holding area for traffic waiting to join main road. 9/25/2015 10:12 PM

182. STOP RUINING MY ISLAND, extend the current school in to the staff car park and put staff parking underground. With the ugly new Freight sheds proposed school and new housing estates St Brelade will soon be concrete jungle. 9/25/2015 9:58 PM

183. Option 2 is the best option, it eliminates any possible further expense with demolition/ rebuilding and doesn't force anyone to relocate 9/25/2015 9:33 PM

184. Option 3 is way to close to the airport, 9/25/2015 8:43 PM

185. Be good to have immediate access to the sports facilities in a similar way as now. Also feel this is safe being away from the road 9/25/2015 7:38 PM

186. We need to retain Les Quennevais sports fields for the good and the health of the island. Unless there is an adequate replacement, option 1 should be rejected 9/25/2015 6:54 PM

187. as the swimming pool is already there tennis courts etc it makes sense to keep all together my children, grand children have all gone through la Moye and Les Quennevais some are still there and another 4 will be !! 9/25/2015 6:52 PM

188. The loss of any of the facilities at Les Quennevais sports fields would be highly detrimental to all of the users of that widely used open space. Ourselves, our children and grandchildren use the cycle track, hockey, cricket and football fields regularly. It is a safe open space. If any part of this was utilised for a school, it would not be replaced elsewhere. There is nowhere else in the island that can accommodate such a diverse range of sporting facilities and open space to walk and play in. 9/25/2015 5:45 PM

189. I would be very against option 1 - there is no need to lose such a crucial sporting facility for the island 9/25/2015 5:24 PM

190. Just not option 1 9/25/2015 5:14 PM

191. Definitely not on playing fields 9/25/2015 4:52 PM

192. This would have the least detremental effect to the surrounding area and sporting facilities. The site would be plenty large enough for future expansion and alternative sporting facilities are available nearby should the schools become temporarily out of use. Putting the school in either option 1 or 3 would be extreamply negative to the sporting facities to the public as a whole. 9/25/2015 4:02 PM

193. The site seems appropriate but why not move the school Northward on the site? It would allow for a car park . Thus providing two specific areas for shared sports facility for public and the school site to mutual benefit.... Placing the school in the middle of the site misses an opportunity for it to be set back and dominate the western aspect. 9/25/2015 4:01 PM
194. I WOULD HAVE LIKED IT TO STAYED WHERE IT IS BUT THAT DOES NOT SEEM TO BE AN OPTION. 9/25/2015 4:01 PM
195. None of the above. The very best schools in Britain are in old buildings that have been well constructed and maintained. Building a new school is not the real issue, it's the standard of teaching and quality of well qualified staff that will make a real difference. Unless immigration is halted immediately, it will be necessary to build on every bit of land in Jersey. 9/25/2015 3:57 PM
196. OPTION 2 1. Public amenities are not lost. 2. Being a blank canvas, intelligent design can be adopted, enabling easier & safer, future management of the site. (Traffic in particular) 3. Less genuine objection to probable future expansion. 9/25/2015 3:55 PM
197. I think that to consider taking away part of one of the islands most valuable sporting resources is a ridiculous idea and think option 1 should be removed entirely. 9/25/2015 3:00 PM
198. This site is ideal for the new school without taking away any existing sports facilities, whilst still retaining 'green areas'. I am hugely supportive of this project as I believe that it is imperative that all of the island's children should have the same level of access to up to date facilities and shouldn't feel limited by their surroundings, which I believe is currently the case at Les Q. This new school will offer the pupils a sense of freedom and possibility which is what all children deserve. 9/25/2015 2:44 PM
199. Options 1 & 3 both have the disadvantage that they spoil amenities already in place. In (1) the running/cycling circuit is shortened, the all-weather hockey pitch is in a peculiar situation vis-a vis the new school, the tennis courts will need to be re-sited, and I doubt if the Nursery is in a suitable place. In (3) the Football pitch, a well-used facility, would be subsumed, and would presumably have to be found a new home. A further negative to (1) is that the present school will have a building site for a neighbour, which I can tell you from previous experience can be very distressing for both staff and students. I appreciate that in order to use Option 2 the land will have to be purchased, and because of the utter stupidity of announcing in advance how much (£40M) the States is expecting to pay for the new school you can be sure the land won't be a bargain!! The site is a good shape, access can easily be incorporated, the land is currently little used, it is not far from the majority of the catchment area, and in any case is on a bus route. And there will no disrupting noise a dirt pollution for the existing school. Option 2 gives the architect a good-sized rectangular plot, providing a blank canvas for creative design and functionality. There are no existing obstacles to work around, and subsequently access to the site for the builders is very simple. It has everything in its favour - apart from the ownership of the land. It also means that the design can prepare for possible later additions/expansion if it is strategically sited within the given space. 9/25/2015 2:41 PM
200. If we are choosing a new site for the new school rather than re-using the sports fields, it makes sense to take advantage of using the maximum space available. The new school will be expensive, so choosing a bigger site now is going to be better than choosing a moderately sized site, then deciding in 10 or 15 years we should have made it bigger. 9/25/2015 2:26 PM
201. It is essential at this stage to future proof the site and allow for further expansion at a later date. 9/25/2015 2:26 PM
202. This continues to give school children direct access to all sports facilities available and the swimming pool. Sport is not highly regarded enough in education, and I think we should promote outside exercise more, and this location would encourage this stance. 9/25/2015 2:18 PM
203. At a time when our young people spend more and more time indoors in front of screens, it is important to maintain the sporting facilities for the entire community. Reducing such facilities sends yet another message that team sports, physical activity etc are less important than they used to be. 9/25/2015 2:11 PM
204. What measures will be taken to replace football pitches lost due to siting the school on Les Quennevais Sports field? 9/25/2015 2:10 PM
205. I'd be concerned about the sites at Rue Carree in respect of noise and air pollution, these are very close to the airport. 9/25/2015 1:53 PM
206. advice of teacher who is employed at LQ school 9/25/2015 12:58 PM
207. Option 2 does not effect existing sports facilities, 9/25/2015 12:12 PM
208. Need to keep Les quennevais playing fields as they are 9/25/2015 12:00 PM
209. I do not want to impact current sporting families which are important to our children 9/25/2015 11:59 AM
210. It would be detrimental to the Islands of Jersey to remove any sporting facilities in order to site the new school, when other options are available. The negative impacts would include the following: 1. Less sporting opportunities for schoolchildren and adults alike 2. Worsening of the general health of the population due to less sporting activity being undertaken 3. Worse sporting performance for Jersey local and Islands level teams 4. Cultural and social issues that result from children having a lack of activities The sports fields and St Brelade football pitch play a fantastic part in the local community as well as being used by the whole of the Islands. Any reduction in such facilities, when sporting participation is already reducing, would have enormous consequences and should not be an option for consideration. 9/25/2015 11:49 AM

211. As much room as possible is needed to take into account growth over the next decades. I'm sure St. Brelade's football club could use the new school pitch. 9/25/2015 11:38 AM
212. Option 2 is my preference closely followed by Option 3. Option 1 would result in even more traffic in an already busy area and the loss of facilities and open space. However the relative cost of the three options would be a factor.9/25/2015 11:03 AM
213. I am definitely against building on the Les Quennevais sports field. The area should be retained for all the numerous sports to enjoy and families to enjoy that open space. Further to the loss of any sporting facility the area would become very congested. At peak periods parking is a problem already in this area and to reduce the amount of parking and increase the traffic flow in this area would make it difficult for the users of both the school and sporting facilities. The area is a unique open space and should be kept as such. Maintaining the space also provides the island with an area which can be developed as a dedicated sporting area providing the opportunities to encourage more overseas touring visitors to come to play football hockey cricket cycling softball tennis petanque and running events or anything else for that matter. Option two is my preferred choice as it has the least impact on the community does not remove any existing sporting amenities and fulfils the needs I presume of a larger school. 9/25/2015 10:55 AM
214. In a time where inactivity leading to obesity starts to become a major threat to our health system and social fabric as a whole it is of major importance physical exercise is encouraged and sports facilities are protected. Option 1 is therefore least desirable. As lost sports facilities will not easily be recreated due to continued pressure to rezone for housing purposes which will be prioritized (I can not think of one new area rezoned for sports in the last twenty years with exemption of the farmers Cricket Ground in the north, this whilst the island population has grown 25 percent over that period) the third option is also less desirable. Although a nice large school plot is very nice, keeping in mind the restricted amount of land available in Jersey, Les Quennevais school has to play their part in 'living within our means', an area where Jersey hasn't got the best track record. Options 2 fulfils the requirements for a new school and will have the least impact on the social (sports) structure of St Brelade and Jersey as a whole protecting a healthy lifestyle desperately needed and is therefore the preferred option. 9/25/2015 10:47 AM
215. Option 3 would be preferred. St. Brelade social club and pitch to be re-located to Les Quennevais Playing fields. Their pitch would become the floodlight existing pitch 1 with the clubhouse built into the surrounding bank which has the artificial hockey pitch on the other side. The club house would become joint use with St. Brelade Social Club and the Hockey Association who at present looking at a club house on site. The pitch north of the new school to be a 3G floodlight surface get accommodate school use in the day but return income to the school in the evening. Pitch to be full size to play football matches on. Walk way over road to the north to allow the school to have additional use of green space and playing field. This area would be better suited for a grass running track with an artificial cricket in the middle. Any sport at the Airport playing field would be accommodated on the Les Quennevais playing fields. By taking up this option the existing cricket square would not be lost and the cycle track reduced in size as these are well used facilities. 9/25/2015 10:45 AM
216. Having read the public consultation document, option 2 seems like the option which would bring about the least disruption to the community. My second choice would be option 3. In my opinion, option 1 is almost unthinkable because I think it would ruin what is an excellent sports facility and affect at least 8 sports for the 3 years of construction and beyond. There is a lot of specialist sports facilities at Les Quennevais, such as the hockey pitch, softball pitch, cricket squares, tennis courts and cycle track. These are not facilities which are easily to re-accommodate. I suspect St Brelade's Football Club are not thrilled at the idea of losing their pitch should option 3 be selected, but in the grand scheme of things it is not too difficult or expensive to relocate one football pitch servicing one club compared with relocating several specialist sports facilities servicing multiple clubs should option 1 go ahead. 9/25/2015 10:30 AM
217. I think it's madness to use any viable playing fields. We know as a nation we have a growing obesity problem which in turn burdens our health service so why would we remove any existing playing fields used for hockey/football/rugby and cricket. These are desperately needed on this island for our children's health/wellbeing. 9/25/2015 10:28 AM
218. It is very important that the Sports facilities at Les Quennevais are not affected by the positioning of this new school. It would not only cause some sports to stop at Les Q but also cause huge traffic and logistical problems for the remaining sports users !! 9/25/2015 10:20 AM
219. Building on LQ sports field would be an outrageous decision. The cost to replace such a facility which is easily the best in the island would be astronomical and would require another equally large plot. Placing the new school there would still require another large plot of land to be found in order to build a new sports facility. 9/25/2015 10:16 AM
220. The option to remove public playing fields at Le Quennevais is frankly ridiculous. The facility is well used by a number of different sports. Option 1 would destroy this valuable resource for islanders. It would make access to the all weather pitch more difficult. The mixed use site is also of concern as public will be in the area of the school. Safeguarding, practicality, and social utility all suggest that options 2 or 3 are much better. 9/25/2015 10:09 AM
221. We have a sporty family who frequently use the Quennevais fields for a whole manner of sport. Jersey has no other facility like it and it would be a serious shame to take part of it away. 9/25/2015 10:09 AM
222. Definitely not on Les Quennevais sports field. Highly detrimental to local sports environment. 9/25/2015 10:08 AM
223. I think the vital question to be asked and answered before this project starts is about the sixth forms for the island and the curriculum for the proposed site in relation to the semi private fee paying schools. The creaming off of students at year 10 to go to Hautlieu has such a damaging effect on the island's cohesion and on so many individual lives.... The stigma, I believe, lives lifelong beneath the skin and affects us all as we stimulate a two-tier or possibly three-tier education and social cohesion.... Supported by income available .... I sent my children to the semi-privates simply because I believed



the teaching and outcomes were better but had such issues and still do with the separate eat and elitist intentions. Big issues and it has taken such education at home to balance the children I believe. We have done our best with a cruel and decisive system. I do not think the current system works but no one wants to rock the boat as the really barnacle filled bottom would be exposed. Summary.... How can you plan a school when the big education issues have not been decided openly.... Please... A few years ago after James Reid, I thought we were promised a proper discussion... Now I am seeing the powerful schools hushing this up. 9/25/2015 9:26 AM

224. Given the options this represents the best solution for all parties concerned. 9/25/2015 8:53 AM
225. This option does not remove any sporting facilities in the west. 9/24/2015 10:37 PM
226. Most sensible option which doesn't remove facilities others in Jersey enjoy, and would seem to provide the best option for a state of the art and future proof school building. The fields which will be used don't offer anything of value to tourism, residents or rare creatures it seems, so are not a loss. 9/24/2015 9:51 PM
227. We feel that the first option would not be suitable as the area opposite the present school is very busy with many sports activities taking place, parking is difficult when big events take place and it does not allow for the school to expand should it be necessary in the future. It would need a new road for buses and cars. This road is often extremely busy with queues of traffic from near Quennevais Gardens to the traffic lights and with the new houses going up, many not occupied yet the situation is not going to improve. The second option allows the school to be built back from the road and the surrounding green areas will make the area pleasant to look at from the main road. 9/24/2015 9:27 PM
228. Options 1 and 3 are taking valuable areas of sport. Physical exercise is essential for all ages, to take away these areas can only be detrimental to the health of the island population. These areas are important and should be left for the purpose that they are currently used for. 9/24/2015 7:56 PM
229. The sports fields are the perfect place for the new school. Placing the school surrounded by a sports center with swimming pool, a running track, a cycle track, football pitches and more green space is ideal and help keep students active and healthy during break and PE classes. Having attended Les Q myself, even though our playground was small, we always had loads of space on the playing fields, moving away from this area would be such a shame. The layout on option 1 looks really well thought out and I think the initial disruption to residents during construction would be worth the end goal. Could the existing school site be used as parking space for the sports center overflow, it really isn't that far away. 9/24/2015 7:37 PM
230. By far the most appropriate proposal which does not affect existing sporting and community facilities and significantly enhances them 9/24/2015 5:45 PM
231. I think it is vital that we keep the existing fields of Les Quennevais Sports Centre, they play such a huge role in people's lives, especially at weekends. I also think that the implications for traffic will be extremely detrimental to the area if option 1 is selected. Option 2 is a site that will allow the existing Les Quennevais pitches to remain untouched. Also, the site of Option 2 will be easier for traffic and make the school a focal point of that area. 9/24/2015 2:42 PM
232. The current Les Quennevais School is out dated, too small and no longer fit for purpose and students in the West of the Island are at a disadvantage compared to the other 11-16 States schools. The students are the future of the island and deserve to have an education and opportunities that are on a par with their peers. The need for a new school, on a new site has been known for some years and the States need to get their priorities right and stop wasting money on pointless projects such as granite roundabouts and make a commitment to the children living in the West of the Island. Les Quennevais School already achieves fantastic results at GCSE despite the terrible overcrowding and outdated facilities, but given a brand new school the results could be outstanding. 9/24/2015 2:34 PM
233. Loss of facilities at Les Quennevais sports centre would be detrimental to a number of sports locally, not least cycling and triathlon. The venue under the option 1 plans would no longer be suitable as a venue for pool based triathlon due to the increased distance between the swimming pool and cycle track and the reduced length of the track. This is currently the only venue suitable for mass participation children's triathlon in Jersey and caters for over 200 junior triathletes every year. 9/24/2015 1:35 PM
234. Our green fields are slowly disappearing under cement and tarmac. The sandy fields around Quennevais are home to a wide range of wildlife. A great number of creatures had to be removed from the sandy areas where the new homes are being built along Route des Quennevais. Once land is built on the wildlife is lost forever. Urban creep is slowly destroying the natural beauty of Jersey. The best option would be to re-build on the old site, however inconvenient it would be. 9/24/2015 12:46 PM
235. The biggest growing sport & pass time is cycling & the only facility is at Les Quennevais so it should be expanded not reduced in size. Build on option 2 9/24/2015 11:45 AM
236. I only prefer option 1 as I feel the other two sites are too close to the airport and the fumes that come off the planes cannot be good for the kids health. 9/24/2015 11:14 AM
237. Bearing in mind how long it took for St. Brelade football club to have their own pitch it would be disgraceful to take it away from them. Likewise LQ playing field which are used by the whole community. 9/24/2015 10:40 AM
238. If the fields south of La Rue Carre are built upon, this will form an unbroken line of buildings on both sides of the road along the whole of the bus route from the airport to town. Do we really want to present the same "ribbon development" aspect that blights Guernsey? 9/24/2015 9:05 AM

239. Doesn't take away what is already a great space for children and adults to use If the owners of the land are happy to sell I think the school would be best placed on option 2 leaving a quiet space between school and new housing 9/24/2015 6:49 AM
240. Crazy to build on some of the best sporting and club facilities on the island at Les Q, which is now the home for so many sports/clubs, not to mention the only purpose built cycling track on the island. 9/23/2015 10:18 PM
241. I am a teacher of Science at Les Quennevais school and am a great believer that it's the people inside a school that make it special. This has been my mantra for the past 10 years..... But ..... The students at my school are being given a poorer education because of our building and lack of space. My lab is so dated that my students parents who are in their 50's, point out where they used to sit when they were at Les Quennevais School. Taps and sinks leak over students bags and their work, on the benches. The gas pressure is not good enough to service a class of 29 and often Bunsen Burners go out without warning. These labs were not made for so many students. I try to laugh it off with my students and then see what others on the island are experiencing, with their fantastic facilities. Then it's hard to keep upbeat. The canteen upsets me. We have 8 tables for over 800 students. The students queue for ages for food. Children have another option which is to sit in the hall on a chair, but when it rains the students outside in the playground crowd into the hall, or hang around in the corridors. The playground is the only area where children can play, run and be outside. A Tarmaced area with a few seats, no shade or visual stimulation. When we have assemblies the caretakers spend hours a week putting individual chairs out and then clipping them together for health and safety precautions. The school has 8 per week. Children put the chairs away after. 9/23/2015 9:14 PM
242. I live in les quennevais park flats the traffic is a nightmare when the school finishes. The cars drive so fast there is going to be a serious accident one day. 9/23/2015 9:09 PM
243. To us this site does seems to be the best option but what a shame that thought wasn't given at the time of planning Les Quennevais school that it would in time need to be enlarged. We feel that it is essential to keep all the present sporting facilities. 9/23/2015 9:00 PM
244. Good site for building access plus future use access is good and is supported by existing main roads. Least disruption to existing social and sports facilities. 9/23/2015 8:38 PM
245. Option 3 clearly the best. Don't mind Option 2 but Option 1 is by far the worst due to the impact on Les Quennevais playing fields. These are critical facilities for the West of the Island and probably cannot be replaced once lost. the cycle track is one of the few places in the Island which is in almost constant and varied use (cyclists doing laps, dog walkers, children learning to cycle, skateboarders and in-line skaters, runners and walkers). Option 3 preferred over Option 2 since the green lung in Option 2 will be vulnerable to further development in future. 9/23/2015 8:37 PM
246. Should stay in the built up area of Les Quennevais. 9/23/2015 8:21 PM
247. This site is well suited for the School. Logistically for the build it is better placed and less infrastructure is required for the build, as the site can be accessed directly off La Route des Quennevais. The site has already been looked at for various development plans. The disruption to any sports clubs at Les Quennevais sports centre is likely to have a huge impact on a vast number of people, as this areas is heavily used by so many people. The option 2 site will hardly impact any users in comparison to option 1. 9/23/2015 8:19 PM
248. At all cost we should avoid more building in the Rue Carree area. Otherwise there will no longer be any green space between the airport and Red Houses. Already the new buildings on the Belle Vue site have resulted in an undesirable extension of the ribbon development all along that road. Why has the option of re-developing the existing site been ruled out? You could build high (e.g. 6 stories) on the existing car parks and relocate the bus pick-up/staff parking temporarily elsewhere 9/23/2015 8:08 PM
249. Another colossal waste of public money on the yet another overtly grandiose project conceived by a group of civil servants and politicians unfit to govern, proven through years of mismanagement, a lack of expertise and their catastrophic failure to implement forward thinking immigration policies, which have ultimately resulted in an unsustainable growth and population rate and burden on the social system and ultimately the indigenous population. But what is the point of this survey as, as a body of governance with no respect for the public it supposedly serves or any wish to truly hear and respond to any expressed public opinion, the States of Jersey will in any case arrogantly and irrespectively proceed with whichever option they feel best regardless of necessity, cost. 9/23/2015 5:56 PM
250. My husband is buried in Les Quennevais Cemetery I think it would be very disrespectful to build a school and all its associated noise bordering the Cemetery. 9/23/2015 4:55 PM
251. I dont believe the Airport Road can cope with any more development on or near it. It is now looking a very over developed mess. I am also very concerned with the traffic implications the school will bring to the airport road which already doesn't cope at peak times..... 9/23/2015 4:45 PM
252. Fields south of Rue Carree - too close to the airport (noise pollution and potential danger from plane crash) 9/23/2015 4:38 PM
253. EMAIL My husband & I are Grandparents to 3 young children at Mont Nicollet school where they are receiving a first class education. We hope this will continue when they eventually move to Les Quennevais school. We both agree that our first choice for the relocation of the school should be OPTOIN 2. Yours sincerely 9/23/2015 4:20 PM



254. EMAIL Dear Sir/ Madam, After looking through the options that have been posted online and in the JEP, some questions have come to mind. But first i have some thoughts I would like to share. Being an ex-pupil of the school, and currently being a parent of pupils at the school. I am glad to see that the Education Department have finally got the wheels moving on this project. Les Quennevais school has need regeneration for a long time. My initial opinion though is why has this not been done sooner? So back to the options listed. Option 1. Though this does seem, on paper, a good idea. It also seems ridiculous. The subsequent downsizing of the sports facilities seems too much of a loss for a sports complex that houses a vast range of activities. Option2. Seems a good site. One point i don't like about the plan is the need for a pitch and track. You already have excellent sports facilities at Les Quennevais sports fields. Why is there a need to build the pitch/track on site? Surely not doing this would reduce your costs. Option 3. I just seem to get the impression that there is no difference here apart from the design extending the natural/wildlife area. But again the plan for sports facilities seems wasteful. The information provided by yourselves, is quite detailed. There is, however, a lack of what the true cost is going to be. The budget of £40 million. seems extreme when you compare to what schools in the UK are being built for. Will there be a breakdown available of the individual cost for every part of the construction? Including all internal fixings e.g lights, tables, computers etc? Why is there a need for a sports field on site, when there are sports facilities nearby? Also with the football field across Rue Carree one is not needed on the school grounds. These are just a few questions that need to be answered. Option 2 does seem a good site, but with out actual cost given to any site in your information pamphlets how can we make a constructive decision. Looking forward to hearing from you soon. 9/23/2015 4:06 PM
255. EMAIL To whom it may concern, I have received a newsletter regarding a consultation regarding the proposed development of les Quennevais school. Having studied the 3 proposed sites for the development of Les Quennevais school, I feel that it seems inconceivable that it would be a good idea to put the development in the South east corner of the les Quennevais sports field when compared to the alternative sites. To do this would absolutely spoil a beautiful area which has an extremely beneficial effect on the quality of life for many many people who enjoy the area and it will have a large impact on many families living close to the area. On the other hand the alternative is to place the development in an open field which is on a main road, which will not impact a large amount of dwellings, and will not be detrimental to the area and will not have a large impact on the traffic system. However, that said I can see the real need to increase capacity for this school and it is long over due, but I strongly that this should not be in the les Quennevais sports field. I think the best option is the second option on the newsletter - fields south of rue Carree Yours 9/23/2015 4:05 PM
256. EMAIL Dear sir Quennevais playing fields are the best location for the new school, but not the south east. The school could be positioned in the south west corner, close to the railway walk, connected by a cover walk way to the old school medium high over the track .this would give 2 points of access, one to the higher the new using the existing sports field car park, shared with existing users, and the lower school (old) staying as it is present, through the estate. There is nothing wrong with the present school, but it is over crowded, and needs updating not rebuilding. Only taking 1 football pitch in the process, ready made parking, semi separating the different age groups, and i'm sure lots of other benefits, and a lot less expense to the tax payer. 9/23/2015 4:05 PM
257. EMAIL I would prefer site 2: Fields south of Rue Carree. This site would fit in well with the current housing developments. My main concern is, however, Beaumont junction, due to inability to cope with traffic early morning, until about 9.30 am and again from 3.30 pm until 6.30 pm. At these times delay occurs, at least 30 minutes, sometimes taking up to 50 mins to travel from Mont Nicolle to St Helier. In Jersey terms this is a significant delay!!! Does not matter if you use the bus or car...the delay is the same for all. If using the bus, you still have to walk from bust stop to destination!!! I hope Planning will be taking this into account when all the extra cars and bus seating are required when the additional houses come on line and additional students to Les Quennevais. If Les Quennevais was only taking students from the local catchment, surely this would help the traffic travelling from east to west? Many thanks for the opportunity to respond.
258. 9/23/2015 4:05 PM
259. EMAIL As a resident and future user of new school (parent) I think option 2 is good value - allows space between current housing and does not reduce the les quennevais circuit so important to sport in west of island - also space to extend if needed, assume some cost could be covered by rent or sale of land or homes on current school site. 9/23/2015 4:04 PM
260. EMAIL [www.gov.je/consult](http://www.gov.je/consult) is a total jungle: I cannot find any mention of the new Les Quennevais Site plans. However, put me down for Option 1 ! Can anyone explain, please? Victoria College was built in 1852; Eton College was built back in 1440. Both are still standing and thriving, and, when they need refurbishing/enlarging, they are refurbished/enlarged – the latter, be it said, at no cost to the State. The current Les Quennevais School is 'brand new': I remember it being built in the 1960s. Why can we no longer build a school that will last more than 50 years, for heaven's sake? It was the same with Le Rocquier: built as a pre-fab, lasted a few decades, just been rebuilt.... How long before this new Les Quennevais School is condemned? Just for a change, let's spend a bit more and build something (aesthetic even) that will last! 9/23/2015 4:04 PM
261. EMAIL On a brief look at the proposals my preference would be for option one. This would have the advantage of creating the potential for a school which could specialise in sports; pupils could then come from all over the island to attend this. The other secondary schools could have other specialisms, eg science at Granville, art and drama at Le Rocquier, languages and humanities at Haute Vallée. Consideration should also be given to the possibility of the school numbers increasing if the +14 transfer to Hautlieu was abolished. It may also be likely that the school leaving age may be raised and more vocational courses will be essential. The school should also be a community centre for extra-curricular activities and for adult education and activities. It could also be a sports training centre for use in the holidays. The proximity to the airport and lack of additional facilities should rule out the two other options. Best wishes, 9/23/2015 4:03 PM
262. lots of space here and the most important thing to us is can the kids cycle safely to school from bel royal la providence estate.i really hope ye can get it open in 2019. 9/23/2015 2:57 PM
263. St Brelade's football pitch site also has the St. Brelade Social Club which is a key social hub of the local community for families and is used 6 days a week. This site should NOT even be considered and the Social Club and wouldn't be the same if moved! There has also already been a high amount of major building imposed on residents in this area over the

last five years. This has taken away green sites from this now built up area via new Social Rental Housing and an airport hanger site nearby, so a school in either of the two remaining green sites near Rue Carree would overburden the area and create a traffic issue (already main route for St. Ouen, Airport, St. Peter, JRFC, Jersey Bowl, Quennevais Precinct etc). This would be unsafe for up to 850 children given road issues and very close proximity to the airport. For these reasons although I don't like any of the 3 options, the sensible choice must be the one on the existing playing fields given that 5 sports pitches and the existing complex etc are retained. 9/23/2015 1:48 PM

264. My reasons are that I don't think we should lose out on the facilities at the centre for option 1. I feel that the Social Club is too much of a community space that is well needed and used a lot. The fields are sitting empty. 9/23/2015 1:34 PM
265. I believe the other 2 options would have a great effect on the social life of many locals elderly and young alike the facilities are prefect in their current state, so it only leaves option 2 as the most viable . 9/23/2015 1:14 PM
266. With artificial soccer pitches now available like the one at Springfield the loss of one soccer pitch at Les Quenevais will not impact greatly on local sport. In the longer term loss of farm land would be a bigger problem. It is also the case that the swimming programme at Les Quenevais will become much more difficult if the school moves and the increased cost would be considerable. The schools links with the Sports centre are also worth maintaining. 9/23/2015 12:59 PM
267. I do not believe we should be using up more agricultural land for the site. Additionally, the Rue Carree options will cause traffic disruption on the road to the Airport. The Les Quennevais sports field site is closest to the existing school site. Should further sports facilities be required, the Blanchés Banques area could be extended. 9/23/2015 11:08 AM
268. This seems like the most appropriate site but I think people would like to know what would happen, if anything, to the Air Training Corp builds and facilities. The parking looks sensible, the retention of the football field is also noted. The fact it is on the 15 bus route seems irrelevant as the school is fed by parishes not on that route. The size of the school and the fact that it is on two floors seems sensible as it will allow the freer movement of pupils around the school. 9/23/2015 8:47 AM
269. Option 3 gives Quennevais school a large site and has least negative effect on the local community. Please avoid option 1 which positions the new school over an existing nursery and playing fields - facilities that are also needed by the local community! 9/23/2015 8:29 AM
270. Option 2 would be by far the best location due to scope for further expansion, extra sporting facilities and no disruption to existing school. Any loss or disruption of sporting facilities would be a disaster, currently not enough is done to encourage sport in Jersey and a loss of facilities would further compound this problem. 9/23/2015 6:43 AM
271. Route de Quennevais is already saturated with traffic, this will make things even worse and more dangerous and less able to cross the road. A 20 mph limit would be needed plus more zebra crossings. We have one open green space left please do not build on any more green fields. The existing school could be extended on its existing footprint with the addition of land on the Sports field. Please stop accepting anymore children outside of the catchment area ie Grouville and St.Helier. We had to remove our son aged 13 from Les Quennevais due to chronic overcrowding, this should have never been allowed. 9/22/2015 10:57 PM
272. We should ensure the sports fields are protected for the community. All ages use them year round. 9/22/2015 10:20 PM
273. Please do not build in a location that removes sports facilities. 9/22/2015 10:18 PM
274. Sick and tired of seeing sports facilities being lost when so many passionate people in sport have put so much time in over umpteen years to gain facilities. Rest In peace Kipper Corley I really hope the authorities leave what was your dream alone. Frank Machon cycling circuit should be left alone as well as a remark of respect to the CCC stalwart. What will clipping 500 metres off of the current 1500 achieve? More accidents in the future I expect. 9/22/2015 9:56 PM
275. You should not be removing existing sporting facilities we should be encouraging all sport in the Island not restricting it. By shortening the cycle track by what appears to be more than the stated 500 meters this does not help to encourage and provide for an ever growing sport you would also be removing existing football / tennis and general well used public space at Lea Quennevaia 9/22/2015 9:56 PM
276. Stated that net loss to the facility will be one pitch. This is incorrect. There are currently eight football pitches at Les Quennevais, new design shows only 5, counting the school pitch. Currently a Rugby pitch on site, no pitch in new design. Loss of the lower cricket square and artificial cricket mat, which will be turned into the school & playground. Currently 4 cricket nets next to the western side of the Tennis courts, no sign on any in new design. Also loss of one softball diamond which is currently located on the floodlight football pitch during the summer months. Where the proposed new pitches 3 & 4 are to be situated, that area is two different levels. This will require a large amount of cut and fill to make the area suitable for pitches. There will also be the loss of the floodlight football pitch, this is now taking a large amount of games that were played at Springfield. As Springfield is being used during the weekday evenings for the JFA school of Excellence training sessions. So cannot take evening football matches Looking at the proposed plans, there will be only 4 pitches available for weekend football fixtures, as pitch 5 is located away from the changing facilities, with either fencing & or hedging separating it from the new Les Quennevais Sports complex. Also there is a German Bunker to the west of the proposed new pitch 3 which is buried under a large amount of soil in the middle of the existing copse of trees. The current irrigation system which runs from the Reservoir on the western boundary through the whole site. Will need to be replaced, due to the new pitch layout. Has anybody worked out a ball park figure for the works to redesign the playing fields? Does the £40 million to build the new school include the cost of redesigning the new look Les Quennevais Playing Fields? Or will this be an extra cost to the tax payer? 9/22/2015 9:42 PM
277. This has no impact to the sport centre and uses an empty plot of land along side the St Brelades club. 9/22/2015 9:33 PM

278. Option one would involve lost of sport pitches and reduce the cycle track. The cycle track is the only one in Jersey and is well used by both the adult and youth cycle clubs and is the only place juniors can race. Three Years without the track will have a significant impact on youth cycle development, particularly on future stars for the island games. Caesarean Cycling Club - Club Secretary 9/22/2015 9:29 PM
279. Any option apart from option one. It will be far too built up and so sad to impact on the amazing cycle track and sports facilities that are used so much by people not only in the west but island wide. 9/22/2015 9:21 PM
280. Survey is surely flawed or leading, there is no option for none of the above. 9/22/2015 9:06 PM
281. Services at this site are already there so less work to re-connect to the new site. Putting it Rue Carree will involve a new road being built to cope with increased traffic flow at school times plus new services having to be extended to this area. Less of a project to put it on the existing sports field site. However will the old school site be turned in to green land/sports field? Or will you build more housing? Will you bother listening to the public or just ignore all the comments as usual? 9/22/2015 8:49 PM
282. Option 2 is the only one that is feasible. Less interruption for the inhabitants of Don Farm estate & Les Quennevais Sports Centre. An offset roundabout could be built at the junction of Rue Carree & Route des Quennevais, rounding off the corners of Rue Carree, enabling an entrance to the site to be at this junction, thereby not interfering with traffic to the cemetery & St Brelade's Football Ground. Possibly the least expensive also I would have thought, what with all the protests & litigation etc, that goes on nowadays. 9/22/2015 8:11 PM
283. I do not know why they cannot keep the original site for the school but build upwards as they do in the UK, they would still have use of the sports facilities on the playing fields, this solution is more acceptable for everyone. I have put option 2 out of what has been offered it is the best of this bad bunch, but I am really unhappy with what has been proposed. Again lack of for site. 9/22/2015 7:56 PM
284. I feel using land on Les Quennevais playing field would be detrimental to the surrounding area. The playing fields are used by hundreds of people everyday and play a big part in keeping people in the area active as well as providing an open space for residents to enjoy in other ways. By using the sites in option 3 you would be using land which is not at all as popular and would have far less an impact. 9/22/2015 7:38 PM
285. Gives room to expand if necessary in the future. Option 1 not good as loss of sports facilities which are used by wide range of islanders. Option 3 not good as is a limited space which will result in loss of community and sports facilities 9/22/2015 7:18 PM
286. I was at Les Quennevais School from 1980-1985 and it was in dire straits then with crumbling walls, leaking windows and "the huts" outside which were non insulated wooden portacabin like structures which were freezing in the winter and boiling in the summer, were rarely cleaned and weren't fit to house animals let alone provide a suitable learning environment. So I am totally in favour of a new school being built, but on the playing field site, not on either of the Rue Carree sites as this is one of the only open spaces left in that part of St Brelade and traffic would be funnelled onto a very busy main road, Route Des Quennevais, and is also close to 2 petrol stations and an airport in relation to safety factors in case of a major incident at any of these sites. I know the poor people of Quennevais Park and Clos Des Sables have had 50 years of a secondary school literally on their doorstep and the attending problems this creates, but I still strongly feel from an environmental and safety point of view that the new school should go on the playing fields and the proposed Rue Carree sites be turned into new playing fields tying up with the 2 football pitches already in situ.9/22/2015 6:54 PM
287. I know this is about LQ school - BUT PLEASE can someone address the traffic to the majority of schools being: Victoria College Victoria College Prep JCG JCG Prep Beaulieu Beaulieu Primary De La Salle De La Salle Primary Highlands Hautlieu Too many schools in one area. Accident waiting to happen. I would love for my children to cycle to school but it will not happen as there is no safe route. Even a traffic warden/safety crossover individual hired to help children cross safely at the bottom of Wellington Hill. I have been told by TTS that a zebra crossing on Wellington Hill is not priority and is expensive! Well how about someone stand their on a daily basis and see the traffic problems every day. So many young children are put at risk!! Come on Education / TTS surely there must be some money in the pot to help children safety, increase green initiative, reduce traffic - pretty simple really. Just needs people to action this. 9/22/2015 6:25 PM
288. Option 2 seems the most appropriate, albeit at a cost of purchasing the land, which I assume is not insignificant. Option 1 is an absolute no-go. The impact to islanders from all parishes would be significant into an area which is well used. Please NO to #1. Option 3 is silver medal, with a bigger impact to the wider community (St Brelade's Social Club) but it appears that solutions have been found. 9/22/2015 5:53 PM
289. Why isn't there another option: rebuild the school where it is?? The traffic around both option 1 and 2 will make it simply impossible for people to get to work without leaving before 7am, I already leave at 7.10am every morning and still only manage to get in at 8.10am. 9/22/2015 2:50 PM
290. Leaflet - input by TM Thousands upon thousands of people use the playing fields for a multitude of sports (most young kids). It would be a real shame to lose all of this valued space. Keep the sports fields as they are also they very well used cycle track. 9/22/2015 2:40 PM
291. OPTION 1 SHOULD NOT BE ENTERTAINED AS ACCESS TO PUBLIC SPACE WHICH IS USED AND ENJOYED AS PART OF AN ACTIVE LIFESTYLE BY COMMUNITY WOULD BE CURTAILED. OPTION 3 TOO NEAR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT SUCH AS THE OVER 65'S NEW HOUSING AND HOUSES IN BELLE VUE, EFFECT FOR RESIDENTS NEED TO BE CONSIDERED. 9/22/2015 2:31 PM

292. This site seems to offer the required space without impacting too drastically on the surrounding area. I would be vehemently opposed to Option 1 believing sports and outdoor activities are to be encouraged at all times, particularly in this age of technology. Option 3 will see, what would appear to be, the unnecessary loss of St Brelades football pitch and social club. 9/22/2015 1:23 PM
293. The other two sites are very close to the airport. noise and traffic would be heard inside the school and going to school would be dangerous. 9/22/2015 1:17 PM
294. The best site because it is open, without existing development, very good access and minimal disruption to residents on the eastern side. 9/22/2015 12:13 PM
295. Neither : Why is it not being built in St Peter where the western show held or St John opp the Church or St Mary on the other side to the School there all three of these parishes send children to Le Quennevais The current sites are a very narrow option and poorly put together bearing in mind the current congestion in St Brelade 9/22/2015 11:01 AM
296. Surely option 1 will restrict / stop any future development in all sports carried out at this venue. The next several generations will require more space and facilities for all sports. Don't destroy or minimise the LQ sports site. This Island asset should be retained and developed for sport only. 9/22/2015 10:48 AM
297. Option 2 or 3 Do not ruin Les Quennevais sports field 9/22/2015 10:45 AM
298. It makes sense to future proof the school, rather than having to revisit this problem in the next 10 years. 9/22/2015 9:36 AM
299. The larger site will help future proof the project by providing for further expansion as and when required. 9/22/2015 9:33 AM
300. The decision would depend on what is proposed for the existing school. This should be restored to playing fields. 9/21/2015 9:41 PM
301. The other options will I crease traffic in an already busy area and are green zone areas. 9/21/2015 8:46 PM
302. Building on existing sports fields is unacceptable St Martins school should not have been built on a playing field Option 2 would be a good choice with the airport playing fields to be used by the school therefore giving more available land to south of Rue Carree 9/21/2015 7:35 PM
303. having studied the proposed sites for the new school and the various sacrifices to be made subject to which option is selected (or dare I say already decided). I feel that the presented options are all unsuitable. I believe the logical site would be part of the existing Quennevais Sports Complex sited to the west of the playing fields for the following reasons. 1. The land mass would accommodate all the requirements. 2. Accross the railway track from the existing school. 3. minimal sacrifice of the existing sports facilities which could be re-sited within the area of the sports field. 4. No sacrifice of the tennis courts or existing buildings. The Quennevais road / Rue Carre option are not suitable for these reasons. 1. To sacrifice the hard fought location of the St Brelade Social Club and football pitch would be a sad loss to the Parish. The school would border the parish grave yard. (Have our States of Jersey identified a site within the parish to re-locate the club in all its grandure ? ). It is my opinion that the location of the new School be sited to the West Side of the existing Sports Fields. Parishioner of St Brelade. 9/21/2015 7:33 PM
304. None of the above 40 million pounds should not be spent on a new school. It is outrages. 9/21/2015 6:04 PM
305. Whilst I identify the adjacent site to the existing schoo for development I I have done so without knowledge of what is to happen to the existing school site? This is a VITAL piece of information for if the existing site is to remain in public hands, for say housing, then is really doesn't matter which green field is being destroyed. 9/21/2015 5:59 PM
306. My reason for not choosing options 2&3 are simply. Why build a school near a fuel depot which is pumping all day to refuel aircrafts all day? If the need to evaluate due to a given situation would be a logistical nightmare. Option 1 is so near to the original school building, the school will have easier to access therefore making travel to and from school safer for all. 9/21/2015 3:11 PM
307. No green fields as our fields need to be kept for our future generations . We do not want our pretty island built over with concrete. Stop Stop before you make more mistakes !!! 9/21/2015 3:02 PM
308. As a former scholar I appreciate the changes required for the future of Les Quennevais School. I lived in close proximity at the time and thoroughly enjoyed my education there. Although saddened to hear that the site may be replaced I understand the urgency and consideration. Moving to option three in my opinion may help to elevate traffic congestion and Clos des Sable will become more suitably residential. Good planning and good luck 9/21/2015 1:27 PM
309. Option 2 with option 3 as full back What about the longer over due redevelopment of VC Preparatory School, which has not been fit for purpose for even longer than Les Quennevais . 9/21/2015 12:16 PM
310. Closest to Major Urban Housing- for ease of access. 2. Less Airport Noise - Or no more than at present ! 3. Better
311. On-Site Parking facilities. 4. No disruption to St Brelades Social Club Facilities. 5. Maintain proximity of existing Sports Center. 6. Could Rue de Cimenterie be widened from La Route de Quennevais around Belle Vue to create access from the North of the School ? 9/21/2015 12:10 PM
312. This option gives good access for traffic and gives more flexibility for future expansion. 9/21/2015 12:09 PM

313. Infrastructure is already in place to support a new building i.e. land ownership, road access, services etc. 9/21/2015 11:59 AM
314. To build on the St Brelade football site wouldn't be fair on all the members of the club who put in all their time and hard work for the club. The St Brelade football club is a hive of socialisation and brings together members of the community as a family. I'm personally an ex LQ student, and I loved the school, I agree a new one is needed but just not on the St Brelade football site. 9/21/2015 11:16 AM
315. Option 2 means so south of Rue Carree you are out in the sea! Build it in either Poland, Madeira, Romania or the 'Black Country' around Birmingham where Rod Bryans 'hails from'. 9/21/2015 10:42 AM
316. If we need to build a new school, we should start from scratch. This will give the developers and designers the best chance to create a building to take us into the future. There should be no restrictions about adding to this school at a later date. This is why I feel that Option 2 is best. My son was at Les Quennevais during the last rebuilding. He spent most of his time in rover cabins. That was less than 20 years ago. Was it a lack of foresight, a way of saving public money or trying not to encroach into greenfield sites? Which ever way, it appears to have been a stop gap decision. My least favourite option is no.1. As an Active member I use Les Quennevais sports facilities, usually a minimum of twice a week. I have noticed people of all ages taking advantage of not only the sports hall but the surrounding facilities. The track is immensely popular. I have seen all ages from babies in buggies to elderly people in mobility scooters. Friends from the east of the island use these facilities as regularly as I. Indeed, we are a present using the track to teach my granddaughter to ride her bike as many have done before us. I genuinely feel that loosing this area to the public would have a detrimental effect on many people's lives. 9/20/2015 8:50 PM
317. This site is closer to the sports complex and will cause the least disruption to the residents of st brelade. Leave the green fields alone there's not many left in the parish!!! 9/20/2015 7:57 PM
318. The area around option 1 already serves the sports centre and playing fields. The school would just add to further congestion in that area. 9/20/2015 3:45 PM
319. I dont think any of these sites are necessary. You could rebuild where the school is with a bridge going over the railway track leading to a new part of the school where the waste land leading to the sand dunes are (at side of current football and baseball field is. There is also plenty of land not utilised on the current site. The library could be re-positioned to another location in the parish which more people would be aware of. I have also heard on the grapevine that if the school wAs moved the land would be used for social housing. This area is already over populated with cars. I live on clos des sables and Don't think more housing would be good idea for the parking situation in the area. Also, I don't want to see our last few remaining fields to be taken away for either schools or housing. 9/19/2015 10:40 PM
320. Replace the sports field by using the old school site. Simples 9/19/2015 9:35 PM
321. Option 1 - loss of parking and sports facilities etc make this a non starter and also limited room to extend! 9/19/2015 9:10 PM
322. There is then the option of extending in the future if necessary. If built on the playing fields the same problem will arise in 30 years-school Not big enough and new site needed 9/19/2015 8:02 PM
323. Better option for the future if any further extensions required. 9/19/2015 7:24 PM
324. Why would you look at any other sites than 1! Less disruption on building, better access, CHEAPER AS ITS ESC LAND! Could be linked to the old school, unless this is being demolished. Won't be a green field site! Would enable the re-structuring of the sports centre etc. 9/19/2015 5:22 PM
325. As a mother who regularly visits the LQ sport centre cycle track I urge you to stay away from this venue. It is one of few buggy and toddler safe venues and will be the place I teach my son to ride his bike. We drive from St Clements to enjoy this track! 9/19/2015 5:16 PM
326. The new school will need areas for sport, PE etc so site option 3 is the best choice. 9/19/2015 10:18 AM
327. Please don't take away any of the Sports Facilities 9/18/2015 9:21 PM
328. This area is being over-urbanised and further incursions into green fields is unacceptable. 9/18/2015 12:47 PM
329. This site does not disrupt the excellent sports facilities at Les Quennevais fields, and has good transport connections. It takes the strain from the busy crossroads junction by waitrose. 9/18/2015 11:25 AM
330. Option 1 I believe would have an adverse effect on users of Les Quennevais sports facilities due to increased traffic in what is already a busy area and leaves no room for any further expansion, either for the school or the sports facilities around it. Option 2 appears to be far enough away from residential areas as to not cause too much of a problem whilst leaving room for potential future expansion. 9/18/2015 9:48 AM
331. It's a real shame that it's taken this many years to even debate a location for the new school. This is something that has been in talk for many years. All other schools on the island are revamped and modern and Les Quennevais is severely lacking the space and features that allow students to achieve their best. I'd refrain from spending hours reviewing a survey of where people prefer the school to be moved to and get on with it - you're essentially wasting more time. 9/18/2015 8:13 AM



332. It looks like there would be no need to relocating or changing existing sport / social facilities. seems to have a safer option for school buses drop off and less disruption to residents alongside the railway walk. This would also enable the school to be built 'fit to purpose' not made to fit in an area too small for it. 9/17/2015 8:33 PM
333. I strongly object to option 1. The cycle track and pitches are in constant use and a free resource for people of all ages. The loss or should I say partial loss of this would be tragic. If it can't be option three then my vote would be option two. I have two children who will be due to attend the newly built school. 9/17/2015 8:12 PM
334. I have ticked no direct link but I am a teacher working at one of Les Quennevais' feeder schools. 9/17/2015 7:54 PM
335. This seems the most sensible option with increasing use of St Brelade Social club, and less loss of sports facilities. And it would have the added bonus to have a 'bespoke' school which would be fit for purpose rather than trying to shoe horn the school into a certain site not quite fit for purpose 9/17/2015 5:57 PM
336. Please NOT option 1 / on sports field 9/17/2015 5:42 PM
337. The best site, as no quennevais sports facilities will be lost and the footballers from the pitch there can move to the sports centre. 9/17/2015 5:40 PM
338. Why Should the Parish of ST Brelade lose the Football and Social club. 9/17/2015 4:48 PM
339. Option 1 will remove too many well used facilities.! 9/17/2015 4:30 PM
340. None - refurbish at a fraction of the cost. 9/17/2015 3:29 PM
341. Do not put the site on le quennevais sports field. Why are the states constantly leaving local people with no green spaces within walking distance of their homes. I live in St Clements and it is already a concrete jungle even before stage three begins and you build on the green house site. We use le quennevais as there is no safe spaces like this in St Clements . Leave the sports field alone! 9/17/2015 1:02 PM
342. I think to take away the public facilities at Les Quennevais Sports field is against what the space was originally designed for and the loss of this facility to the many clubs and associations that use it will be quite dramatic. That is not to mention the people that use it as an area for relaxation, walking, cycling etcetera. In addition, the access road past "Communicare"/Don Farm road is already quite busy and congested at times and the additional traffic could cause further danger to pedestrians, many of whom are now elderly. There would also be further "footfall" cutting through quiet residential areas. My second objection would be against the loss of St Brelade Football pitch, which is again a well used club facility which would be a great loss not only to the club but to the other users. Also I do not agree that the school should be built bordering a cemetery, which should be a place for peace and reflection. This leaves option 2, which I still do not feel to be an ideal site, however, given the lack of choices, this would be my preferred choice. 9/17/2015 12:39 PM
343. None of the above. This is not the time to be spending money. We do not have to have Rolls Royce all the time. As a States worker in a building that leaks and is far from ideal, we just get on with it - it does not affect our work. 9/17/2015 11:28 AM
344. Option 3 ensures that more open space between the airport and urban connetation of Les Quennevais.. It is also further away from the airport so would create less noise infraction and would also provide better road access. Encroachment on part of green fields could also be used in tis plan to make the school larger than proposed, as option 2 also utilises green fields. 9/17/2015 10:52 AM
345. I feel option 1 is a definite no, as the Sports Field is such a valuable Island resource. 9/17/2015 10:40 AM
346. Adds to the sporting and recreational facilities for the Island. 9/16/2015 10:07 PM
347. The sports field is one of the only green spaces left in st brelades, where the locals enjoy sunny days ect. and walk their dogs around the track, cycling ect. It is used alot by myself and my family and neighbours and would be awful to take it away. This island is slowly getting rid of every greenery and it is sad. there is plenty of space near the airport or even corbiere! 9/16/2015 7:40 PM
348. We feel option 2 or 3 is the most suitable option as this doesn't disrupt to many residents or island facilities think of our future children. 9/16/2015 5:58 PM
349. I strongly feel that Option 2 is the only logical choice but appreciate the requirement to consider all available options and put them to public consultation. It's clear from the analysis undertaken that option 2 is the best choice primarily due to the lack of restrictions in the design of the school which the other sites have. This will enable a school to be built with the functionality required for future generations. In addition this site provides additional facilities for the public which is a great benefit. While I appreciate there will be a loss of a couple of green fields and some people may get upset about this but these are rarely used from my observation and the number of cattle which are in them is very small. This loss is a small price to pay for the educational needs of our future generations. In addition this whole area backs on to creepy valley and the dunes and this is where our true "green" areas are so the loss of the field is a mute point. I'm glad this is finally going ahead and trust the right decision will be made. 9/16/2015 3:25 PM
350. Less disruption, more facilities, room for expansion 9/16/2015 12:30 PM

351. The other two would increase risk to the children from very harmful aircraft pollution, transport is not as easy, it will be on a busy main road and will diminish the feeling of space by building up more open land. Option 1 does not need to reduce the area available as a little of the sand dunes could be grassed over for more sports facilities and opens options for future expansion whereas the others does not. 9/16/2015 10:46 AM
352. Surely the existing school premises could be utilised in some way and combined with the new building i.e. used as a sixth form college or similar. This keeps children back from the roads and safer and keeps disruption on the main quennevais road to a minimum as it is already chaos on occasions. We have already had a huge loss of green and a huge increase in building with the retirement homes project - we have had enough in that area. Plus its not pleasant for the retired residents to have the noise and disruption of the school. We have to live with this! 9/16/2015 9:59 AM
353. Because it won't use green space as the second and third option. Will be be closer to sport facilities without requiring travel. Closer to the railway walk for children to use when travelling to school. The states already own the land, so will be cheaper than purchasing additional. 9/16/2015 7:54 AM
354. St Brelade social club, could be given part of the old school site. After the move. 9/15/2015 10:45 PM
355. Building away from major roads and being in a similar location but improved set up seems the only sensible option. Plenty of recreational areas which can be used for other sporting clubs too. The other two sites are smaller by roads cemenetry and houses and airport. Traffic would be shocking too. 9/15/2015 8:29 PM
356. I believe that option 2 will be the best option. This way all sports facilities will be unaffected and there would also be new ones. It would be crying shame to loose the public facilities at the cycle track especially with them recently being improved or to loose the home ground of the St Brelades Football Club, which is also a great facility with lots to offer and has been a part of the community for many years. It is also has one of the best football pitches on the island. Why disturbe each of those facilities in option 1 and 3, when the school could utilise them both and both could have improvements done in the future? I also believe that option two would cause less upset as it would not be taking away any facilities that are currently used regularly by people island wide. The football pitch would be able to be shared and used by the students, without taking it away from the St Brelades Football team and relocating the clubhouse which has been there for many years with an excellent reputation and there would be still be all the current facilities available at the cycle track. As a former Les Quennevais pupil I could not agree more with that the fact the school is in need of modernising. I left eight years ago so I can imagine the amount of change and growth in the amount of students in that period of time. It's a great school, and I will certainly be sending my children to Les Quennevais, therefore, I would like the best outcome. However, as a St Brelades resident I would like the community and it's facilities to be affected as little as possible during the transisition, that is why I have chosen option 2. 9/15/2015 6:57 PM
357. Not suitable near an airport However LQ Sports Field used must be replaced adding elsewhere to Les Quennevais Fields Being on edge of LQ Sports centre and fields- safer for children getting to school and Playing fields and Sports Centre easily accessible Ps I think Montford Tadier's suggestion to move St Brelade Parish Hall to old school site is typically "bonkers" 9/15/2015 5:51 PM
358. Removing public leisure/sporting facilities (unless these will be replaced with improvements elsewhere) is not the way forward when the new school site can be built extensively over land that is not currently being used for that purpose. Removing especially the south-east corner of Les Q sports fields would cause huge disruption to the current quality of life of the Les Q community and visiting users of the centre and playing fields. Substantial public green space lost and not replaced. Absolutely not appropriate location for a school site and potential for damage/wear of existing facilities (e.g. green fields and hockey pitch) to diminish at a higher rate than at current and students likely to hang around these areas more frequently. 9/15/2015 4:57 PM
359. The other two areas are needed for public use. The amount of people that use the playing field up at les Quennevais it is not worth building a school there and losing that space for the children and families and sporting communities. 9/15/2015 4:39 PM
360. Seems like a no-brainer to me. Also, doesn't option 3 put the school closer to residential. 9/15/2015 4:27 PM
361. I believe this provides best for both the community and for future pupils. The sports facilities out west at Les quennevais are vital for the community not only for those booked for sport, but also for people who like to use the track and pitches for health improvement. Any impact on these facilities, could jeopardise the Island games legacy. I think any incursion into this facility must be done only as a last resort. This proposition (2) provides additional facilities for the community and would still provide for expansion whereas option 1 is already maximising the area. 9/15/2015 3:51 PM
362. More space for the school and possible expansion in the future should this be required. Still plenty of open space with the sand dunes within meters of this area. retains playing fields for sports use. 9/15/2015 3:41 PM
363. I feel this would give a greater buffer between the existing residential units and the school whilst retaining more of the green zone for the orchard, wildlife area and playground, making it a better living and learning environment for both residents and students alike. 9/15/2015 1:53 PM
364. This will have the minimum impact on the community sports facilities that are used by all islanders. If the other locations are seriously considered, with the use of an artificial playing surface, then this playing surface should be researched carefully. Contact local football clubs or the JFA around the problems of using these surfaces in relation to not only injury/infection of users, but also maintenance and security. 9/15/2015 1:52 PM
365. Option One is the best option for the Public of the Island as for as mitigating the cost to the public purse. It is also the best option as the sports facilities are already in existence. There are also less of an impact on the roads infrastructure. Lastly



the safety aspect of option 2 & 3 would mean pupils having to cross an often busy road to access the football field.  
Ludicrous. 9/15/2015 1:38 PM

366. To build a new school -Option 1 will be disastrous!!! The traffic going through the Don Farm Estate to the new school would cause so many problems. Entering the road, would cause even longer tailbacks than now on the main road. Exiting, which is already a nightmare, would be made even worse! Thank You. 9/15/2015 1:35 PM
367. I think there is enough traffic going through Don Farm as it is without moving the school. At times it is extremely difficult to even exit the estate onto the main road. There is traffic generated from the Sports centre, Churches and playing fields. Consideration should also be given to the elderly residents whose houses will be affected by increased traffic if the school is situated in front of them 9/15/2015 1:25 PM
368. I strongly disagree with option 1 as this will hugely increase the volume of traffic (private vehicles, all the school buses and all other service vehicles), using the main access road into the Don Farm estate. There is already insufficient capacity for the existing users of the estate, sports centre and the various other amenities accessed via this route. Exiting onto the main road at most times is already very slow. The old people's accommodation will also be disrupted by the volume of traffic and noise. 9/15/2015 1:17 PM
369. It would be better if you provided a picture of the proposed area than a short description 9/15/2015 1:14 PM
370. Better location, less costs involved 9/15/2015 12:49 PM
371. Ideally there would not be a new school. 6th form provision across the island should be redesigned, duplication of subjects removed and the capacity within the system used to provide the additional places Secondary school places. Any additional places would be provided by making better use of the spaces within existing Secondary schools 9/15/2015 12:40 PM
372. cost efficient with minimal traffic and existing facilities disruption. 9/15/2015 12:40 PM
373. Option 3 retains greater green outlook from the road thus breaking up the otherwise built up area for locals living by. It is important the building plans are carefully considered thus not creating an eye sore for those living near by. A traditional Jersey look would be much more inviting and pleasing on the eye i.e. use of granite, Jersey entrances etc. Please lets not have another eye sore without any input from the tax payer funding this new build. Also, providing availability of facilities to the public, at a subsidized rate, would again help invest back into the public and allow the facilities to be used to there maximum. Option 1 would be a logistical nightmare when considering traffic flow and I for one would not be happy living in this area with constant traffic passing my property and parents parking outside my house for pick ups. Option 2 is plausible but almost shuts in the green areas offered in option 3. 9/15/2015 12:14 PM
374. This seems the best site because it will be able to deal with the traffic flow more safely. It is on a main bus route too. The current flow of traffic through Les Quennevais is dangerous in my opinion, as the cars all have to weave in and out of each other, which is very frustrating and dangerous. It must be very difficult for the people who live around there. I also think site 2 is better because it has some space around it. I think site 2 is better than 3, as the third option is squashed against the Belle Vue development. Children need room to breathe, play and see green (which wouldn't happen in the third site). Looking at the figures of how many children attend the school already, 43% are from St Brelade, with 20% (the second highest) coming from St Peter. This site will be better positioned for the St Peter students, particularly as there is a cycle route from St Peter, through to the proposed site. 9/15/2015 11:10 AM
375. better to have the main buildings next to existing housing, and maintain green space. also better to redevelop the whole site at once i.e. moving the SB football pitch and club house ( improved facilities. ) 9/15/2015 10:52 AM
376. Option 1 is totally unacceptable. we live in Don Farm and the traffic generated now can be horrendous. There is Communicare, two churches, sports centre, playing fields, croquet and bowling all using the roads into an estate. consideration should also be given to the elderly residents who would be greatly affected if the school was built on the sports field. Trying to exit onto the main road is also a problem now so goodness knows what it would be like if this plan went ahead Surely other options would have been available instead of making a very built up area even worse. 9/15/2015 10:34 AM
377. This site is close to the sports facilities that the students use. If the school was moved then there would be further loss of time as the students travel to the pool etc. This area also provides access to more green space/ playing fields. The local residents are already used to the school traffic. There would be no noise issues from the airport. This site does not impact on any agricultural land. It's pretty much where the existing school is, giving a sense of continuity. There is no mention of the library in this plan, however I would guess this would be replaced, also placing it close to it's existing location, which would maintain continuity of use for existing users. I disagree with the statement that what happens to the old school site is not a part of this discussion. 9/15/2015 10:29 AM
378. I think the playing fields shouldn't be used as it is used a lot by the public already 9/15/2015 10:19 AM
379. Not exactly sure where about the "South East corner of the sports field" is - sounds like a nice location, but it would be a shame for the public to lose some of the open space there if the plan is to build inside the cycle track. Fields south of Rue Carree sounds a little better but I would be concerned about the noise from the airport and the current lack of road access - it seems to only have one road running down there at the moment and having parents dropping their kids off in the mornings would cause havok on those roads and likely affect the main Quennevais road too. Les Quennevais Sports Centre/fields were a massive part of Quennevais life when I was there and I would hope that the distance from Rue Carree wouldn't affect the usage of it. 9/15/2015 10:03 AM
380. I think this is the best site as its not too far from where the School is now. Traffic to and from the School would be exactly the same as it is now, but moving the School into the other two areas would cause unforeseen problems with traffic. For

example, I think parents would stop on the main road (leading up to the airport) to drop off their children. I also think that if the buses arrived at the same time this could cause delays on that main road. I think we would have the same issues as Wellington Road in St Helier, when parents are dropping their children off at Beaulieu. If options 1 and 2 are the most favoured options then I think how the traffic flows to and from the School should be considered very carefully and strategically. Therefore, my main concern is that options 2 and 3 would cause significant traffic issues on the road leading up to the airport. St Brelade should not become a second St. Helier and I think the possibility of moving the School to either of these two areas would make the area look too built up. Keep the School out of the way (as it is now) which would make walking to School much safer and is a better learning environment away from the main roads. 9/15/2015 10:02 AM

381. By far the best option. Maintains plenty of green space and utilises a football pitch/site that is relative under used. 9/15/2015 9:59 AM

382. Option 1. Too much disruption to the sports facilities and other facilities which are very valuable to those who live surrounding them. Especially the open spaces to freely play. It is not suitable to impact such a large portion of the community. Traffic through don farm would be horrendous. It is already hard to exit onto the main road in non peak times. It would impact the residents significantly in the mornings when trying to get to work or taking younger children to primary schools. It would make the area hazardous to children walking to primary & secondary school. Option 2 & 3 The more green spaces saved the better! Purpose built site with little disruption to surrounding areas. Safe walking routes already established to the site. Only concern would be traffic exiting the school creating traffic build up on Route des Quennevais. A sensible solution would need to be found to keep traffic flowing. 9/15/2015 9:42 AM

383. Of the three options I feel this one would have the least impact regarding loss of present facilities ie:sports fields etc and road system around that area is already good with wide roads etc. 9/15/2015 9:13 AM

384. Public owned land already. Ease of pedestrian access for students. Current site should be sold for best price possible (i.e. not 'affordable' housing!) to defray cost of new school construction. Access through the Don Farm road should be improved and the entrance onto the main Quennevais Road also will need revising to prevent traffic queue build up at peak time. Other sites involve more difficult transport issues and land acquisition costs, although the landowners will obviously be disappointed. The proximity of the Airport in sites 2 & 3 is not ideal either as the greater noise is likely to be more distracting. 9/15/2015 8:19 AM

## Comments received

### Written responses (A5 leaflet or letters) – anonymised and unedited

362 responses

1 Option 3 is feasible providing the football pitch is retained for use by the school and made available to clubs at weekends. Les Quennevais sports field is vital for adults and families providing a safe place for running, cycling, walking and generally keeping active and fit. A place for families to relax and spend time together. Easy parking for those who do not have a wonderful area like this near them - which is most people. We all need to enjoy the open air, children especially. What are the plans for the old school site? 11/11/2015 2:47 PM

2 Never ever build on sports facilities! 11/11/2015 2:38 PM

3 Option 2 is the 'cleanest' option, brand new site, room for expansion and easy to plan the traffic flow. 11/11/2015 2:37 PM

4 Options 1 and 3 have a detrimental effect on existing facilities and will cause massive traffic issues in the future. 11/11/2015 2:37 PM

5 Option 1 should not be considered - sports facilities badly affected massive traffic issues on the site. 11/11/2015 2:36 PM

6 Option 1 shouldn't be an option at all. Too many sports facilities destroyed and not replaced. 11/11/2015 2:36 PM

7 If built behind Q park houses, will States compensate for loss of value to our houses? Access to school within sports complex at Q park is poor now if moved to playing fields, access will be through Don Farm - still poor. Best site is nearer airport by football pitch with main road access and space to increase site. 11/11/2015 2:35 PM

8 Please do not use any sports field venues. 11/11/2015 2:35 PM

9 Options 2 and 3 would create traffic chaos on an already busy, narrow road - Rue Carree. 11/11/2015 2:33 PM

10 Please preserve Les Quennevais sports fields and facilities - these are such an important asset for all segments of the local community. 11/11/2015 2:32 PM

11 Option 1 - NO - disruption of our lovely sports centre Option 2 - by far better - yes Option 3 - too close to the new houses. 11/11/2015 2:32 PM

12 Not on Quennevais sports field - please leave this for community enjoyment, undisturbed. 11/11/2015 2:31 PM

13 As a resident of St Brelades and Quennevais areas for several years. I only have one opinion and my choice is OPTION 2 - I really think it would be the best choice. 11/11/2015 2:30 PM

14 Either option 2 or 3. Under no circumstances should Option 1 be considered as I feel it is essential the sports field remains as it is. The sports area and cycle track are a much used facility by all islanders. 11/11/2015 2:29 PM

15 School should provide GCSE-A Level in my opinion. 11/11/2015 2:29 PM

16 Option 3 Further distance away from airport. 11/11/2015 2:27 PM

17 Already too much ribbon development along the airport road. The West side of the road between red houses and the airport has become built up in the last few years. What about the South East corner of La Moye golf club? 11/11/2015 2:26 PM

18 Prefer not to build on green field site where there is a good alternative. 11/11/2015 2:26 PM

19 Option 2 perfect 11/11/2015 2:25 PM

20 It is disgusting that you are even contemplating building on football grounds and playing fields. Sports fields should be protected at all costs unless you replace the facility nearby. If you need extra space, just build an extra couple of stories on the existing site. 11/11/2015 2:24 PM

21 Option 2 Option 1 and 3 would mean less sports area sites. 11/11/2015 2:23 PM

22 I feel that if you should keep Les Quennevais sports field, which is very versatile. Also there are other football pitches in the Island they can use. I have look at the area and think it would be ideal for a new school. 11/11/2015 2:22 PM

23 Option 2 and 3 would be preferred please DONT go for option 1! Leave the Quennevais fields and open space alone. 11/11/2015 2:22 PM

24 It should not be built on green belt land. Option 1 is the least intrusive of growing land. Keep Jersey green. We need to be food self sufficient. 11/11/2015 2:20 PM

25 The school does not need replacing. Vic Prep has far more of the same problems. Many UK and world schools are far worse. There are huge open spaces near by for playing and sport. So increase the development on the existing site. 11/11/2015 2:19 PM

26 Option 2 seems the best. Don't wish to get rid of valuable playing fields. And I feel a 2 site school (option 3) is unsafe and allow further traffic / congestion - keep it all on one site. 11/11/2015 2:18 PM

27 Option 2 seems a good use of space. Keep a school out West. Put all facilities on one site. 11/11/2015 2:17 PM

28 Option 2 makes for the best site speaking to our grandchildren who go to Les Quennevais that is also their choice. Don't delay just get on with it. 11/11/2015 2:16 PM

29 LETTER 9th November 2015 From: Jersey Football Association A New Site for Les Quennevais School I write on behalf of the Jersey Football Association (JFA) to register our firm view that the new site for Les Quennevais School should be option 2, the Fields south of Rue Carree. Having listened to the presentation of all 3 options at the consultation on 30 September, the JFA believes that whilst it is essential that the building of the new school goes ahead, it should not bear the expense of existing sports/community facilities. Indeed, to pursue options 1 or 3 would seem to be contrary to the States' own strategy, "Fit for the Future" which is aimed at increasing participation in sport. Either of those options would result in a reduction of facilities, which the JFA regards as unacceptable. From a football perspective, there have been two noticeable losses of pitches in the last couple of years and, in both cases, the respective Clubs, both with longstanding community involvement, have more or less folded. 1. St Martins pitch was lost when the new school was built and despite promises by the Parish to replace it, this never happened. 2. First Tower's pitch has recently been reclaimed by St Georges School. Sport is important, for all sorts of reasons, and must not be treated as an easy touch when difficult decisions have to be made. In the view of the JFA, the Fields at Rue Carree provide an ideal venue for the new school, without causing any depletion to existing community facilities. For and on behalf of Les Mielles Tennis Club Phil Austin President 11/9/2015 3:12 PM

30 Leave as many fields and open spaces as they are important! 11/9/2015 1:48 PM

31 As an ex Quennevais pupil I support the new school. I have lived in St Brelade all my life and love the sports fields and use this on numerous occasions. The best option is number 2 as I wouldn't want to lose use of the sports fields. 11/9/2015 1:47 PM

32 I do support having a new Les Quennevais school, however it has got to be option 2, this will have less of a negative impact than if the other options are approved. 11/9/2015 1:46 PM

33 I am a keen sportsman and often use the playing fields, please could we go for option 2, it is the least intrusive. 11/9/2015 1:46 PM

34 As much as I think Les Quennevais school needs replacing, I really don't think putting it on the sports field is the right answer, the facilities lost would have to be re-located at a cost. why!!! The traffic through Don Farm with the amenities already there is very busy. Difficult junction to get out of. The sheltered housing for elderly would be right in front of the school need go on don't build on pitch please!!! 11/9/2015 1:45 PM

35 A significant large school site which hosts a full broad spectrum of sports facilities is essential to the children and youth of tomorrow. 11/9/2015 1:42 PM

36 Parking could be on old school site. Potential other sites could cause serious traffic disruption. 11/9/2015 1:42 PM

37 Do not build on Les Quennevais sports field as it is of great use. Not just for the children but general public. 11/9/2015 1:41 PM

38 Leave the fantastic facilities at option 1 and 3 for all to enjoy and take advantage of. Options 1 and 3 should not have even been considered. 11/9/2015 1:40 PM

39 Easy exit on to main road, does not interfere with existing sports grounds, most sensible site to a much needed school. 11/9/2015 1:39 PM

40 Option 2 and 3 are too close to the airport and why build on a much used football pitch, I also understand that the football pitch is next to the airport fuel dump not a good place for a school! 11/9/2015 1:38 PM

41 Perfect location for new school 11/9/2015 1:38 PM

42 There could be two schools instead of one. The schools could also be much more personal and run on an individual tutorial system. 11/9/2015 1:37 PM

43 Option 2 - without a doubt it represents the best solution and causes the least disruption. 11/9/2015 10:05 AM

44 Option 1 just shouldn't be possible, taking public green! Sports field areas, that are so very valued and well used, in the community. Option 2 just doesn't quite fulfil the needs for a new school size 'wise'. Otherwise you will have a Les Q site in 50 years again. 11/5/2015 3:16 PM

45 Better for the environment Not disrupting any other sites Wont change the area 11/5/2015 3:14 PM

46 Best choice of location Better for the environment 11/5/2015 3:14 PM

47 The most sensible option - we do not want to lose sports facilities. The fields in question are very poor agriculture land not worth saving. 11/5/2015 3:13 PM

48 Much better aspect for the school, otherwise its N/S facing with strong/no light in some classrooms. Proportionally

better for observing and monitoring the playground at lunch/break times. Structure will give natural shade during summer months.11/5/2015 3:12 PM

49 Option 2 or 3 Anywhere other than present site. Nearby residents have had to put up with abuse, cigarette ends, lunch boxes etc in garage area for decades. We complain - nobody listens, nobody cares. Its not too bad this year yet but check out garage area most break times/lunch times - the seagulls know where to go! 11/5/2015 3:10 PM

50 LETTER 29th October 2015 From: Les Mielles Tennis Club Les Quennevais School Replacement Following the meeting held at Les Quennevais School on 30th September 2015, which the clubs and associations were invited, below are our comments on the proposals. • We support option 2; this would cause the least disruption to the existing sports facilities. • The current road network to the sports facilities would be put under pressure from the increased traffic that Option 1 brings. Adding to that, the noise and disruption to the occupants in the nearby houses. • At present, the parking facilities are adequate for the activities run by the existing sports facilities. If Option 1 were chosen, without increasing the parking, it would result in more traffic congestion and roadside parking on the access roads. • Under Option 1 our club would be relocated in its entirety to the area where the Croquet Club is currently situated. Other sports clubs that use the facilities would have their activities compromised and in some cases curtailed. (On this note we don't support Option 3, which takes away St Brelade FC pitch). • The tennis courts have recently been resurfaced at a cost of approximately £10,000 per court. We have four courts, and in addition to ours, there are two public courts adjacent to ours, which have also been resurfaced by States of Jersey. Therefore the total cost to the States is approximately £60,000. With the new surfaces having a lifespan of about 10 years, it would be a huge waste of taxpayers' money to dig up the courts and relocate after only one year, with all the costs that involves. • Maintaining the existing tennis facility on this site is important to us. We have been relocated at Les Quennevais for almost 25 years and provide community tennis for all age groups and abilities and feel that any move from our existing site would be disruptive to the club and community. • We estimate that during the course of an average week 150 members attend organised activities at the Club, this doesn't include casual use of the courts by our members, of which we have approximately 220. \*In addition to the recent court resurfacing costs, over the last 2 years the facing at the club has also been replaced. The costs for this can be obtained from Nigel McLinton (ESC) We hope that the above comments are of interest and add weight to the case to locate the new school on the Option 2 site. For and on behalf of Les Mielles Tennis Club Faye Camp Gary Bowman Chairman Secretary  
11/4/2015 11:29 AM

51 Schools and the States have been promoting sport. So to build a new school on the other two sites would be detrimental to the islands youth.11/4/2015 10:57 AM

52 You put money into encouraging sport in the Island and then you plan on taking it all away! (option 1 & 3) 11/4/2015 10:55 AM

53 I attended the meeting at Les Quennevais school on the 8th October. I am not sure if it achieved anything as the choice was obvious from the booklet which I had some time ago, nothing new was said, but I felt that rebuilding really needs to consider numbers for the future especially as there have been hints that the whole system of schools may change. If Hautlieu becomes a 6th form school only, extra pupils will stay at 11-16 schools? The high birth rates now will reach all secondary schools soon - they could all reach 1000 pupils. I have worked in three of this size - but their buildings were appropriate. 11/4/2015 10:53 AM

54 I think this is the safer option for the students travelling to and from school via foot or bike as it is located along the railway walk, away from the busy main road. It is easily accessible by the local housing estates in the area including students that live across the busy main road. The children's safety travelling to and from school must be a paramount concern.11/4/2015 10:46 AM

55 The loss of recreational facilities make the other 2 options very unfavourable. 11/4/2015 10:45 AM

56 Option 2 much better site for new school, would be much better access for traffic. Option 1 would cause major traffic congestion on Don Farm estate which is already very congested with access to sports facilities. Also it would be unfair to have to loose part of sports and cycle track areas ref option 1. 11/4/2015 10:44 AM

57 Option 1 is already overcrowded. Think of the future where would you extend? Option 3 has clear space to the West. Suggestion: to save space underground parking for staff and pupils.11/4/2015 10:43 AM

58 Due to the horrendous traffic coming through Les Quennevais Park Estate, the obvious site should be option 2. 11/4/2015 10:42 AM

59 As long as the football pitch is relocated to another site in St Brelade. 11/4/2015 10:41 AM

60 Leave Les Quennevais sports field as it is, also St Brelade football pitch 11/4/2015 10:41 AM

61 A definite no to Les Quennevais sports field. 11/4/2015 10:40 AM

62 Do not use Les Quennevais sports field. This is a community area for everyone and is used by all ages. 11/4/2015 10:40 AM

63 Please don't take away or reduce the size of the ONLY CYCLING track we have. It is used by children learning to ride bikes right up to full time racing. Option 2 is the best choice.11/4/2015 10:39 AM

64 Definitely not Les Quennevais sports field! (Has Goose Green been considered with drainage?) 11/4/2015 10:39 AM

65 The biggest growing sports/past time is cycling so any suggestion of reducing the size of the track is going against the needs of the population. Option 2 please.11/4/2015 10:38 AM

66 Option 1 & 3 involves hockey, tennis, netball, football and cycling - the fastest growing sport in Jersey. To shorten the track does not make any sense.11/4/2015 10:37 AM

67 None of these! Option 1 is closest but rather than take away an internationally approved Cricket pitch - tennis courts and changing rooms should be built on floodlit football pitch that can be re-sited. And the space created by demolishing the existing school. Option 2 & 3 - did you know the existing airport football pitch was formerly Cricket and moved due to toxic fumes from aircraft - build school there!11/4/2015 10:36 AM

68 With the potential for more future development south of the airport site the 'green gap' between the current housing development at Quennevais road and the airport becomes more important visually and ecologically.11/4/2015 10:34 AM

69 The four - vergee field opposite Clos St Sampson has currently got a covenant against development of any kind. How do you propose to overcome this for option 2 and 3? 11/4/2015 10:32 AM

70 Leave the sports field and football pitch alone. 2: its perfect for the cycle path from St Peters and the La Moye area. Cycle track should be surfaced again to encourage more cyclists to stay off the road. The grit used now damages bike frames as well as being unpleasant to humans.  
11/4/2015 10:31 AM

71 The Route des Quennevais is already so heavily developed. It is time to stop building there or approaching nearer the airport.11/4/2015 10:29 AM

72 Option 1 best position by far. Old school site when demolished could replace any loss of current sporting facilities. La Rue Carree is far too narrow and too busy to accommodate any increase in traffic.11/4/2015 10:28 AM

73 The surrounding area is already too built up now with the massive Belle Vue development. The sanctity of the graveyard will be totally lost. It is just a field of bodies surrounded by construction. A great shame.11/4/2015 10:25 AM

74 Or option 3 11/4/2015 10:21 AM

75 Please don't loose sports facilities. Also build for more than 850 as that allows for expansion without more to build. Also room for school to have community use.10/30/2015 10:40 AM

76 Option 2 - Options 1 & 3 shouldn't even be options!! 10/30/2015 10:39 AM

77 Best use of land. 10/30/2015 10:39 AM

78 Please leave sports facilities. Very important for community. 10/30/2015 10:38 AM

79 Keep maximum community facilities. 10/30/2015 10:38 AM

80 VCP are in portacabins and drop off and pick up are dangerous. 10/30/2015 10:37 AM

81 Option 2 is best by far. Don't loose the sports field or St Brelades pitch! Forfit the green zone! Build the school! They need it!10/30/2015 10:25 AM

82 Definitely not option 1 please. St Brelades social club needs to go as its just a bunch of alcoholics who drink there. Option 3 is BEST.10/30/2015 10:19 AM

83 I use the sports field very often. I come with my children, its a wonderful free area for all - please please do not build on any of it.10/30/2015 10:18 AM

84 New school use the old site for something else NOT houses. 10/30/2015 10:17 AM

85 Others schools in Jersey are in much worse buildings (eg, whole year groups taught in portacabins). Why is Les Quennevais school a priority.10/30/2015 10:16 AM

86 ...But this money should be spent on a hospital which would benefit the whole of the population and is a far greater need.10/30/2015 10:15 AM

87 Why only build for 850 pupils and then extend 5 years later if required. It will cost more with time and materials. Build for 1000 pupils with min extra cost.10/30/2015 10:14 AM

88 I don't think the sports field should be lost. 10/30/2015 10:14 AM

89 This is the best long term option. We should not compromise space now. 10/30/2015 10:14 AM

90 Should build the school a little larger so no more building work will need to be required later. It will cost more and children would be disrupted.10/30/2015 10:13 AM

91 Build school for 1000 pupils not enough for 820. Why have to build again later cost more money. 10/30/2015 10:12 AM

92 Looks best option considering all aspects of site requirements providing cost of field is not excessive this option should be explored further.10/23/2015 2:50 PM

- 93 To lose as little playing fields sports facilities as possible. 10/23/2015 2:49 PM
- 94 Greener outlook for children 10/23/2015 2:48 PM
- 95 It is time for the Island should have new modern purpose built school 10/23/2015 2:47 PM
- 96 Less harm to the environment 10/23/2015 2:47 PM
- 97 St Brelade Social Club I think the club offers a lot to the community as a meeting place and for fundraising. Also it provides football for age groups from 5 years to 16 years.10/22/2015 11:50 AM
- 98 Option 2 makes most sense. 10/22/2015 11:49 AM
- 99 Absolute no brainer! Leave the sports fields and social club well alone. Option 2 is the only choice! 10/22/2015 11:49 AM
- 100 The football pitch and club house needs to be kept as a community facility. 10/22/2015 11:48 AM
- 101 Option 2 is the only sensible option. 10/22/2015 11:48 AM
- 102 Taking all matters into account option 2 makes sense to all concerned. 10/22/2015 11:48 AM
- 103 Having been a member of St Brelade's social club since I moved to Belle Vue, I think it would be a travesty to pick option 3. As the club is a meeting place for the whole of the community of St Brelade. These sort of places are far and few between nowa days. A community would be at a loss if option 3 were picked. 10/22/2015 11:47 AM
- 104 The St Brelades club means too much to the community and many sporting clubs that is reliant on its facilities, for meetings and various other reasons - VOTE OPTION 2  
10/22/2015 11:45 AM
- 105 Leave the only sports club in St Brelade alone. 10/22/2015 11:44 AM
- 106 Part of St Brelade club for 15 years, great site for upcoming player amongst other social events. 10/22/2015 11:44 AM
- 107 Option 1 is my preference the other options are far too close to the hazards/fumes/noise of the airport and major roads.10/22/2015 11:42 AM
- 108 Option 2 seems to be the most sensible and suitable choice without a doubt. For the benefit of pupils, teachers and community without loss of current facilities.10/22/2015 11:40 AM
- 109 Option 2 is my choice to get rid of the sporting facilities would be a crime as so many people enjoy them. 10/22/2015 11:40 AM
- 110 Not option - loss of sports facilities. 10/14/2015 2:31 PM
- 111 If you build a bigger school you will then need playing fields at Les Quennevais sports centre for your outdoor activities. The outside fields are used continuously day and night by clubs, public, schools for cycling, walking, rugby, football, tennis, dog walkers, organised races. In a growing population why is it always the sports that are affected by the education department when we should be encouraging people of all ages to stay active!!!  
10/14/2015 2:30 PM
- 112 Why in St Brelade? 10/14/2015 2:28 PM
- 113 Please don't take football pitch waited 30 years for one! So where would you resite it. Why does new school have to be in St Brelade as so many parishes use this school.10/14/2015 2:27 PM
- 114 Option 2 Other parishes have more space, due to over building in St Brelade, consider them for the new school. Too much traffic now on our roads.10/14/2015 2:26 PM
- 115 Good idea 10/14/2015 2:26 PM
- 116 Don't put it on Les Quennevais sports field. 10/14/2015 2:25 PM
- 117 Only option 2 viable. 10/14/2015 2:25 PM
- 118 It would be so sad to see one of the last green lungs along the Les Quennevais road lost to development. 10/14/2015 2:24 PM
- 119 Option 2 preferred site. It is important to retain the sports facilities and open areas which are much used and have space for further sports as they become popular.10/14/2015 2:23 PM
- 120 Will create extra traffic problems if other sites are used. Loss of last bit of agricultural land before Quennevais. 10/14/2015 2:22 PM
- 121 Option 2 allows all the members of the same family to use the sports facilities as they do at present time. E.g. Little ones on their trikes, or little bikes or playground, while dad is playing football etc.10/14/2015 2:21 PM



- 122 I wouldn't like the new school to be built on Les Quennevais sports field as that is the only open area for families to enjoy bicycle rides, walks, football. I strongly oppose option 1.10/14/2015 2:19 PM
- 123 Keep the sports facilities in tact. Option 2 is the only sensible option. 10/14/2015 2:18 PM
- 124 Definitely not option 1 as it will result in loss of sports facilities especially for minority sports 10/14/2015 2:17 PM
- 125 I would not like to see Les Quennevais sports field used for the new school. I am a senior citizen but I enjoy walking around watching others use it.10/14/2015 2:16 PM
- 126 Option 2 provides less disruption to sports amenities which I am sure will be used by the new school. Saving on money already spent on facilities and ongoing sports arenas.10/14/2015 2:15 PM
- 127 Option 1 BUT use existing site/buildings with alterations and renovations connected to new buildings on sports field by tunnel and/or flyover bridge. Replace sports facilities lost by using adjoining fields near Rue Carree.10/14/2015 2:14 PM
- 128 Please do not take away the sports fields and cycle track - option 2 only 10/14/2015 2:13 PM
- 129 The old school or its footprint/site should NOT be used for housing but should be incorporated into the new campus in some way to negate the need to build a massive new school entirely on the sports field. Perhaps use the old building for a specific purpose eg music, science etc, as a space that needs dedicated, specialist rooms. Long time since I was at school - may not apply now!! Would hate to see option 2 &3 TOO MUCH BUILDING ALONG THAT ROAD ALREADY!!10/14/2015 2:12 PM
- 130 Please put on an existing brown field or development site. Not on green or sports field sites. Open spaces are as important as development.10/14/2015 2:10 PM
- 131 Option 2 by far the best 10/14/2015 2:10 PM
- 132 The other options are green zones! Too much building work and congestion along the main road right now! We local residents don't need any more chaos!10/14/2015 2:09 PM
- 133 Option 2 only thank you 10/14/2015 2:08 PM
- 134 Need to keep pitch 10/14/2015 2:08 PM
- 135 Why at Quennevais at all. There are good sites in other parishes. But as the decision is already made this is a waste of time. Leave sports areas alone.10/14/2015 2:07 PM
- 136 Option 3 - most space 10/14/2015 2:05 PM
- 137 Option 2 please 10/14/2015 2:04 PM
- 138 Option 2, only 10/14/2015 2:04 PM
- 139 The sports fields and playing fields at Les Quennevais and cycle track should be left as they are, many families use this daily and it is so well used and well kept it would be a shame to alter it.10/14/2015 2:01 PM
- 140 They should not disturb any other building/field that are used for sport or the cycle track it would ruin Les Quennevais centre and moving football pitches that are used is not right so keep them there.10/14/2015 2:00 PM
- 141 Best option: avoids sports fields 10/14/2015 1:58 PM
- 142 Cheapest and doesn't affect anyone 10/14/2015 1:54 PM
- 143 Cheapest and doesn't affect anyone 10/14/2015 1:53 PM
- 144 This minimises disruption to other local clubs and sports but still good size of school and good access. 10/13/2015 2:48 PM
- 145 Less impact on green zone 10/13/2015 2:48 PM
- 146 Because you don't upset the social club and cemetery 10/13/2015 2:47 PM
- 147 Option 3 is too close and too many houses nearby 10/13/2015 2:47 PM
- 148 Teach football at St Brelades and a son at Les Quennevais school 10/13/2015 2:46 PM
- 149 Option 3, then option 2 10/13/2015 2:46 PM
- 150 Excellent site for proposed new school 10/13/2015 2:46 PM
- 151 I feel that to leave St Brelades club where it is would be best. Plus socially a lot of adults meet at the club and this is important. Better use of space.10/13/2015 2:45 PM
- 152 Thinking of more space for grandchildren 10/13/2015 2:45 PM

153 I think this will be the best option for education. 10/13/2015 2:44 PM

154 Keeps space between school and residential. 10/13/2015 2:44 PM

155 I prefer this option because of the access to multiple sports facilities, otherwise down the line I feel the school would be wanting to build their own swimming/tennis/hockey area which would cost more later on. 10/13/2015 2:43 PM

156 I prefer this option because it is further away from the road. 10/13/2015 2:43 PM

157 This is long overdue. Option 2 keeps the football pitch 10/13/2015 2:41 PM

158 St Marys student year 5 10/13/2015 2:41 PM

159 Not option 1 uses cycle track! Option 2 not too much wildlife Option 3 perfect better school layout much more wildlife area! 10/13/2015 2:40 PM

160 A old school boy 10/13/2015 2:40 PM

161 Zach 10/13/2015 2:40 PM

162 Less populated area 10/13/2015 2:39 PM

163 Def option 1 because it will still be in almost same spot, but obviously bigger and better 10/13/2015 2:37 PM

164 Yay! :-) 10/13/2015 2:37 PM

165 Yay 10/13/2015 2:37 PM

166 More room for maneouvre 10/13/2015 2:36 PM

167 Its got to stay in St Brelades. 10/13/2015 2:36 PM

168 3 is good, the bigger the better, good access 10/13/2015 2:35 PM

169 To introduce a better standard of education for future people 10/13/2015 2:35 PM

170 Green space each side as long as St Brelade football club still have use of pitch 10/13/2015 2:34 PM

171 [Name given] 10/13/2015 2:34 PM

172 Option 3 represents best layout for the site. 10/13/2015 2:33 PM

173 By far the best 10/13/2015 2:33 PM

174 Leave the sports facilities alone! 10/13/2015 2:33 PM

175 Good use of space and closer to community and swimming pool. 10/13/2015 2:32 PM

176 About time with current population! 10/13/2015 2:32 PM

177 Wheelchair friendly is important 10/13/2015 2:32 PM

178 Uses existing Property Holding land - protect Jersey fields, possible use for existing old school site 10/13/2015 2:31 PM

179 Option 1 and use the Les Creux site for the displaced sports and games 10/13/2015 2:27 PM

180 This is the best please. 10/13/2015 2:25 PM

181 Keeping away from residential is the right option due to increased noise. 10/13/2015 2:25 PM

182 Seems best of both worlds for school and surrounding area/housing 10/13/2015 2:24 PM

183 Building on Les Quennevais sports fields is not acceptable. The traffic through Don farm would also be horrendous. This site also seems more future proof. 10/13/2015 2:24 PM

184 No way should the sports facilities at Les Quennevais sports centre be lost. 10/13/2015 2:23 PM

185 Best option due to extension options in future, also this site doesn't take away any land form Le Quennevais fields. 10/13/2015 2:22 PM

186 Keep Quennevais for public use! 10/13/2015 2:22 PM

187 St Brelade resident 10/13/2015 2:21 PM

188 I feel option 2 is the most suitable. My grandchildren will be attending please build as room as possible. 10/13/2015 2:21 PM

189 Option 2 has benefit for various reasons over option 1 i.e. cars, sports, etc 10/13/2015 2:20 PM

190 St Saviour resident 10/13/2015 2:19 PM

191 St Peter resident, with grandchildren going to new school 10/13/2015 2:18 PM

192 St Brelade residents 10/13/2015 2:18 PM

193 For the best 10/13/2015 2:18 PM

194 Less disruption to students. 10/13/2015 2:18 PM

195 Good choice of land - reinvigorate the area. 10/13/2015 2:17 PM

196 Option 3 - more room for future expansion 10/13/2015 12:37 PM

197 The new school needs all the space it can acquire. 10/13/2015 12:36 PM

198 Because option 1 will be too crowded we will loose netball/tennis courts and it will interfere with the cycle track. Option 3 is way to close to the cemetery and Belle Vue it will disturb the peace and traffic will be hectic! Option 2 is okay we loose one football pitch but there are others. 10/13/2015 12:35 PM

199 Its close to the main road so its really good to get to and the 15 bus is really close too. 10/13/2015 12:34 PM

200 It is in a familiar place where it will have the astroturf and the sports centre is still close by as well. 10/13/2015 12:33 PM

201 Because it is in the best location and there is any space you need, where as if you choose option 1 you would have to squeeze it in. 10/13/2015 12:33 PM

202 Because there will be better facilities such as the sports centre and the astro. 10/13/2015 12:32 PM

203 I like this area because it is not going to get rid of the school fields, it is still close to the school now so school routes would not be any different. 10/13/2015 12:31 PM

204 Its easy to get there. 10/13/2015 12:30 PM

205 It is in the best location and wont be taking up any of the playing fields. 10/13/2015 12:30 PM

206 Option 2 because it is a nice place and good location. Also because lots of students live near that area. 10/13/2015 12:29 PM

207 This is a good bit of land and its still local and easy to make your way to. 10/13/2015 12:29 PM

208 Because its a good location and lots of students live around there. 10/13/2015 12:28 PM

209 Because it is a very nice place and there are a lot of students live around that area. As well as it is not a waste of land. 10/13/2015 12:27 PM

210 Looks like an easy place to get to and not ruining any football/sport field. 10/13/2015 12:26 PM

211 I think it should be option 2 because it is not taking up anything else like the fields and tennis courts. 10/13/2015 12:26 PM

212 I choose option 2 because its a bigger place and more road space. 10/13/2015 12:25 PM

213 Its easier for people to find it and we're not taking up the football pitches. 10/13/2015 12:23 PM

214 A nice open space and not crowded like the others and wont affect society whilst building and away from school whilst building no noise. 10/13/2015 12:22 PM

215 So people still have space to play football on Les Quennevais fields. 10/13/2015 12:22 PM

216 It is easy to access and still gives the opportunity of the fields. 10/13/2015 12:20 PM

217 I chose option 2 because it does not involve taking sports facilities away, can then use Les Ormes and airport football pitch. 10/13/2015 12:19 PM

218 Does not affect any of the facilities that are already there. 10/13/2015 12:19 PM

219 There isn't any buildings there already. 10/13/2015 12:15 PM

220 Because the option 1/3 are affecting the publics facilities. 10/13/2015 12:15 PM

221 Because I don't think we should build it over existing buildings so option 2 fits the best. 10/13/2015 12:14 PM

222 As it is not knocking down any buildings. 10/13/2015 12:13 PM

223 Because your not taking away anything. 10/13/2015 12:13 PM

224 Nice long school and easy to get to. 10/13/2015 12:12 PM

225 Better Science department Bigger sports changing rooms 10/13/2015 12:12 PM

226 I think we should pick option 2 because it doesn't take our sports facility away. 10/13/2015 12:11 PM

227 Because we need to keep sports fields. 10/13/2015 12:10 PM

228 Because we need more space and it wont take away any sports facilities. 10/13/2015 12:10 PM

229 More space and a good road system, also it looks good and big for more students. 10/13/2015 12:09 PM

230 My opinion its the best choice. 10/13/2015 12:09 PM

231 Mason Parish 10/13/2015 12:08 PM

232 Because it is close to my house which is easier for me thanks for the options but I want 2! 10/13/2015 12:07 PM

233 I don't want to loose the cycle track and any of the sport centre things because that's the only cool place to run and do competitions. I do a run and they do competitions on the cycle track and I love it and I don't want to get rid of this. 10/13/2015 12:06 PM

234 No loss of agricultural fields - option 1! 10/13/2015 12:05 PM

235 Definitely not option 1 - should be option 2 or 3. 10/13/2015 12:04 PM

236 Yes definitely. The building is outdated or really fit for purpose. Over crowding by students and for a Parish that size they deserve a new building school. Please spend the money on the right projects - Les Quennevais school. 10/13/2015 12:03 PM

237 There should be equal opportunities for all students in Jersey and at the moment students in the West of the Island are disadvantaged by an out of date, cramped school which is not fit for purpose. The only sensible option is No 2 and the States should stop dithering and go ahead and start building a school LQ students deserve. 10/13/2015 12:02 PM

238 I think that the building of option 2 should be on the site of option 3. 10/13/2015 12:00 PM

239 Option 2 - the school does not have to be squashed 10/13/2015 11:59 AM

240 Anywhere is good, just needs to be a modern successful school. 10/13/2015 11:58 AM

241 Big theatre so we can have proper drama lessons and learn about the lights and sound etc. Music recording room Larger classrooms Bigger canteen! 10/13/2015 11:57 AM

242 I choose option 1 because then we have sports fields near us and we could build another Les Quennevais sports centre.... Just for Les Q Students :-)

10/9/2015 3:49 PM

243 Doesn't affect housing and where the green zones are. Also it gives it a better area for traffic to move rather than option 2 or 3. Add a new area for people to do homework together if they need help. 10/9/2015 3:47 PM

244 It would be nice to have our own facilities. 10/9/2015 3:46 PM

245 Because its a big site and its easier for people to do sports, they wont have to walk as far. I also think we should build our own sports centre. 10/9/2015 3:45 PM

246 Shouldn't destroy St Brelades club and Les Quennevais school should have its own gym and equipment. 10/9/2015 3:43 PM

247 Option 3 because we will have our own sports fields. 10/9/2015 3:42 PM

248 Because if chose option 2 or 3 would live just across the road and there would be so much traffic also I go sometimes to St Brelades club and I like it. 10/9/2015 3:41 PM

249 Add a theatre similar to Haute Vallee's Big canteen! 10/9/2015 3:40 PM

250 The sports centre I think shouldn't be public any more because I feel the public would interfere with our learning. 10/9/2015 3:39 PM

251 More space to build the school here. 10/9/2015 3:38 PM

252 Because this school has been here for around 50 years and I want to keep it in the same area its always been in. 10/9/2015 3:37 PM

253 I think it is an amazing idea to make the school bigger and the site will be bigger. 10/9/2015 3:36 PM

254 Don't mind which location, just build a new school asap. 10/9/2015 3:35 PM

255 I think this because the other options would effect the traffic near the airport badly. 10/9/2015 3:20 PM

256 I pick option 3 because I don't really want the cycle track to be shortened and it looks like a good location. 10/9/2015 3:19 PM

257 No football pitches should be knocked down and I agree that LQ does need a bigger school. 10/9/2015 3:18 PM

258 Because it would be better so it could be designed how the teachers want. 10/9/2015 3:17 PM

259 Because it is closer for sporting activities and bigger 10/9/2015 3:17 PM

260 I like this sight because it doesn't take up playing space. 10/9/2015 3:16 PM

261 There is a lot of room there. And good luck! 10/9/2015 3:15 PM

262 I really think this is the right place to make a new school. 10/9/2015 3:14 PM

263 I think you should combine option 2 and 3 because we will have more space for more school 10/9/2015 3:13 PM

264 I think option 2 because its not using St Brelades football pitch plus its a lot longer 10/9/2015 3:12 PM

265 Keep Les Quennevais school near original site and any loss to the sports facilities may be relocated once the old site is cleared. Sixth form too please to ease traffic and add to incredible school.  
10/9/2015 3:06 PM

266 Option 2 the best for the community Please add sixth form too! 10/9/2015 3:04 PM

267 Keep public amenities available. 10/9/2015 3:04 PM

268 Because it will be close to the sport centre, community centre, churches around the area, 15 bus stop, there is plenty of car park space.  
10/9/2015 3:03 PM

269 Leave Les Quennevais sport field alone please. Option 3 definitely! 10/9/2015 3:03 PM

270 Only question is as the start date is down for January 2019 shouldn't it be done during the summer holidays so as not to disrupt the kids who are taking exams that year.10/9/2015 3:01 PM

271 Put as much planting (trees and grassy banks) around the school to help it sit in its environment and mask it from the public/locals. The area has become over developed recently with lots of buildings. This will also be beneficial for nature/wildlife. Also drainage. I think the old school site should become a park linking to the railway walk to encourage wildlife, drainage and locals to enjoy.10/9/2015 3:00 PM

272 Unrestricted design, future expansion Self contained safe site Drop off for cars and buses In airport safety area This site option 2 ticks all the right boxes.10/9/2015 2:58 PM

273 The best option all round. 10/9/2015 2:56 PM

274 Option 2 is the most sensible option, for more space and larger area will be needed for parking. Still close to Les Quennevais playing fields.10/9/2015 2:53 PM

275 Option 1 would hate to the sports field built on! I know many people go here I have fun so please not option 1! Option 2 no one is even using that field.10/9/2015 2:52 PM

276 I would like to see option 2 building on option 3 site. There should be a green buffer between the school and Belle Vue. The enlarged site would give greater options including widening of the road towards Les Ormes Consider filter in turn roundabouts. There is space to reroute the road by the cross to have a single filter in turn roundabout. Car park / vehicles to be down side of school. School to have good presence from the road not viewed across a car park like Le Rocquier.10/9/2015 2:51 PM

277 New school required urgently for the benefit of our children. Please can the facilities be used by the whole community.  
10/9/2015 1:39 PM

278 I would like to see new sport facilities. It doesn't disturb the football pitch, which is good. 10/9/2015 1:38 PM

279 Option 2 10/9/2015 1:37 PM

280 I would like to see option 2 building on option 3 site. There should be a green buffer between the school and Belle Vue. The enlarged site would give greater options including widening of the road towards Les Ormes. Consider filter in turn roundabouts. There is space to reroute the road by the cross to have a single filter in turn roundabout. Car park / vehicles to be down side of school. School to have good presence from the road not viewed across a car park like Le Rocquier.10/9/2015 1:20 PM

281 Option 2 is really the ONLY option. The sports complex is used to much by all members of the community and S.B.F.C is also used to capacity - DON'T take these away from residents please.10/9/2015 11:59 AM

282 Many families enjoy Les Quennevais sports field, and St Brelade is also a well used football club facility. Don't build on these sites.10/9/2015 11:58 AM

283 Keep Les Quennevais sports field and St Brelade football pitch for the people to enjoy. 10/9/2015 11:57 AM

284 Leave fields as they are. 10/9/2015 11:56 AM

285 Please don't build on Les Quennevais sports field - my family and I like visiting and enjoy the open space. There isn't much left on the Island!!10/9/2015 11:55 AM

286 Flat/clear site. Simple building with greater freedom for the architect. Schools position will allow a clear site through the green space between the building and Rue Carree. In/out access will be built into the site - will help with traffic. Avoids having to relocate sporting facilities - a key problem with the other two options - especially option 3.10/9/2015 11:54 AM

287 Moving the school will make parking for sports centre a nightmare - keep the playing fields how they are please. 10/9/2015 11:54 AM

288 All in all I consider this to be the best option with the environment protected and existing sports facilities as well. The traffic considerations with the move are also minimal in terms of disruption in a large residential area.10/9/2015 11:51 AM

289 Option 3 - more room! 10/9/2015 11:46 AM

290 Traffic must be managed. 10/9/2015 11:45 AM

291 LETTER 6 October 2015 Les Quennevais School Consultation Department of Education, Sport & Culture PO Box 142 Highlands Campus St Saviour JE4 8QJ Dear Sirs New Site for Les Quennevais School I refer to the above proposals for a new Les Quennevais School and the options submitted. On behalf of the Jersey Softball Association (JSA),

whilst we do not dispute the building of such a new school, I am writing to express concern in how Option 1 is being portrayed to members of the public. In that it is being described in meetings, that the JSA has attended, as “a loss of a single pitch”. This, we feel, is clearly not true as the proposed re-development would take out a significant amount of the existing playing field. Resources displaced by the proposed redevelopment would then have to be situated in the remaining and greatly diminished facilities thus reducing them even further. This would then have an adverse effect on the clubs and associations who currently use the playing fields as they would then have to compete for usage of this greatly diminished resource. It is clear from the JSA perspective that some sporting activities would not be able to coexist or “field share” should this go ahead. Please see our arguments against option 1 as listed below: 1. Reduces the facilities by at least half; 2. Losing some of these facilities will result in all sports having to compete for the use of the remaining resources – resulting in lots of clashes particularly in the summer, there are also the additional problems with health and safety meaning some of these sporting activities cannot field share. Currently softball needs 2 pitches booked in plenty of time for their summer season to meet the demands of its members; 3. During the summer months from May to the end of August – the JSA has 4 games playing regularly every Sunday on both the current pitches involving a total of 8 teams of approximately 120 players (not taking into account umpires, scorers and supporters). This can rise to 6 games. 4. Every Wednesday there is the independent Corporate league during the months of June and July. Each week 4 games utilise both pitches for approximately 120 players. Currently the Corporate League is oversubscribed and the JSA has been approached to provide more facilities; 5. The JSA has approximately 300 members; 6. Alternate years Jersey hosts the inter-Island and Upton matches vs Guernsey. 2016 is Jersey's turn to host these matches. This involves 4 Jersey teams, plus coaches, scorers and supporters plus 4 Guernsey teams with their coaches, scorers and supporters on the current pitch 1 on either Saturday and/or Sunday. Guernsey usually choose to make a weekend of it therefore all their coaches, scorers and supporters will be there on both days; 7. The JSA has recently resurrected their slowpitch softball tournament and in the past this has involved the use of our main pitch 1 (the current one with the fence); the use of the cricket pitch at the bottom and both pitches on the top field. (Cricket relinquishes use of the pitches to softball for this weekend (over 2 days Saturday and Sunday – in the past in May but could be moved to further on within the summer). Softball relinquishes use of certainly our current pitch 1 when cricket has a tournament or another sport has a major games on weekends. Should the construction go ahead then the JSA will no longer be able to hold such tournaments at Les Quennevais – a site and grounds which UK teams say are the best they play on all summer; 8. Loss of parking during construction and no doubt later. Plus currently the JSA has been asked not to park anywhere near or in Don Farm; 9. Access to Les Quennevais will be via a housing estate – Don Farm. The report states that the school is currently situated in the middle of a large residential development with small roads that make vehicular access difficult. SHOULD a new school be built on Les Quennevais playing fields vehicular access will be through another large housing estate i.e. Don Farm thus once again making access difficult; 10. During construction of a new school should Option 1 be the site chosen this will involve lots of sports not being able to continue for the duration of construction. It was mentioned that the fields would be prepared first so sports could resume their respective sporting activities but in the interim the JSA wishes to know if there are plans to accommodate not only Softball but other sports at other venues on the Island. 11. As part of the SOJ Fit for the Future campaign the JSA is looking to run youth and young adult tournaments to accommodate younger players who have been encouraged to play in our trial sessions who cannot participate in the current adult orientated league. The loss of resources at Les Quennevais is going to put this under serious strain; 12. To summarise – constructing a major building on such fantastic sporting facilities and to take away a large portion of these sporting facilities to the detriment of the sporting community is not at all feasible. It is also very contradictory to the States plans for Fit for the Future trying to encourage adults and youngsters to be fitter and play a sport – this will not happen if half of the best sporting facilities on the Island are taken away. Each sport is trying to encourage new members and this is not likely to happen. I confirm that our members support Option 2 for this re-development. Yours Faithfully For & on behalf of the Jersey Softball Association Ali Wade President  
10/9/2015 11:44 AM

292 LETTER 17 ELIZABETH AVENUE ROUTE ORANGE ST BRELADE JERSEY JE3 8GR Education, Sport and Culture PO Box 142 St Saviour JE4 8QJ 3rd October 2015 ST BRELADE SOCIAL CLUB and the new proposed school St Brelade Social Club was formed in 1953 and I was a founder member and currently the President of the Club. The Club was originally based at St Brelade Church Hall and for many years managed with a couple of snooker tables. The football teams, which were the essence of the club searched for over forty years to find a site where the football teams and clubhouse could be situated in one location. In 1997 the States found the Club a site next to the old Belle Vue Go Cart Track. With assistance from the States the members build themselves the magnificent clubhouse in use today. We now have over 300 members and a thriving community spirit both in the clubhouse and on the football pitch. Whilst I appreciate the need for a new and larger school at Les Quennevais I must voice my concern that you may, if option 3 is decided upon, take away the heart of football life in the Parish and community. It would not just be the need to find a replacement football playing area but for the club to be viable it would also need an adjoining clubhouse in a location of easy access for members in a built up area, and as I said earlier the last search took forty years. In the last eighteen years the committee of the Club have made considerable efforts in developing parish football and community spirit and we would not want all that to be lost. The Club would be very happy to discuss with you privately the whole issue of our concerns before any final decision is made. Yours sincerely Stanley Le Pennec, President St Brelade Social Club  
10/9/2015 10:38 AM

293 LES QUENNEVAIS SCHOOL REPLACEMENT – CONSULTATION ON SITE OPTIONS My comments refer only to the glossy presentation by the States of Jersey Autumn 2015. OPTION 1 – SE corner of Les Quennevais Sports Field • With regard to the illustration is might have been helpful to indicate the position of North when referring to the SE option and the railway track is not marked. I found it confusing. • I feel much greater use could be made of the expanse of waste land to the West side of Pitch 5 and track. • There is already great opposition to this option from the present users of the sports facilities. OPTION 2 – Fields S of Rue Carree • It is generally thought that this will be the eventual chosen option. • There is no estimate for the cost of purchasing the fields. • Having lived in the area for many years I seriously doubt that aircraft noise will be much reduced by the new hangar and departures hall. • No reason is given for the road widening on Rue Carree – a wider road will mean greater speeds and destruction of old walls and hedges. A pedestrian path could go inside the existing hedges. • There is already an orchard on the Rue Carree. Nut trees for squirrels? • The cemetery, football field and new housing estate do not appear to be clearly shown and at



present children visit the Precinct after school. • Would noise affect burial services in the cemetery? • Many people including myself will greatly regret and object strongly to the loss of the agricultural land which I understand is the subject of a restrictive covenant. OPTION 3 – Fields S of Rue Carree and St Brelade football pitch site • Some comments in option 2 also apply. • The new Andium development, football field, club house and cemetery are not shown in the illustration. • There is already strong opposition to the loss of football pitch and clubhouse. • No alternative suggestions have presently been offered in the consultation publication. • There seems to be a greater green area than option 2 but still a loss of agricultural land. • NO MENTION has been made of the estimated impact of extra traffic on the surrounding roads and the possible effect on the Fire Station and any emergency at the airport. • I live on a road off the Route des Quennevais which is subject to speeding despite the 30 mph limit and which is busy and dangerous. I am anxious that even more traffic will be generated to swell the probably increase from the new Andium development. • NO MENTION has been made of the effect on traffic when the school buses travel along the Route des Quennevais at peak periods. This road is used far more by traffic than the presently used Route Orange. • THE objections to the three options must have been expected, particularly regarding option 3 yet no alternative to the pitch and clubhouse appears to have been offered. • I have no preferred option. I have written this as I am unable to attend public meetings. St Brelade resident 10/9/2015 10:22 AM

294 It is vital that there is no compromise in this site in the future of young people in the West. Option 2 will achieve this. Sport facilities should not be affected at the excellent Les Quennevais sports fields. 10/9/2015 9:57 AM

295 I think Les Quennevais sports facilities are a great credit to the rest of the Island. I wish we had the same in the East. The option 1 suggested is very well used by all ages. For me petanque; parents come by car and teach their young children to ride bikes. Walkers and runners use the car park. Its always busy and being well used. 10/9/2015 9:56 AM

296 The school should have been made bigger in the first place. 10/9/2015 9:53 AM

297 Please don't take the school playing fields away. 10/9/2015 9:53 AM

298 Definitely not these as this would cause a great upheaval in so many ways and immense disruption. option worst of the 3

10/9/2015 9:52 AM

299 Remember some sand dunes can be reclaimed for extra sports pitches. Option 2/3 too dangerous by airport 10/9/2015 9:51 AM

300 I prefer option 1: but keeping the cycle track as it is? I use the sports centre on a regular basis. St Helier resident. 10/9/2015 9:50 AM

301 Social club should move to school site. Keep school away from main road. 10/9/2015 9:49 AM

302 The school could have use of the football pitch, but not build on it. 10/9/2015 9:48 AM

303 My 2 sons are due to go 2018/2020. I don't mind either 1/2/3 as long as plenty parking, quick entry / access to school. 10/9/2015 9:48 AM

304 Option 2 - the best site all round Best access / size / view / space / near Les Q fields / ease of build / bus routes / in parish etc

10/9/2015 9:47 AM

305 Option 2 the best 10/9/2015 9:47 AM

306 None of the above, these are all greenfield sites. A completely unscientific survey as none of the above is a valid option which has been omitted for political reasons I assume.

10/9/2015 9:46 AM

307 I will be guided by the superior wisdom and intelligence of the Education Minister and his Assistant Minister and therefore their option is my option. 10/9/2015 9:45 AM

308 None of these options are suitable. Not acceptable to loose facilities option 1 put more floors on existing building. 10/9/2015 9:44 AM

309 None Build upwards on existing site 10/9/2015 9:44 AM

310 Not to build a school near an airport! Fumes on that road are bad let alone from planes - rethink! 10/9/2015 9:42 AM

311 Best option 2 [name given] 10/9/2015 9:42 AM

312 Do not use the football pitch or the sports field. Also ensure any new site is large enough to allow for future expansion of the school. What is the present school site to be used for this should be decided now not later. 10/9/2015 9:41 AM

313 More green/pitches can only be a good thing! Option 2! 10/9/2015 9:12 AM

314 My family are existing members of the social club and active. We feel the social/football club (option 3) should not be considered as this is an important part of the community in its current location. The LQ Sports field is the best option for all parties and my daughter who will attend the school in 1 years time is excited about this. Nothing is really lost, neighbours wont change and St Brelade will have a new school. 10/9/2015 9:11 AM

315 Best site. 10/9/2015 9:11 AM

316 Keep sports facilities and keeps football club 10/9/2015 9:09 AM

317 Leave the sports fields alone. 10/9/2015 9:08 AM

318 Do not build on the playing fields! 10/9/2015 9:08 AM

319 Would be a real loss of local amenity if it went on the playing fields. 10/9/2015 9:07 AM

320 Not option 1 as loss of Les Q sports facilities. 10/9/2015 9:07 AM

321 It would be such a shame to lose the sports cycle track facility if option 1 goes ahead. 10/9/2015 9:06 AM

322 Would not be happy with the relocation of St Brelades social / sports clubs. As it has a good community atmosphere about it, and is a great positive for local childrens football activities. 10/9/2015 9:05 AM

323 Simple / least disruption to others / best solution / minimum disruption 10/9/2015 9:04 AM

324 Even though cost would be more option 1 would min the sports field use for the community. 10/9/2015 9:03 AM

325 If the architecture is appealing, solar panels and other green environmental features, gardens for growing things. 10/9/2015 9:02 AM

326 [Name given] Just because there is a space between the new proposed school and the housing estate. 10/9/2015 9:01 AM

327 Children should come first we had our time. 10/9/2015 9:01 AM

328 [Name given] 10/9/2015 9:00 AM

329 Keep the track once lost it will not be replaced - its unique 10/9/2015 9:00 AM

330 Leaving the sports centre alone 10/9/2015 8:59 AM

331 [Name given] 10/9/2015 8:59 AM

332 Slip road to allow parents to pull into school road so not holding up traffic on Route des Quennevais. 10/9/2015 8:58 AM

333 Slip road in and out 10/8/2015 4:31 PM

334 [Name given] 10/8/2015 4:30 PM

335 Already building there 10/8/2015 4:29 PM

336 Option 2 Residents of Clos des Sables or Quennevais pupil keeps all sports facilities 10/8/2015 4:28 PM

337 Resident at Clos des Sables 10/8/2015 4:26 PM

338 Best option - 2 1 - too much traffic/chaos 2 - out the way / least distraction 3 - no football pitch 10/8/2015 4:25 PM

339 Least disruption to the parish people living in Quennevais/Don Farm area. 10/8/2015 4:24 PM

340 None of the above 10/8/2015 4:24 PM

341 I think it is such a shame to have to move Les Quennevais school. My 2 children and myself and my husband all went to this school. I think you should just add more classrooms in the school yard and have it built the same height of the rest and make parking and play area on part of the sand dunes/dump area - why waste another good building, stop loosing lovely memories and spoiling Jersey.10/8/2015 4:23 PM

342 2 or 3 for better main road access. Quennevais Park resident 10/8/2015 4:23 PM

343 Leave Les Quennevais school where it is! Why not add 3 storeys on top of the main buildings already there and build the court yard, sure there would be enough room for extra pupils and you would still have play ground and parking. 10/8/2015 4:20 PM

344 This option keeps the building close to the South with the other buildings. Still maintains the open green space towards the airport. St Brelades social club is no benefit to majority of parishioners, and is a run down tired building. 10/8/2015 4:18 PM

345 A new school must be built! 9/30/2015 10:39 AM

346 Avoid taking playing fields and building more next to railway walk. 9/30/2015 10:38 AM

347 I have chosen option 1 because: I don't wish to see development along Route des Quennevais. It allows shared facilities with sports centre. It makes best use of railway track access.9/30/2015 10:37 AM

348 Move out of built up area and keep St Brelade pitch. 9/30/2015 10:37 AM

349 Build on the poor agricultural land. Leave sports pitches alone - we need to keep sports facilities. 9/30/2015 10:35 AM

350 Supportive of new site as will ease traffic around Quennevais. Would support option 2 or 3 (resident of Quennevais). 9/30/2015 10:34 AM

351 Option 2 9/30/2015 10:34 AM

352 I feel that this would be the best option, no green land destroyed and closer to the sports facilities in place at the moment.9/30/2015 10:33 AM

353 Better use of space. More wildlife area kept. No great distance for pupils to travel from existing school site. 9/30/2015 10:32 AM

354 Both retains pre-existing sports facilities access to the swimming pool and maximises outdoor areas such as the wildlife areas. These outdoor areas may become more important as circumstances change.9/30/2015 10:31 AM

355 Site two is the best option due to not many houses, easy access. 9/30/2015 10:30 AM

356 3 is the best option. 9/30/2015 10:29 AM

357 My family and children love playing on Les Quennevais playing fields. Please don't build the school here. There wont be enough parking anyway! No to option 1.9/30/2015 10:28 AM

358 Save the playing fields at Les Quennevais. It is a green lung used by so many people. Fields at Rue Carree are untidy and never used apart from the odd cow.9/30/2015 10:27 AM

359 Number 3 the best option in my opinion 9/30/2015 10:26 AM

360 I would choose option 3 9/30/2015 9:37 AM

361 3 would be the favoured option 9/30/2015 9:36 AM

362 Anything BUT Option 1. Way too many benefit from Les Quennevais playing fields and its amazing open space. My dog would also NOT be happy... it may have a heart attack!9/29/2015 12:11 PM